

# A randomised controlled trial comparing surgery with watchful waiting for preventing recurrent symptoms and complications in adults with uncomplicated symptomatic gallstones

<b>Submission date</b> 27/05/2016	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Protocol
<b>Registration date</b> 27/05/2016	<b>Overall study status</b> Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
<b>Last Edited</b> 01/07/2024	<b>Condition category</b> Digestive System	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

## Plain English Summary

### Background and study aims

Gallstones are common, especially in women, but in many people they do not cause any symptoms. About one in three people with gallstones develop symptoms (symptomatic). Symptoms usually include a severe pain in the upper right-hand side of the abdomen (known as biliary colic), and sometimes nausea and vomiting. At times the pain is accompanied by inflammation of the gallbladder (cholecystitis). Once gallstones start giving symptoms, painkillers, anti-inflammatory medicines and antibiotics are usually prescribed initially and surgery is advised to medically fit patients. Surgery to remove the gallbladder, known as cholecystectomy, is the most common way to treat biliary pain or cholecystitis due to gallstones. About 70,000 cholecystectomies are performed every year in the UK, with significant costs for the NHS. In the UK, surgery is commonly offered to people who present at secondary care with pain or cholecystitis due to gallstones. However, it is known that some patients do not have any more symptoms after the initial episode of pain and that surgery may not be necessary. A policy of conservative management (painkillers/antibiotics and lifestyle advice) could therefore be appropriate in this group of people. A review of current evidence suggested that conservative management may provide a more efficient use of NHS resources. There were, however, great uncertainties in the data, with only two small studies run in Norway. There is a need for a definitive study to address these uncertainties. The aim of this study is to find out whether there are any differences between conservative management and cholecystectomy in terms of patient quality of life and cost-effectiveness.

### Who can participate?

Adult patients with symptomatic gallstone disease

### What does the study involve?

Participants randomly allocated to either receive a surgical procedure to remove the gallbladder or to receive conservative management. Apart from treatment allocation and measurement of study outcomes, participants receive standard NHS follow up for at least 18 months. The main

outcome of the study is the effect of the two policies on the participants' quality of life. We assess this using a questionnaire. To assess any longer term benefits of either policy we use a mathematical model to give a prediction of quality of life over each participant's lifetime. We use the measurements we collect and the model to work out whether gall bladder removal is worthwhile to the NHS in terms of balancing any benefit to people's health against the added costs (cost-effectiveness).

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

Patients receive the same health care whether or not they choose to participate in the study. By taking part, they will be directly helping us to inform the future treatment of people with uncomplicated gallstones. The results of this study will help plan effective services offered by the NHS in the future. Risks and complications are possible from both surgical treatment and "watchful waiting" and participation in this study should not increase those risks. There are risks associated with surgical procedures and anaesthetics.

Where is the study run from?

1. NHS Grampian (UK)
2. Taunton and Somerset NHS Foundation Trust (UK)
3. Nottingham University Hospital NHS Trust (UK)

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for?

April 2016 to December 2021

Who is funding the study?

Health Technology Assessment Programme (UK)

Who is the main contact?

Karen Innes

kareninnes@abdn.ac.uk

**Study website**

<https://w3.abdn.ac.uk/hsru/C-GALL/>

## Contact information

**Type(s)**

Public

**Contact name**

Miss Karen Innes

**Contact details**

CHaRT

HSRU

3rd Floor Health Sciences Building

University of Aberdeen

Foresterhill

Aberdeen

United Kingdom

AB25 2ZD  
+44 (0)1224 438089  
kareninnes@abdn.ac.uk

## Additional identifiers

**EudraCT/CTIS number**

**IRAS number**

**ClinicalTrials.gov number**

**Secondary identifying numbers**

Version 2.0, 20/05/2016; HTA 14/192/71

## Study information

**Scientific Title**

A randomised controlled trial comparing laparoscopic cholecystectomy with observation /conservative management for preventing recurrent symptoms and complications in adults with uncomplicated symptomatic gallstones

**Acronym**

C-Gall

**Study hypothesis**

Is there any difference between observation/conservative management and cholecystectomy in terms of participant quality of life and cost-effectiveness in terms of incremental cost per QALY?

