

Study Title: A single-blind, randomised, phase II UK multi-centre study to determine reactogenicity and immunogenicity of heterologous prime/boost COVID-19 vaccine schedules – Stage 2

Short Title: Comparing COVID-19 Vaccine Schedule Combinations – Stage 2 (Com-COV2)

Ethics Ref: 21/SC/0119

IRAS Project ID: 297443

ISRCTN Number: 27841311

EudraCT Number: 2021-001275-16

OVG Study Number: OVG 2021/01

Date and Version No: 22-Sep-2021 V6.1

	Associate Professor Maheshi Ramasamy		
Chief Investigatory	Centre for Clinical Vaccinology and Tropical Medicine		
Chief Investigator:	University of Oxford,		
	Churchill Hospital, Old Road, Headington, Oxford, OX3 7LE		
	P Heath	B Hallis	
	C Green	Ruth Payne	
Investigators:	D Turner	Patrick Lillie	
	A Collins	Anna Goodman	
	V Libri	Chris Duncan	

Com-COV2 Protocol

Date & Version No: 20-July-2022 V7.1

Sponsor:	University of Oxford
	UK Vaccine Task Force (VTF) National Institute Health Research (NIHR)
Funder:	Collaboration for Epidemic Preparedness (CEPI)
	Novavax (Vaccine supply)
Chief Investigator	
Signature:	Maheshi Ramasamy
	Xinxue Liu, Oxford
Statistician Signature:	Nick Andrews, PHE

Trial Title: A single-blind, randomised, phase II UK multi-centre study to determine reactogenicity and immunogenicity of heterologous prime/boost COVID-19 vaccine schedules – Stage 2

EudraCT Number: 2021-001275-16

Protocol Date and Version No: 22- Sep-2021, V6.1

Protocol signature page

The undersigned has read and understood the trial protocol detailed above and agrees to conduct the trial in compliance with the protocol.

Com-COV2 Protocol

Signature

Principal Investigator

Site name or ID

Date

number

Table of Contents

1.	KEY TRIAL CONTACTS			
2.	CON	T OF INTEREST DECLARATION9		
3.	LAY	SUMMARY	9	
4.	SYN	OPSIS	10	
5.	ABB	REVIATIONS	13	
6.	BAC	KGROUND AND RATIONALE	16	
e	5.1.	Potential benefits	18	
6	5.2.	Potential risks	19	
	6.2.2	1. Unapproved schedules and vaccines	19	
	6.2.2	2. Associated with phlebotomy	19	
	6.2.3	3. Associated with saliva sampling	20	
	6.2.4	4. Associated with nasal fluid sampling	20	
	6.2.5	5. Allergic reactions	20	
	6.2.6	6. Behaviour change	20	
	6.2.7	7. Specific risks from vaccines	20	
	6.2.8	8. Receiving a vaccine schedule that is not found to be non-inferior	20	
	6.2.9	9. Antibody-dependent enhancement and immunopathology	20	
	6.2.2	10. Emerging Thrombosis with Thrombocytopenia Association with vaccination	21	
	6.2.2	11. Unwanted media attention	21	
7.	OBJE	ECTIVES AND OUTCOME MEASURES	22	
8.	TRIA	AL DESIGN	23	
8	3.1.	Setting	24	
8	3.2.	Trial duration	24	
8	3.3.	Study groups	24	
9.	PAR	TICIPANT IDENTIFICATION	25	
9	9.1.	Trial participants	25	
g	9.2.	Inclusion criteria	25	
g	9.3.	Exclusion criteria	26	
Cor	9.3.1 n-CO\	1. Temporary exclusion criteria	27	

