

Dressing of diabetic foot ulcers; infection deterrent (DRUID)

Submission date 21/01/2019	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Protocol
Registration date 25/01/2019	Overall study status Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input type="checkbox"/> Results
Last Edited 25/07/2024	Condition category Circulatory System	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Record updated in last year

Plain English Summary

Background and study aims

Diabetic foot ulcers (DFU) can lead to infection and further deterioration to health. They are a considerable burden to both the NHS and the patient. The management of DFUs initially focuses on achieving wound healing. However, in many cases this objective is not met and chronic wound management then shifts its attention to avoiding infection and further complications. Clinicians have the option to treat the wound conservatively (non-antimicrobial dressings) or more aggressively (antimicrobial dressings to actively kill bacteria in the wound). At present there is a lack of data available to aid clinicians in deciding what approach is best. This study will explore if one approach is potentially better than the other in terms of reducing the risk of infection and promoting wound healing.

Who can participate?

Patients aged over 18 with a diabetic foot ulcer

What does the study involve?

Participants are allocated at random to one of the three groups to be treated with either a non-antimicrobial dressing, a rotational regime of three different chemical-based antimicrobial dressings (honey, silver, iodine), or a physical antimicrobial dressing, for 18 weeks or until ulcer healing has been achieved. In addition to recording the need to change wound management due to significant deterioration or improvement, patient and clinician satisfaction are compared, as are infection rates and wound healing outcomes.

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

Not provided at time of registration

Where is the study run from?

Cumbria Partnership NHS Foundation Trust (UK)

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for?

January 2019 to May 2020

Who is funding the study?

BSN Medical

Who is the main contact?

Dr Leon Jonker

leon.jonker@cumbria.nhs.uk

Contact information

Type(s)

Scientific

Contact name

Dr Leon Jonker

ORCID ID

<http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5867-4663>

Contact details

Science & Innovation Manager

Cumbria Partnership NHS Foundation Trust

E27 Ruskin Corridor

The Carleton Clinic

Cumwhinton Drive

Carlisle

United Kingdom

CA1 3SX

+44 (0)176824 5975

leon.jonker@nihr.ac.uk

Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers

39550

Study information

Scientific Title

Investigation of different dressing strategies for diabetic foot ulcers to minimise risk of infection: a prospective, randomised, controlled, feasibility clinical trial

Acronym

DRUID

Study hypothesis

The aim of this randomised, controlled, prospective pilot trial is to determine and compare the wound healing efficacy of three different dressing regime approaches to DFU management. These are a) non-antimicrobial dressing, b) a rotational approach with dressings that exert an antimicrobial effect through chemical interaction with bacteria, and c) a dressing that interacts with bacteria through physical interaction.

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

North East – Tyne & Wear South Research Ethics Committee, NHSBT Newcastle Blood Donor Centre, Holland Drive, Newcastle upon Tyne, NE2 4NQ, Tel: 0207 1048084, Email: nrescommittee.northeast-tyneandwearsouth@nhs.net, NRES approval 17/12/2018, HRA approval 17/12/2018, REC ref: 18/NE/0330

Study design

Randomised; Interventional; Design type: Treatment, Device

Primary study design

Interventional

Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

Study setting(s)

Hospital

Study type(s)

Treatment

Participant information sheet

Not available in web format, please use the contact details to request a patient information sheet

Condition

Diabetic foot ulcer

Interventions

Following written consent, at week 0, participants are allocated at random to one of the three arms in a 1:1:1 fashion. A randomised sequence from the freeware randomisation programme, see <https://www.randomizer.org/> will be used to obtain the randomised list. Since the eligible patients with DFUs have chronic wounds, there is ample time for the patient to decide whether to take part or not, and to allocate a randomisation arm once the patient has provided written informed consent. When the patient has provided written informed consent the treating podiatrist will either phone or e-mail the research department for the next allocation. At this stage the relevant dressing treatment regime will commence. For Arm B (rotation), the order will be Actilite, Urgotul SSD, each for 2 weeks and then same order again beyond week 6. Three different dressing regimes will be applied, and one of these regimes will utilise three different dressings. Therefore, a total of five different dressings will be utilised.

A: Urgotul, active element: TLC (technology lipido-colloid)

B: Actilite, Urgotul SSD, Inadine (2-week rotation in this order), active element: manuka honey, silver, iodine, respectively

C: Cutimed Sorbact, active element: DACC (dialkylcarbamoyl chloride)

A, B, C: Tegaderm Foam Adhesive, secondary dressing for all arms

Participants will be randomised to one of three arms, see Table 1, for 18 weeks or until ulcer healing has been achieved (if the latter occurs then they will still be followed up according to the study schedule). All participants will have demographic data obtained and a number of baseline and follow-up measures, see Table 2. In total, patients will be in the trial for 26 weeks.

Intervention Type

Other

Primary outcome measure

The bioburden in the index DFU, as measured by microbiological diagnostics at week 0, 6, 12, 18. The bacterial load will be quantified using the following formula: bacterial load (CFU/g) = (number of CFUs on plate × 103) / dilution. This will be performed for aerobic and anaerobic cultures. Any change at follow-up versus week 0 baseline figure will be calculated. In addition identify bacterial species and test antibiotic sensitivity. The bacterial species will be identified by standard microbiological techniques, including gram stain and microscopic examination, and trial specimen samples will be processed and analysed in the same microbiology laboratory where regular samples are sent, per Trust standard operating procedures. Levine's technique will be applied for swabbing of the wound (Levine et al, 1976). Samples, taken with a sterile cotton swab and placed in containing charcoal transport medium, will be sent to the laboratory asap. Wound swabs should reach the laboratory on the day that they are taken, but in exceptional circumstances can be stored in a specimen fridge overnight. Specimens must not be left over the weekend or bank holidays.