More details can be found at: <http://www.nets.nihr.ac.uk/projects/hta/1419271>

**Ethics approval required**

Old ethics approval format

**Ethics approval(s)**

North of Scotland Research Ethics Service (Committee 2), 23/05/2016, ref: 16/NS/0053

**Study design**

Pragmatic multi-centre parallel-group patient randomized superiority trial (with internal pilot phase)

**Primary study design**

Interventional

**Secondary study design**

Randomised controlled trial

**Study setting(s)**

Hospital

## Study type(s)

Treatment

## Participant information sheet

<https://w3.abdn.ac.uk/hsru/C-GALL/>

## Condition

Gallstones

## Interventions

1. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy: the current standard surgical procedure for the management of symptomatic gallstone disease. The gall bladder is removed with the stones within it using keyhole techniques (laparoscopy). The procedure is undertaken under a general anaesthetic. It usually involves three to four small incisions in the abdomen, which allow the surgeon to dissect the gallbladder from its attachments and safely divide the key anatomical structures (the cystic duct and artery) that link it to the biliary tree. The gallbladder is then separated from the under surface of the liver. Usually the gallbladder (containing the stones) is removed within a retrieval bag via one of the small incisions. The operation takes between 45 and 120 minutes, many patients are admitted for one night, although day case laparoscopic cholecystectomy is safely undertaken in an otherwise fit patients with appropriate social support.

2. Observation/conservative management: observation/conservative management in the context of gallstone disease involves the prescription of analgesics to relieve the biliary pain. Typical therapy includes paracetamol, antispasmodics (e.g. Buscopan), nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) (e.g. ibuprofen etc), narcotic analgesics (e.g. opiates) together with generic lifestyle advice. In the longer term, conservative management also may involve these strategies for symptom management if required, as well as advice to eat a healthy diet with regular meals (<http://www.nhs.uk/Conditions/Gallstones/Pages/Treatment.aspx>). For the purpose of this trial a standard protocol for conservative management will be agreed with the PPI group and used in all centres. Safety advice for patients in the observation/conservative management group will be aligned with the current advice given via the NHS choice website ([www.nhs.uk](http://www.nhs.uk)).

## Intervention Type

Procedure/Surgery

## Primary outcome measure

The primary patient outcome measure will be quality of life as measured by area under the curve (AUC) at up to 18 months post-randomisation using the SF-36 bodily pain domain (AUC measures at 3, 9 and 18 months).

The primary economic outcome measure will be incremental cost per QALY.

## Secondary outcome measures

Current secondary outcome measures as of 04/07/2023:

Measured at baseline, 3, 9, 12, 18 and 24 months:

1. Condition-specific quality of life (CSQ – The Otago gallstone condition-specific questionnaire)
2. SF-36 domains (excluding bodily pain domain) complications
3. Need for further treatment

4. Persistent symptoms
5. Healthcare resource use
6. Costs

The AUC at up to 24 months post-randomisation for the SF-36 bodily pain domain will be reported.

In addition, routinely collected national data on further surgery will be sought in the future to update longer-term estimates of cost-effectiveness.

Previous secondary outcome measures as of 10/09/2021:

Measured at baseline, 3, 9, 12 and 18 months:

1. Condition-specific quality of life (CSQ – The Otago gallstone condition-specific questionnaire)
2. SF-36 domains (excluding bodily pain domain) complications
3. Need for further treatment
4. Persistent symptoms
5. Healthcare resource use
6. Costs

The AUC at up to 24 months post-randomisation for the SF-36 bodily pain domain will be reported.

In addition, routinely collected national data on further surgery will be sought in the future to update longer-term estimates of cost-effectiveness.

Previous secondary outcome measures:

Measured at baseline, 3, 9, 12 and 18 months:

1. Condition-specific quality of life (CSQ – The Otago gallstone condition-specific questionnaire)
2. SF-36 domains (excluding bodily pain domain) complications
3. Need for further treatment
4. Persistent symptoms
5. Healthcare resource use
6. Costs

In addition, routinely collected national data on further surgery will be sought in the future to update longer-term estimates of cost-effectiveness.

**Overall study start date**

01/04/2016

**Overall study end date**

01/12/2021

## **Eligibility**

**Participant inclusion criteria**

All adult patients with confirmed gallstones electively referred to a secondary care setting for consultation.

Clinical diagnosis of gallstone disease will be confirmed by imaging. Transabdominal ultrasonography is the standard imaging technique for the diagnosis of gallbladder stones, but diagnosis by any imaging technique is acceptable.

**Participant type(s)**

Patient

**Age group**

Adult

**Sex**

Both

**Target number of participants**

430

**Total final enrolment**

436

**Participant exclusion criteria**

1. Unable to consent
2. ASA III and above
3. Pregnancy
4. Previous open major upper abdominal surgery
5. Gallstones in common bile duct or evidence of previous choledocholithiasis
6. A history of acute pancreatitis
7. Abnormal liver function tests (with the exception of GGT <90u/L)
8. Evidence of empyema of the gallbladder
9. Perforated gallbladder
10. Haemolytic disease

**Recruitment start date**

01/09/2016

**Recruitment end date**

01/09/2019

**Locations****Countries of recruitment**

England

Scotland

United Kingdom

Wales

**Study participating centre**

**NHS Grampian**

Department of Surgery  
Aberdeen Royal Infirmary  
Foresterhill  
Aberdeen  
United Kingdom  
AB25 2ZN