10.	TRIA	L PROCEDURES	28
10	0.1.	Recruitment	28
	10.1.1.	Identification of volunteers	28
10	0.2.	Screening and eligibility assessment	29
	10.2.1.	Initial screening	29
	10.2.2.	Telephone screening visit(s)	29
	10.2.3.	Screening during Visit 1	30
10	0.3.	Informed consent	30
10	0.4.	Randomisation	32
10	0.5.	Blinding and code-breaking	32
10	0.6.	Visits	33
	10.6.1.	Visit 1 (D0): Final eligibility check, enrolment and vaccination visit	33
	10.6.2.	Safety review	36
	10.6.3.	Subsequent visits	36
	10.6.4.	Participants under quarantine	37
	10.6.5.	Timing of study visits around national COVID-19 immunisation '3rd dose' boosts	37
	10.6.6.	Participants with confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection (COVID-19 pathway)	37
	10.6.7.	Admission of participants to hospital with COVID-19 infection	39
10	0.7.	Sample handling	39
	10.7.1.	Sample handling for trial purposes	39
	10.7.2.	Sample handling for standard of care	41
10	0.8.	Early discontinuation/Withdrawal of participants	41
10	0.9.	Definition of end of trial	42
11.	TRIA	L INTERVENTIONS	42
13	1.1.	Investigational Medical Product(s) (IMP) Description	42
	11.1.1.	Vaccine A – AstraZeneca COVID-19 vaccine (ChAdOx1 nCOV-19)	42
	11.1.2.	VACCINE B – Pfizer BioNTech (BNT162b2)	43
	11.1.3.	VACCINE C – COVID-19 Vaccine Moderna	44
	11.1.4.	VACCINE D – Novavax, NVXCoV2373	44
13	1.2.	Blinding of IMPs	45
13	1.3.	Storage of IMP	45
	11.3.1.	Vaccine A – AstraZeneca COVID-19 vaccine (ChAdOx1 nCOV-19)	45
	11.3.2.	Vaccine B - Pfizer BioNTech (BNT162b2)	45
	11.3.3.	Vaccine C – COVID-19 Vaccine Moderna	46
	11.3.4.	Vaccine D – Novavax, NVXCoV2373	47
13	1.4.	Compliance with trial treatment	47
13	1.5.	Accountability of the trial treatment	47
13	1.6.	Concomitant medication	47

	11.7.	Post-trial treatment	48
	11.8.	Other treatments (non-IMPs)	48
	11.9.	Other interventions	48
12	. SAFE	TY REPORTING	48
	12.1.	Safety reporting window	48
	12.2.	Adverse Event Definitions	49
	12.3.	Assessment results outside of normal parameters as AEs and SAEs	50
	12.3.1.	Clinical	50
	12.3.2.	Laboratory	50
	12.4.	Assessment of severity	50
	12.5.	Assessment of causality	52
	12.6.	Procedures for reporting Adverse Events	53
	12.6.1.	Solicited AEs	53
	12.6.2.	Unsolicited AEs	53
	12.6.3.	Medically Attended AEs	54
	12.7.	Reporting procedures for Serious Adverse Events	54
	12.7.1.	Events exempt from immediate reporting as SAEs	55
	12.8.	Expectedness	55
	12.8.1.	SAEs	55
	12.8.2.	Foreseeable Adverse Reactions	55
	12.9.	Adverse Events of Special Interest	56
	12.9.1.	Disease enhancement following vaccination	58
	12.10.	SUSAR reporting	58
	12.11.	Development Safety Update Reports	58
	12.12.	Interim reviews	58
	12.13.	Safety holding rules	59
	12.14.	Contraception and pregnancy	59
	12.14.1	Contraception	59
	12.14.2	Pregnancy	59
13	B. STAT	TISTICS	60
	13.1.	Sample size	60
	13.2.	Description of statistical methods	61
	13.3.	Missing data	62
	13.4.	Interim analyses	62
14	l. DAT	A MANAGEMENT	62
	14.1.	Access to Data & Data Protection	62
	14.2.	Data Recording	62
	14.3.	Record keeping	63

