

# Comparison of two airway devices to aid breathing during percutaneous tracheostomy

<b>Submission date</b> 09/11/2009	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
<b>Registration date</b> 17/11/2009	<b>Overall study status</b> Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
<b>Last Edited</b> 12/06/2015	<b>Condition category</b> Surgery	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

## Plain English Summary

Not provided at time of registration

## Contact information

### Type(s)

Scientific

### Contact name

Dr Grant Price

### Contact details

Department of Anaesthetics  
St John's Hospital  
Livingston  
United Kingdom  
EH54 6PP

-

grant.price@nhs.net

## Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers

09/MRE00/54

# Study information

## Scientific Title

A prospective randomised controlled trial of airway management in patients undergoing percutaneous tracheostomy and its effect on hypercarbia

## Study hypothesis

Maintenance of the airway during percutaneous tracheostomy with the laryngeal mask airway (LMA) Supreme™ supraglottic airway device, is at least as effective at maintaining mechanical ventilation as the use of a cuffed endotracheal tube.

## Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

## Ethics approval(s)

Scotland A Research Ethics Committee, 13/08/2009

## Study design

Prospective randomised controlled trial

## Primary study design

Interventional

## Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

## Study setting(s)

Hospital

## Study type(s)

Treatment

## Participant information sheet

Not available in web format, please use the contact details below to request a patient information sheet

## Condition

Percutaneous tracheostomy

## Interventions

LMA Supreme™ versus cuffed oral endotracheal tube. Duration of intervention is variable but no more than 60 minutes. There is no follow up beyond the procedure itself.

## Intervention Type

Procedure/Surgery

## Primary outcome measure

Change in partial pressure of carbon dioxide in arterial blood (PaCO<sub>2</sub>) levels between start of percutaneous tracheostomy procedure and completion of tracheostomy procedure.

## Secondary outcome measures

Measured during the procedure and immediately on completion of the procedure:

1. Combined complications (desaturation less than 92% during procedure, repositioning of airway device during procedure, loss of airway during procedure)
2. How many people required to help with airway maintenance
3. View on bronchoscopy of procedure
4. Time to airway ready
5. Total time from incision to tracheostomy placement

## Overall study start date

01/12/2009

## Overall study end date

01/12/2011

# Eligibility

## Participant inclusion criteria

1. Aged over 18 years, either sex
2. Require a percutaneous tracheostomy as part of ongoing intensive care therapy

## Participant type(s)

Patient

## Age group

Adult

## Lower age limit

18 Years

## Sex

Both

## Target number of participants

50

## Participant exclusion criteria

1. Aged less than 18 years
2. Patient or relative/welfare guardian refusal
3. Treating clinician refusal

## Recruitment start date

01/12/2009

## Recruitment end date

02/09/2011

# Locations

## Countries of recruitment

Scotland

United Kingdom

**Study participating centre**

**St John's Hospital**

Livingston

United Kingdom

EH54 6PP

## **Sponsor information**

**Organisation**

NHS Lothian (UK)

**Sponsor details**

c/o Dr Tina McClelland

R&D Governance Manager

Queens Medical Research Institute

47 Little France Crescent

Edinburgh

United Kingdom

EH16 4TJ

**Sponsor type**

Government

**Website**

<http://www.nhsllothian.scot.nhs.uk/>

**ROR**

<https://ror.org/03q82t418>

## **Funder(s)**

**Funder type**

Government

**Funder Name**

NHS Lothian (UK)

# Results and Publications

## Publication and dissemination plan

Not provided at time of registration

## Intention to publish date

## Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

## IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

## Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
<a href="#">Results article</a>	results	01/07/2014		Yes	No