**Study participating centre**

**Taunton and Somerset NHS Foundation Trust**

Department of Surgery  
Musgrove Park Hospital  
Taunton  
United Kingdom  
TA1 5DA

**Study participating centre**

**Nottingham University Hospital NHS Trust**

Department of Surgery  
Nottingham  
United Kingdom  
NG7 2UH

**Study participating centre**

**Royal Free Hospital**

Pond Street  
London  
United Kingdom  
NW3 2QG

**Study participating centre**

**Queen Elizabeth University Hospital**

1345 Govan Rd  
Glasgow  
United Kingdom  
G51 4TF

**Study participating centre**

**Royal Gwent Hospital**

Cardiff Road

Newport  
United Kingdom  
NP20 2UB

**Study participating centre**  
**Coventry University Hospital**  
Clifford Bridge Road  
Coventry  
United Kingdom  
CV2 2DX

**Study participating centre**  
**North Tees University Hospital**  
Hardwick Road  
Hardwick  
Stockton-on-Tees  
United Kingdom  
TS19 8PE

**Study participating centre**  
**Plymouth Hospitals NHS Trust**  
Derriford Road  
Cownhill  
Plymouth  
United Kingdom  
PL6 8DH

**Study participating centre**  
**University Hospital Aintree NHS Trust**  
Lower Lane  
Liverpool  
United Kingdom  
L9 7AL

**Study participating centre**  
**Ninewells Hospital and Medical School**  
Dundee  
United Kingdom  
DD1 9SY



**Study participating centre**  
**Royal Liverpool University Hospital**  
Prescot Road  
Liverpool  
United Kingdom  
L7 8XP

**Study participating centre**  
**Borders General Hospital**  
Melrose  
United Kingdom  
TD6 9BS

**Study participating centre**  
**University Hospital North Durham**  
Durham  
United Kingdom  
DH1 5TF

**Study participating centre**  
**Yeovil District Hospital**  
Higher Kingston  
Yeovil  
United Kingdom  
BA21 4AT

**Study participating centre**  
**Queen Elizabeth Hospital**  
General Surgery Research  
North Block, 4th Floor West  
ITM, Heritage Building  
Birmingham  
United Kingdom  
B15 2TH

**Study participating centre**  
**University Hospital of Wales**  
Heath Park

Cardiff  
United Kingdom  
CF14 4XW

**Study participating centre**  
**Bedford Hospital NHS Trust**  
RDI Department  
King's Place  
Britannia Road  
Bedford  
United Kingdom  
MK42 9DJ

**Study participating centre**  
**Sandwell Medical Research Unit**  
Sandwell Hospital  
West Bromich  
Sandwell  
United Kingdom  
B71 4HJ

**Study participating centre**  
**Birmingham Heartlands Hospital**  
Bordesley Green East  
Birmingham  
United Kingdom  
B9 5SS

## **Sponsor information**

### **Organisation**

University of Aberdeen

### **Sponsor details**

Research Governance Office  
Room 7  
Foresterhill Annexe  
Foresterhill  
Aberdeen  
Scotland  
United Kingdom

AB25 2ZD  
+44 (0)1224 551123  
researchgovernance@abdn.ac.uk

**Sponsor type**

University/education

**Website**

<http://www.abdn.ac.uk/>

**ROR**

<https://ror.org/016476m91>

**Organisation**

Grampian Health Board

**Sponsor details**

Research and Development Office  
Foresterhill Annexe  
Foresterhill  
Aberdeen  
Scotland  
United Kingdom  
AB25 2ZD  
+44 (0)1224 551123  
researchgovernance@abdn.ac.uk

**Sponsor type**

Hospital/treatment centre

**Funder(s)**

**Funder type**

Government

**Funder Name**

Health Technology Assessment Programme

**Alternative Name(s)**

NIHR Health Technology Assessment Programme, HTA

**Funding Body Type**

Government organisation

**Funding Body Subtype**

National government

## Location

United Kingdom

# Results and Publications

## Publication and dissemination plan

Planned publication in a high-impact peer-reviewed journal

## Intention to publish date

30/08/2023

## Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

The datasets generated during the current study will be available upon reasonable request from the Chief Investigator Irfan Ahmed (i.ahmed@abdn.ac.uk) after the publication of the current study.

## IPD sharing plan summary

Available on request

## Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
<a href="#">Protocol article</a>		25/03/2021	29/03/2021	Yes	No
<a href="#">HRA research summary</a>			28/06/2023	No	No
<a href="#">Results article</a>		06/12/2023	18/12/2023	Yes	No
<a href="#">Results article</a>		01/06/2024	01/07/2024	Yes	No