1	4.4.	Source data and Case Report Forms (CRFs)	64
1	4.5.	Data Quality	65
1	4.6.	Data Sharing	65
15.	Qua	lity Assurance Procedures	65
1	.5.1.	Risk Assessment	65
1	.5.2.	Monitoring	65
1	.5.3.	Trial committees	66
	15.3.1.	Trial Steering Committee	66
	15.3.2.	Safety Monitoring Committee	66
	15.3.3.	Study Management Committee	66
16.	PRO	TOCOL DEVIATIONS	66
17.	SERI	OUS BREACHES	66
18.	ETH	CAL AND REGULATORY CONSIDERATIONS	67
1	.8.1.	Declaration of Helsinki	67
1	.8.2.	Guidelines for Good Clinical Practice	67
1	.8.3.	Approvals	67
1	.8.4.	Other Ethical Considerations	67
1	.8.5.	Reporting	68
1	.8.6.	Transparency in Research	68
1	.8.7.	Participant confidentiality	68
1	.8.8.	Expenses and Benefits	68
19.	FINA	NCE AND INSURANCE	69
1	.9.1.	Funding	69
1	.9.2.	Insurance	69
1	.9.3.	Contractual arrangements	69
20.	PUB	LICATION POLICY	69
21.		ELOPMENT OF A NEW PRODUCT / PROCESS OR THE GENERATION OF INTELLECTUAL	
22.		HIVING	
23.		ERENCES	
24.		ENDIX A: SCHEDULE OF PROCEDURES	
25.		ENDIX B: AMENDMENT HISTORY	
26.		ENDIX C: Toxicity grading scale for Laboratory AEs	
27.	APP	ENDIX D: BLOOD SAMPLING	77

1. KEY TRIAL CONTACTS

Chief	Professor MaheshiRamasamy	
Investigator	Consultant Physician, Oxford University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust	
	Senior Clinical Researcher, Oxford Vaccine Group, University of Oxford, Centre	
	for Clinical Vaccinology and Tropical Medicine (CCVTM), Churchill Hospital,	
	Oxford, OX3 7LE, United Kingdom.	
	maheshi.ramasamy@paediatrics.ox.ac.uk	
Sponsor	University of Oxford	
	Clinical Trials and Research Governance, Joint Research Office	
	Boundary Brook House	
	Churchill Drive, Headington,	
	Oxford, OX3 7GB, United Kingdom	
	ctrg@admin.ox.ac.uk	
Funder(s)	UK Vaccine Task Force (VTF)	
	National Institute Health Research (NIHR)	
	Collaboration for Epidemic Preparedness (CEPI)	
	Novavax (Vaccine supply)	
Clinical Trials	Oxford Vaccine Group,	
Unit	University of Oxford,	
	Centre for Clinical Vaccinology and Tropical Medicine (CCVTM),	
	Churchill Hospital,	
	Oxford, OX3 7LE, United Kingdom	
	info@ovg.ox.ac.uk	

Date & Version No: 20-July-2022 V7.1

Statisticians | Xinxue Liu

Oxford Vaccine Group, Centre for Clinical Vaccinology and Tropical Medicine

(CCVTM),

University of Oxford, Churchill Hospital, Oxford, OX3 7LE,

xinxue.liu@paediatrics.ox.ac.uk

Nick Andrews

Public Health England

61 Colindale Ave, London NW9 5EQ

nick.andrews@phe.gov.uk

Committees Data Safety Monitoring Board

David Lewis (Chair)

Judith Breuer, Virologist, University College London

Stephen Evans, Statistician, London School Hygiene & Tropical Medicine

Krishnan Bhaskaran, London School Hygiene & Tropical Medicine

Ian Feavers, Senior Research Assistant, University of Oxford

Paul Moss, Professor of Haematology, University of Birmingham

Hanna Nohynek, Physician, Deputy Head of the Unit for Infectious Disease

Control, Finland

Mark Toshner, University Lecturer, University of Cambridge

Trial Steering Group

Mary Ramsay, Public Health England

Robert Read, University of Southampton

Paul Turner, Imperial College London

Claire Cameron, Public Health Scotland

Study Management Group

M Ramasamy D Turner

P Heath B Hallis

C Green R Payne

V Libri P Lillie

A Collins A Goodman

C Duncan

Com-COV2 Protocol

©Copyright: The University of Oxford

2. CONFLICT OF INTEREST DECLARATION

The ChAdOx1 nCOV-19 vaccine was developed as a partnership between the University of

Oxford, who are sponsoring and coordinating this study, and AstraZeneca. The University of

Oxford and AstraZeneca have committed to making the vaccine available on a 'not for profit'

basis for the duration of the current pandemic. Both parties could potentially profit from this

vaccine in the future.

