# The cost-effectiveness of functional cardiac testing in the diagnosis and management of coronary heart disease.

Submission date Recruitment status Prospectively registered 25/04/2003 No longer recruiting [ ] Protocol Statistical analysis plan Registration date Overall study status 25/04/2003 Completed [X] Results [ ] Individual participant data Last Edited Condition category Circulatory System 12/02/2014

### **Plain English Summary**

Not provided at time of registration

# Contact information

### Type(s)

Scientific

### Contact name

Dr David Stone

### Contact details

Department of Cardiology
Papworth and West Suffolk Hospitals
Papworth Hospital
Papworth Everard
Cambridge
United Kingdom
CB23 3RE
+44 (0)1480 830541
david.stone@papworth.nhs.uk

# Additional identifiers

**EudraCT/CTIS** number

**IRAS** number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

# Secondary identifying numbers HTA 99/26/04

# Study information

### Scientific Title

### Study hypothesis

The aim of this study is to decide whether assessment of myocardial perfusion (by TcMIBI or perfusion MRI or stress echocardiography) in comparison to routine investigation (exercise testing and angiography) can improve the identification of patients who will benefit from revascularisation. The cost effectiveness of each regime will be analysed with respect to more precise targeting of appropriate patients and will include analysis of any implications of change in practice. This study is comparing the clinical and cost effectiveness of cardiac perfusion tests (stress echocardiography, stress MRI, stress MIBI) with the current gold standard test (angiography) for identifying those patients who will benefit from revascularisation (angioplasty or coronary bypass surgery). Patients with unstable angina are randomised to one of the four investigations and assessed at defined time points after treatment. The hypothesis behind this trial is that techniques which measure blood flow to the heart may be more effective than angiography at identifying those patients who are likely to benefit from revascularisation.

### Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

### Ethics approval(s)

Not provided at time of registration

### Study design

Parallel group randomised controlled trial

### Primary study design

Interventional

### Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

### Study setting(s)

Not specified

### Study type(s)

Not Specified

### Participant information sheet

### Condition

Cardiovascular diseases: Heart disease

### **Interventions**

Group 1 (control) will have angiography

Group 2 Tc-methoxyisobutylisonitrile (TcMIBI)

Group 3 Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI)

Group 4 will have stress echo. The referring cardiologist will have the option of proceeding to angiography but will be urged to do so only when the stress imaging test is 'positive' for reversible ischaemia.

In order to assess clinical confidence in the additional investigations, the cardiologist will record their expected diagnosis and treatment strategy at baseline assessment.

Patients will then proceed to appropriate treatment: Percutaneous Transluminal Coronary Angioplasty

(PTCA) or Coronary Artery Bypass Graft (CABG) or medical therapy.

### Intervention Type

Other

### **Phase**

**Not Specified** 

### Primary outcome measure

The primary outcome measure is exercise capacity: treadmill time according to modified Bruce protocol measured at baseline, at 6 months following treatment with CABG, PTCA or medical therapy and at 18 months following entry to the trial.

### Secondary outcome measures

Secondary measures include the Canadian Cardiovascular Society classification of angina; health related quality of life assessments: the generic SF36, disease specific Seattle Angina Questionnaire and utility EQ-5D; cardiologist's assessment of IHD risk (compared with formal risk assessment) and treatment intentions expressed prior to the diagnostic test; patients' preferences; revascularisation rate; hospital admissions for unstable angina, incidence of MI and deaths after treatment. These outcome measures will be used to evaluate the contribution of functional data to successful revascularisation. Annuitized capital costs will be calculated for each of the imaging procedures. These will be combined with running, treatment, community and patient costs in an incremental cost-effectiveness analysis.

### Overall study start date

01/07/2001

### Overall study end date

30/06/2006

# Eligibility

### Participant inclusion criteria

Patients with chronic stable angina and a positive stress exercise test who are referred to designated Papworth cardiologists for angiography will be randomised to one of 4 groups.

### Participant type(s)

Patient

### Age group

**Not Specified** 

### Sex

**Not Specified** 

### Target number of participants

898

### Participant exclusion criteria

Patients with recent (<3 months) MI, previous or urgent need for revascularisation, those known to have adverse reactions to pharmacological stress, incapable of performing ETT, pacemaker or other contraindication to MRI, not available by telephone.

### Recruitment start date

01/07/2001

### Recruitment end date

30/06/2006

### Locations

### Countries of recruitment

England

United Kingdom

### Study participating centre Department of Cardiology

Cambridge United Kingdom CB23 3RE

# Sponsor information

### Organisation

Department of Health (UK)

### Sponsor details

Quarry House Quarry Hill Leeds United Kingdom LS2 7UE +44 (0)1132 545 843 Sheila.Greener@doh.gsi.gov.uk

### Sponsor type

Government

### Website

http://www.dh.gov.uk/en/index.htm

### **ROR**

https://ror.org/03sbpja79

# Funder(s)

### Funder type

Government

### **Funder Name**

NIHR Health Technology Assessment Programme - HTA (UK)

# **Results and Publications**

### Publication and dissemination plan

Not provided at time of registration

Intention to publish date

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

### IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

### **Study outputs**

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	results	01/12/2007		Yes	No
Results article	results	07/02/2014		Yes	No