

# Ovarian function after the use of various hemostatic techniques during treatment for endometrioma

<b>Submission date</b> 17/12/2017	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Protocol
<b>Registration date</b> 18/12/2017	<b>Overall study status</b> Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input type="checkbox"/> Results
<b>Last Edited</b> 08/12/2020	<b>Condition category</b> Pregnancy and Childbirth	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data <input type="checkbox"/> Record updated in last year

## Plain English summary of protocol

**Introduction:** Endometriosis is defined by the presence of endometrial tissue outside the uterine cavity due to causes not yet fully elucidated. The disease affects approximately 2% of women of reproductive age and is associated with infertility. Approximately 17% to 44% of women with endometriosis exhibit endometrioma, or ovarian endometriosis. Laparoscopic cystectomy is currently considered the gold standard treatment for this problem, resulting in improvement of symptoms, a lower recurrence rate and a higher pregnancy rate among infertile patients. However, several studies have shown that this treatment is not free from risks because it is associated with reduction of the ovarian reserve due to accidental removal of ovarian cortex during stripping of the capsule or damage caused by the coagulation energy during hemostasis, even when performed by experienced surgeons. There is still controversy in the literature as to the cause of the reduction of the ovarian reserve, as the mere presence of endometrioma reduces ovarian function by itself.

**Aim:** To compare the effects of different hemostatic methods on the ovarian function of women subjected to laparoscopic surgery for ovarian endometrioma.

**Methods:** Open-label randomized clinical trial to be conducted at Lauro Wanderley University Hospital from September 2017 to August 2020. Eighty-four patients will be randomly allocated to three groups according to the hemostatic technique used during laparoscopic surgery for ovarian endometrioma: bipolar coagulation, laparoscopic suture and hemostatic matrix. Ovarian function will be assessed by measuring serum anti-Mullerian hormone and follicle-stimulating hormone levels and by ultrasound antral follicle counts before surgery and 1, 3 and 6 months after surgery.

## Contact information

### Type(s)

Public

### Contact name

Mrs Raquel Araújo

**Contact details**

Rua Do Sol, 100, Miramar  
João Pessoa  
Brazil  
58043-330  
+55 83 99305-9773  
raquel.silveira@gmail.com

**Additional identifiers**

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers

U1111-1203-2508

**Study information****Scientific Title**

Ovarian function after the use of various hemostatic techniques during treatment for endometrioma

**Study objectives**

Among patients with ovarian endometrioma subjected to laparoscopy surgery and randomized to receive hemostasis following stripping of the capsule through bipolar coagulation, suture or hemostatic agents:

1. Ovarian function is less damaged when a hemostatic matrix is used compared with suture and bipolar coagulation.
2. Ovarian function is less damaged when suture is performed compared with a hemostatic matrix and bipolar coagulation.
3. Ovarian function is less damaged when suture and a hemostatic matrix are used compared with bipolar coagulation.
4. There are no differences among the methods.

**Ethics approval required**

Old ethics approval format

**Ethics approval(s)**

Research Ethics Committee at the Medical Sciences Center, Federal University of Paraíba, Certificate of Presentation for Ethical Assessment (CAAE), ref: no. 71621717.9.0000.8069, [www.plataformabrasil.saude.gov.br](http://www.plataformabrasil.saude.gov.br).

**Study design**

An open-label randomised clinical trial will be performed to compare the impact of hemostatic techniques (bipolar coagulation versus laparoscopic suture versus hemostatic matrix) during laparoscopic surgery for ovarian endometrioma on the ovarian follicular reserve.

**Primary study design**

Interventional

**Secondary study design**

Randomised controlled trial

**Study setting(s)**

Hospital

**Study type(s)**

Treatment

**Participant information sheet****Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied**

Compare the impact of hemostatic techniques (bipolar coagulation versus laparoscopic suture versus hemostatic matrix) during laparoscopic surgery for ovarian endometrioma on the ovarian follicular reserve

**Interventions**

Hemostatic techniques (bipolar coagulation versus laparoscopic suture versus hemostatic matrix) during laparoscopic surgery for ovarian endometrioma.

The sample are divided into three groups according to the hemostatic technique used:

1. Bipolar coagulation (bipolar tweezers, Astus Medical ©, Copyright 2015, Tampa FL, USA) with 30 W power and a Valleylab generator (Medtronic ©, Copyright 2017, Medtronic Parkway, Minneapolis, USA); the number of coagulated points will be counted, and the time for coagulation will be measured in seconds.
2. Laparoscopic suturing with simple suture (2-0/Vicryl polyglactin absorbable synthetic suture; Ethicon Inc., New Jersey, USA); the number of sutures are recorded.
3. Hemostatic matrix (Surgicel® Original Absorbable Hemostat, Ethicon, USA).

**Intervention Type**

Procedure/Surgery

**Primary outcome measure**

Anti-Mullerian hormone (AMH) measurement before surgery (1 month before surgery) and 1, 3 and 6 months after surgery

**Secondary outcome measures**

Follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH) FSH measurement before surgery (1 month before surgery) and 1, 3 and 6 months after surgery

Ultrasound antral follicle count before surgery (1 month before surgery) and 1, 3 and 6 months after surgery

**Overall study start date**

01/09/2017

**Completion date**

30/08/2020

# Eligibility

## Key inclusion criteria

1. Age 18 to 40 years old
2. Regular menstrual cycle (21 to 35 days)
3. Unilateral ovarian cyst suggestive of endometrioma, measuring 3 to 7 cm, on ultrasound
4. Endometrioma and indication of laparoscopic surgery for cyst removal due to pelvic pain, infertility or cyst persistence

## Participant type(s)

Patient

## Age group

Adult

## Lower age limit

18 Years

## Sex

Female

## Target number of participants

86

## Key exclusion criteria

1. Previous ovarian surgery
2. Endocrine dysfunction (diabetes, thyroid disorders, hyperprolactinemia, adrenal disease, polycystic ovary syndrome)
3. Use of hormones in the past 3 months
4. Suspected ovarian malignant tumor requiring oophorectomy
5. History of chemotherapy or radiotherapy
6. Coagulation disorders
7. Pregnancy
8. Autoimmune disease
9. Severe endometriosis

## Date of first enrolment

05/02/2018

## Date of final enrolment

30/04/2020

# Locations

## Countries of recruitment

Brazil

## Study participating centre

**Lauro Wanderley University Hospital (HULW)**

João Pessoa

Brazil

58033-455

**Study participating centre**

**Santa Casa de Sao Paulo School of Medical Science**

Sao Paulo

Brazil

01221-020

## **Sponsor information**

**Organisation**

Santa Casa de Sao Paulo School of Medical Science

**Sponsor details**

R Dr Cesário Motta Jr, 61

São Paulo

Brazil

01221-020

+55 11 3367-7700

faleconosco@fcmsantacasasp.edu.br

**Sponsor type**

Hospital/treatment centre

**ROR**

<https://ror.org/01z6qpb13>

## **Funder(s)**

**Funder type**

Research organisation

**Funder Name**

Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo

**Alternative Name(s)**

São Paulo Research Foundation, State of São Paulo Research Foundation, Foundation for Research Support of the State of São Paulo, FAPESP

**Funding Body Type**

Private sector organisation

**Funding Body Subtype**

Local government

**Location**

Brazil

## Results and Publications

**Publication and dissemination plan****Intention to publish date****Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan****IPD sharing plan summary**

Available on request

**Study outputs**

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
<a href="#">Protocol article</a>	protocol	09/07/2019	08/12/2020	Yes	No