M Ramasamy is an investigator on the Cov001 and Cov002 studies evaluating ChAdOx1 nCOV19,

these studies are funded by NIHR and receive logistical support from AstraZeneca. M Ramasamy

is an investigator on Com-COV, funded by the NIHR. M Ramasamy is also an investigator on the

COV009 and COV-Variant trials, funded by AstraZeneca. COV009 an extension study for ChAdOx1

nCoV-19 and COV-Variant a study of AZD2816 for the prevention of COVID-19 caused by variant

strains of SARS-CoV-2.

She receives no personal financial benefit for this work.

3. LAY SUMMARY

On the 2nd of December 2020 the MHRA granted emergency authorisation for a vaccine against

COVID-19, 'COVID-19 mRNA Vaccine BNT162b2'. This was followed by emergency authorisation

of the Oxford/AstraZeneca ChAdOx1 nCOV-19 vaccine on the 29th December 2020. The MHRA

then similarly granted emergency authorisation for the mRNA COVID-19 Vaccine Moderna on 8th

January 2021. The adjuvanted protein COVID-19 vaccine from Novavax, NVXCoV2373, is under

rolling review of the MHRA at the time of writing. All of these vaccines were originally developed

for use as homologous two-dose regimens. There would be significant advantages to having

flexible immunisation programmes whereby the second vaccine dose is not necessarily the same

as the first dose. Accordingly, this study will determine the safety as well as the immune

responses to a variety of combinations of boost schedules for COVID-19 vaccines that are

deployed, or will potentially be deployed, in the UK. The vaccines to be studied in this protocol

will primarily be determined by those made available to the Department of Health and Social

Care (DHSC) for population use. This study follows on and works in parallel with the Com-COV

(Ethics Ref: 21/SC/0022, IRAS Project ID: 291055, ISRCTN: 69254139) study to answer the same

questions in a pandemic-relevant timeframe but involving different vaccines, and with

randomisation at dose two rather than dose one.

Com-COV2 Protocol

©Copyright: The University of Oxford

Page **9** of **79**

4. SYNOPSIS

Trial Title	A single-blind, randomised, phase II UK multi-centre study to determine reactogenicity and immunogenicity of heterologous prime/boost COVID-19 vaccine schedules - Stage 2
Internal ref. no. (or short title)	Comparing COVID-19 Vaccine Schedule Combinations – Stage 2 (Com-COV2)
Trial registration	EudraCT 2021-001275-16 ISRCTN: 27841311
Sponsor	University of Oxford, Clinical Trials and Research Governance, Joint Research Office, Boundary Brook House Churchill Drive, Headington Oxford OX3 7GB, United Kingdom
Funder	UK Vaccine Task Force (VTF) National Institute Health Research (NIHR) Collaboration for Epidemic Preparedness (CEPI) Novavax (Vaccine supply)
Clinical Phase II	
Trial Design	Single-blind, randomised homologous/heterologous-boost vaccine administration study
Trial Participants	Adults aged 50 years of age and above
Sample Size	A total of 1050 (+ up to an additional 10%) participants will be enrolled, all of whom will have had their first dose of COVID-19 vaccine in the community as part of the NHS vaccine roll-out programme. Participants will be evenly divided by prime vaccine type (525 per prime vaccine group). Within these vaccine groups participants will be enrolled into either an Immunology cohort who will have more visits (n=150 in total, 75 from each vaccine prime group) or a General cohort (n=900 in total, 450 from each vaccine prime group). Within each of these vaccine groups and cohorts participants will be randomised to receive either a homologous or heterologous boost as outlined in section 8.3.
Planned Trial Period	Approximately 7-10 months per participant

Com-COV2 Protocol

	Total trial period 1 year, 7 months			
	Objectives	Outcome Measures	Timepoint(s)	
Primary	To determine whether the immune response to immunisation with a heterologous boost of a COVID-19 vaccine (boosted 56-84 days post-prime) is non-inferior to that observed following immunisation with a homologous boost (boosted 56-84 days post prime), in participants seronegative to SARS CoV-2 nucleocapsid IgG at enrolment	Immunogenicity: Anti- spike immunoglobulins	Day 28 (post boost)	
	To assess safety of heterologous boost COVID-19 vaccines	Serious adverse events Adverse events of special interest	Throughout the study	
	Further characterisation of immunogenicity of heterologous & homologous boost schedules*	Anti-spike immunoglobulins	D0, 7, 14, 56, 112, 294	
		Neutralising antibodies against SARS-CoV-2	D0, 14, 28, 56, 112, 294	
		Anti-nucleocapsid immunoglobulins	D0, 28, 112, 294	
		Pseudo neutralising antibodies	D0, 14, 28, 56, 112, 294	
Secondary		Cellular immune responses by ELISpot	D0, 14, 28, 56, 112, 294	
		Cellular immune responses by ICS (Th1/Th2)	D0, 14	
	*D7 and 14 analysis only for immunology cohort (n=150)			
	Reactogenicity and safety of heterologous & homologous boost schedules of COVID-19	Solicited local reactions	7 days after immunisation	
		Solicited systemic reactions	7 days after immunisation	
	vaccines	Unsolicited reactions	28 days after immunisation	

