# Measuring the outcome of neonatal intensive care: a randomised controlled trial of two methods of data collection.

Submission date	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	<ul><li>Prospectively registered</li></ul>		
23/01/2004		☐ Protocol		
Registration date 23/01/2004	Overall study status Completed	Statistical analysis plan		
		[X] Results		
<b>Last Edited</b> 08/12/2009	Condition category Neonatal Diseases	[] Individual participant data		

## **Plain English Summary**

Not provided at time of registration

## Contact information

## Type(s)

Scientific

#### Contact name

Prof David Field

#### Contact details

Department of Child Health University of Leicester RKCSB LRI Leicester United Kingdom LE2 7LX +44 (0)116 2585502

## Additional identifiers

**EudraCT/CTIS** number

**IRAS** number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers

# Study information

#### Scientific Title

## Study hypothesis

To compare two simple and inexpensive methods of obtaining long term health status data for high risk newborns. The need for ongoing national outcome data for these babies has been high lighted in a number of national reports. To be successful either method would need to provide outcome data on 95% of children alive at a corrected age of two years.

## Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

## Ethics approval(s)

Ethical approval from the eight local research ethics committees relating to the participating neonatal and community child health services (added 20/11/09)

## Study design

Randomised controlled trial

## Primary study design

Interventional

## Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

## Study setting(s)

Other

## Study type(s)

Other

## Participant information sheet

#### Condition

Neonatal diseases, Neonatal intesive care outcomes

#### **Interventions**

Outcome was measured at 2 years. The two approaches being tested were:

- 1. A questionnaire about health status completed by parents when the child reached a corrected age of 2 years
- 2. A questionnaire completed by a clerk based in the local community child service when the child reached a corrected age of 2 years. The clerk used routine information collected about the child.

Both questionnaires were based on a consensus statement developed in the early 1990s about the measurement of health status at 2 years. Nine hospitals in two old NHS regions (Trent and Wessex) were randomly allocated to one of the two methods. Parents gave written consent for

the inclusion of the child prior to discharge. For those in the 'parent arm' intermittent contact was maintained by using birthday and Christmas cards to prompt the family to inform the research team about changes of address. The clerk based in the child health dept. collected data regarding hospital attendances, copies of out patient letters etc. Intermittent telephone contact to the health visitor was also used. At the end of the study a 10% sample of children (half normal, half abnormal) were selected for independent examination to determine if data supplied by both methods were accurate. ONS flagging was used to prevent us contacting a family where the child had died.

## Intervention Type

Other

#### Phase

Not Applicable

## Primary outcome measure

Rate of ascertainment of outcome in all areas of development. Target >95%.

## Secondary outcome measures

Not provided at time of registration

## Overall study start date

01/09/1996

## Overall study end date

01/04/2000

## **Eligibility**

#### Participant inclusion criteria

For purposes of the investigation the "at risk" group will be defined as: any baby born less than or equal to 32 weeks gestation.

## Participant type(s)

Patient

#### Age group

Neonate

#### Sex

Both

## Target number of participants

472 (236 in each arm) (added 20/11/09)

#### Participant exclusion criteria

Does not match inclusion criteria

## Recruitment start date

01/09/1996

### Recruitment end date

01/04/2000

## Locations

## Countries of recruitment

England

**United Kingdom** 

Study participating centre Department of Child Health

Leicester United Kingdom LE2 7LX

# Sponsor information

## Organisation

Record Provided by the NHS R&D 'Time-Limited' National Programme Register - Department of Health (UK)

## Sponsor details

The Department of Health Richmond House 79 Whitehall London United Kingdom SW1A 2NL

## Sponsor type

Government

#### Website

http://www.doh.gov.uk

# Funder(s)

## Funder type

Government

## Funder Name

NHS Mother and Child Health National Research and Development Programme (UK)

# **Results and Publications**

## Publication and dissemination plan

Not provided at time of registration

Intention to publish date

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

## IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

## **Study outputs**

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	results	01/12/2001		Yes	No