Secondary outcome measures

1. Wound size and characteristics, assessed at week 0, 3, 6, 9, 12 and 18:
 - 1.1. Foot ulcer size, measured with Coloplast grid tool (week 0, 3, 6, 9, 12, 18), with week 18 being primary outcome measure.
 - 1.2. Clinical characterisation of wound (incl. erythema, purulence, odour, plus depth)
 - 1.3. PUSH and Texas Diabetes Foot Ulcer clinical severity score
 - 1.4. Wound closure status and incidences of adverse events
 - 1.5. Wound depth
2. Incidence of requirement to deviate from the randomised intervention arm due to significant deterioration or improvement in foot ulcer status, as determined by the treating clinician
3. Microbiological assessment of the diabetic foot ulcer (bacterial count and identification, antibiotic sensitivity) at week 0, 6, 12 and 18
4. Safety of applied dressing regimes (ongoing):
 - 4.1. Wound infection incidence
 - 4.2. Reaction to dressing
 - 4.3. Need for secondary interventions (incl. need for surgery, admission to hospital, iv antibiotics)
5. Patient-reported outcome measures at week 0, 9 and 18:
 - 5.1. Patient mobility measured using LifeSpace questionnaire
 - 5.2. Wound-related quality of life measured using EQ-5D-5L and Cardiff Wound Impact Questionnaire
 - 5.3. Pain associated with diabetic foot ulcer measured using Visual Analogue Score
6. Patient satisfaction of comfort and impact of dressing, measured using questionnaire at week

18

7. Feasibility of full RCT assessed using:

7.1. Number of eligible patients who consent to participating in the pilot trial

7.2. Recruitment to planned schedule and timelines.

7.3. Withdrawal rates

7.4. Attrition rates

Overall study start date

01/10/2018

Overall study end date

31/12/2020

Eligibility

Participant inclusion criteria

1. Clinical diagnosis of a Foot Ulcer, present on area that is measurable with a grid sheet (this can include plantar, calcaneus, dorsal, hallux, apex, toe, or ankle-based ulcers). This includes DFU, peripheral arterial disease related wound, or other aetiology. Ulcer should not penetrate the tendon, periosteum or bone

2. Foot ulcer present for at least 6 weeks

3. Adult patients aged >18 years

4. Mental capacity to give consent

5. At least one of the following factors:

5.1. Poorly controlled diabetes (HbA1c > 8% / 64 mmol/mol measured within last 6 months)

5.2. Multiple concurrent foot ulcers

5.3. Recurrence of wounds

5.4. Wound present for more than 18 weeks

5.5. Peripheral arterial disease

5.6. Poor compliance with best practice in foot ulcer management, including non-optimal use of off-loading device

Participant type(s)

Patient

Age group

Adult

Lower age limit

18 Years

Sex

Both

Target number of participants

Planned Sample Size: 45; UK Sample Size: 45

Total final enrolment

40

Participant exclusion criteria

1. Under the age of 18 years
2. Unable to fully understand the consent process and provide informed consent due to either language barriers or mental capacity
3. Limited life expectancy, i.e. undergoing palliative care
4. Active infection in foot ulcer that cannot be managed in podiatry service (ie requires specialist secondary care intervention)
5. Currently receiving antibiotics (topical or systemic), or within one week of receiving antibiotics.
6. Patients who are participating in another research study involving an investigational product that is related to the DFU or a co-morbidity that may influence wound healing (incl diabetes, peripheral arterial disease, immune disorder)
7. The patient has concurrent (medical) conditions that in the opinion of the investigator may compromise patient safety or study objectives, including alcohol or drug dependency.
8. Foot ulcer in area of the foot which would make ulcer size measurement impossible
9. Patient pregnant, actively planning to become pregnant, or lactating
10. Ankle brachial index < 0.6, measured within 3 months of baseline visit (if ABPI cannot be established due to diabetes complications, and podiatrist is confident – on basis of clinical information - that ABPI is > 0.6 then patient can be enrolled)
11. Any condition that is contraindicated for the use of any of the dressings used in this trial. This includes the earlier mentioned pregnancy, but also severe renal impairment (< 30 ml/min/1.73m², see <https://bnf.nice.org.uk/guidance/prescribing-in-renal-impairment.html>), concomitant use of lithium, and thyroid disease (medically diagnosed hypo- or hyperthyroidism, thyroid cancer and/or use of thyroid medication)

Recruitment start date

28/01/2019

Recruitment end date

31/07/2020

Locations

Countries of recruitment

England

United Kingdom

Study participating centre

Cumbria Partnership NHS Foundation Trust

Carleton Clinic

Carlisle

United Kingdom

CA1 3SX

Sponsor information

Organisation

Cumbria Partnership NHS Foundation Trust

Sponsor details

c/o Barbara Cooper
Cumwhinton Dr
Carlisle
England
United Kingdom
CA1 3SX
+44 (0)1228608926
Barbara.cooper@cumbria.nhs.uk

Sponsor type

Hospital/treatment centre

Funder(s)

Funder type

Industry

Funder Name

BSN medical GmbH

Results and Publications

Publication and dissemination plan

The trialists intend to submit the results of this study for publication in a peer-reviewed journal by end July 2019

Intention to publish date

01/12/2024

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

The datasets generated and/or analysed during the current study during this study will be included in the subsequent results publication

IPD sharing plan summary

Other

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Protocol file	version v1.1	05/11/2018	25/01/2019	No	No
HRA research summary			28/06/2023	No	No