		Medically attended adverse events	Up to 3 months post boost dose (i.e. 3 months from enrolment)
		Changes from baseline in laboratory safety measures	D0, 7, 28**
	** <u>D</u> 7 safety bloods only for immun	ology cohort (n=150)	
	Evaluation of immunogenicity, safety & reactogenicity of COVID-19 vaccines in participants seropositive for SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid IgG at enrolment	Immunogenicity, safety & reactogenicity endpoints as outlined above	Timepoints as outlined above
Exploratory	To characterise COVID-19 infections experienced following administration of vaccination and the immune response to those infections	Anti-spike & anti- nucleocapsid immunoglobulins, neutralising and pseudo- neutralising antibodies, cellular immune response by ICS and ELISpot Genome sequencing of SARS-CoV-2 viruses isolated from infected participants	From enrolment, and within 1 week of a participant being found to be SARS-CoV-2 positive by external testing
	To characterise and compare the mucosal immune response to immunisation with homologous and heterologous COVID-19 vaccines in the immunology cohort using nasal fluid (collected using SAM-strips) and saliva samples	IgA & IgG ELISA and exploratory immunological assays	D0, 7, 14, 28, 56, 112, 294
	To further characterise the blood antibody response in the immunology cohort	Functional antibody assays	D0, 7, 14, 28, 56, 112, 294

Com-COV2 Protocol Date & Version No: 20-July-2022 V7.1

Intervention(s)
- IMP(s)

Vaccine	Dose	Route of administration
AstraZeneca COVID-19 vaccine AZD1222 (ChAdOx1 nCOV-19)	5x10 ¹⁰ vp (0.5ml)	Intramuscular
Pfizer BioNTech (BNT162b2)	30 μg (0.3ml)	Intramuscular
COVID-19 Vaccine Moderna	0.10mg mRNA (0.5ml)	Intramuscular
Novavax, NVXCoV2373	5 μg SARS-CoV-2 rS + 50 μg Matrix-M1 adjuvant (0.5ml)	Intramuscular

5. ABBREVIATIONS

ADE	Antibody Dependant Enhancement
AE	Adverse event
AESI	Adverse Event of Special Interest
Anti-N IgG	Anti-nucleocapsid protein Immunoglobulin G
Anti-S IgG	Anti-spike protein Immunoglobulin G
AR	Adverse reaction
C-19P	COVID-19 Pathway
CCVTM	Centre for Clinical Vaccinology and Tropical Medicine, Oxford
СЕРІ	Collaboration for Epidemic Preparedness Innovations
ChAdOx1	Chimpanzee adenovirus 1
ChAdOx1-nCoV-19	Oxford/AstraZeneca COVID-19 vaccine
CI	Chief Investigator

Com-COV2 Protocol

COVID-19 Vaccine Moderna	Moderna COVID-19 vaccine
CRF	Case Report Form
СТ	Clinical Trials
СТА	Clinical Trials Authorisation
CTRG	Clinical Trials and Research Governance
DSMB	Data Safety Monitoring Board
DSUR	Development Safety Update Report
EDC	Electronic Data Capture
ELISPOT	Enzyme-linked Immunospot
FBC	Full blood count
GCP	Good Clinical Practice
GMT	Geometric Mean Titre
GP	General Practitioner
HIV	Human Immunodeficiency virus
HRA	Health Research Authority
IB	Investigators Brochure
ICS	Intracellular Cytokine Staining
ICF	Informed Consent Form
IM	Intramuscular
IMP	Investigational Medicinal Product
IV	Intravenous
JCVI	Joint Committee on Vaccines and Immunisation
MHRA	Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency
mRNA	Messenger ribo-nucleic-acid
NHS	National Health Service
NIHR	National Institute for Health Research

,	T
NISEC	National Immunisation Schedule Evaluation Consortium
Novavax, NVXCoV2373	Novavax COVID-19 vaccine
РВМС	Peripheral blood mononuclear cell
PCR	Polymerase chain reaction
Pfizer BNT162b2	Pfizer COVID-19 vaccine
qPCR	Quantitative polymerase chain reaction
RES	Research Ethics Service
РВ	Post-boost
PI	Principal Investigator
PIS	Participant/ Patient Information Sheet
REC	Research Ethics Committee
RSI	Reference Safety Information
SAE	Serious Adverse Event
SAM-strips	Synthetic absorbable matrix strips
SAR	Serious Adverse Reaction
SARS-CoV2	Severe acute respiratory syndrome – coronavirus 2
SMPC	Summary of Medicinal Product Characteristics
SOP	Standard Operating Procedure
SUSAR	Suspected Unexpected Serious Adverse Reactions
TMF	Trial Master File
TSG	Trials Safety Group
μg	Microgram
Vp	Viral particle
VTF	Vaccine Task Force
WHO	World Health Organisation

Date & Version No: 20-July-2022 V7.1

6. BACKGROUND AND RATIONALE

In December 2019, a cluster of patients with pneumonia of unknown cause was linked to a

seafood wholesale market in Wuhan, China and were later confirmed to be infected with a novel

coronavirus, known as 2019-nCoV (Zhu, Gao et al. 2020). The virus was subsequently renamed

to SARS-CoV-2 because it is similar to the coronavirus responsible for severe acute respiratory

syndrome (SARS-CoV), a lineage B betacoronavirus. SARS-CoV-2 shares more than 79% of its

sequence with SARS-CoV, and 50% with the coronavirus responsible for Middle East respiratory

syndrome (MERS-CoV), a member of the lineage C betacoronavirus(Lu, Zhao et al. 2020). COVID-

19 is the infectious disease caused by SARS-CoV-2. By January 2020 there was increasing

evidence of human to human transmission as the number of cases rapidly began to increase in

China. Despite unprecedented containment measures adopted by the Chinese government,

SARS-CoV-2 rapidly spread across the world. The WHO declared the COVID-19 outbreak a public

health emergency of international concern on 30th January 2020. Globally, as of 22nd March

2021, there have been 122,992,844 confirmed cases of COVID-19, including 2,711,071 deaths,

reported to the WHO.

Coronaviruses (CoVs) are spherical, enveloped, large positive-sense single-stranded RNA

genomes. One-fourth of their genome is responsible for coding structural proteins, such as the

spike (S) glycoprotein, envelope (E), membrane (M) and nucleocapsid (N) proteins. E, M, and N

are mainly responsible for virion assembly whilst the S protein is involved in receptor binding,

mediating virus entry into host cells during CoVs infection via different receptors(Li 2016). SARS-

CoV-2 belongs to the phylogenetic lineage B of the genus Betacoronavirus and it recognises the

angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) as the entry receptor (Zhou, Mq et al. 2020). It is the

seventh CoV known to cause human infections and the third known to cause severe disease after

SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV.

Many social measures have been undertaken in countries across the world in order to limit the

spread of the virus(UK Department of Health and Social Care 2020). These have included social

distancing, lockdown and mask-wearing. Currently there is no definitive treatment for COVID-19.

Dexamethasone has been shown to improve mortality in those with confirmed disease and an

Oxygen requirement (RECOVERY Collaborative Group, Fegan et al. 2021). Remdesivir, a direct

anti-viral, has also been shown to reduce duration of symptoms in those who have only mild

disease (Beigel, Patterson et al. 2020).

Many countries have already experienced 'second' and 'third' waves of infection. On the 2nd

December 2020 the MHRA granted emergency authorisation for a vaccine against COVID-19,

Com-COV2 Protocol

©Copyright: The University of Oxford

Page 16 of 79

Date & Version No: 20-July-2022 V7.1

'COVID-19 mRNA Vaccine BNT162b2' (U. K. Department of Health and Social Care 2020), the European Medicines Agency then granted conditional authorisation on 21st December 2020. This was followed by emergency authorisation of the Oxford/AstraZeneca ChAdOx1 nCOV-19 vaccine on the 29th December 2020 by the UK MHRA. The MHRA then similarly granted emergency authorisation for the mRNA COVID-19 Vaccine Moderna on 8th January 2021. The adjuvanted protein COVID-19 vaccine from Novavax, NVXCoV2373, is under rolling review of the MHRA at the time of writing. All of these vaccines were developed for use as homologous two-dose regimens. Further vaccines using different platforms are expected to be approved for use during 2021, the majority of which are expected to be approved as two dose, homologous prime/boost schedules.

Given the anticipated programmatic challenges of immunising large proportions of the population, there would be advantages to having flexible immunisation programmes where the second dose is not necessarily the same as the first dose, i.e. a permissive approach to using heterologous boost schedules. Accordingly, this study will determine the reactogenicity and immunogenicity of unapproved heterologous boost schedules for candidate COVID-19 vaccines that are potentially to be deployed in the UK, for which safety and clinical efficacy data are not known. The vaccines to be studied in this protocol will primarily be determined by those made available to the Department of Health & Social Care (DHSC) for population use.

Furthermore, given the UK introduction of COVID-19 vaccines has utilised an extended (up to 12 week) interval between the first and second dose of vaccine, and the WHO have recommended a minimum period of 8 weeks between doses of ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 vaccines, this study will evaluate combinations of vaccines within an 8-12 week window post prime.

As further vaccines get their licensure in the UK, they may be added to the trial, increasing the number of boost vaccine permutations. The population to be studied will be adults aged 50 years and over; including those with comorbidities classified as mild/moderate/well controlled. The reason for this is that this will most likely include the target population for vaccination, as these are the population who are most at risk of severe disease.

Table 1: Investigational medicinal product(s), summary of relevant studies

Country	Trial	Phase	Trials registration	Vaccine	Route	Dose	Age cohorts (years)	Number of participants
ChAdOx1 nCoV-19								
UK	COV001	Phase 1/2 efficacy, safety & immunogenicity	EudraCT 2020- 001072-15	ChAdOx1 nCoV-19	IM	5x10 ¹⁰ vp	18-55	1077

Com-COV2 Protocol

Date & Version No: 20-July-2022 V7.1

	ı		1	1	1		1	
UK	COV002	Phase 2/3	EudraCT 2020- 001228-32	ChAdOx1 nCoV-19	IM	2.5 - 5x10 ¹⁰ vp	18-64 >65	10,812
Brazil	COV003	Phase 3	NCT04536051	ChAdOx1 nCoV-19	IM	5x10 ¹⁰ vp	>18	10,416
South Africa	COV005	Adaptive Phase 1/2	NCT04444674	ChAdOx1 nCoV-19	IM	5x10 ¹⁰ vp	18-65	2,130
USA Argentina Chile Colombia France Peru	AstraZen eca	Phase 3 double- blind, placebo- controlled	NCT04516746	AZD1222	IM	5x10 ¹⁰ vp	18-130	32,459
			BNT162	2b2				
Germany	BioNTech	Phase I/II, 2-Part, Dose-Escalation Trial	EudraCT 2020- 001038-36	BNT162a1 BNT162b1 BNT162b2 BNT162c2	IM	10 μg 30 μg 100 μg (phase 1) 10 μg, 20 μg and 30 μg (phase 2)	18-55 56-85	486 132
Argentina, Brazil Germany, Turkey South Africa, USA	BioNTech & Pfizer	A phase 1/2/3, observer-blind, dose-finding study	EudraCT 2020- 002641-42	BNT162b2	IM	30ug	12-17 18-64 >65	2500 31000 10498
			mRNA-1	.273				
USA	Moderna	Phase I, open-label, dose ranging	NCT04283461	mRNA- 1273	IM	10ug 25ug 50ug 100ug 250ug	18-99	120
USA	Moderna	Phase 2a dose- confirmation	NCT04405076	mRNA- 1273	IM	50ug 100ug	18-54 55+	600
USA	Moderna	Phase 3	NCT04470427	mRNA- 1273	IM	100ug	>18	30420
NVXCoV2373								
Australia USA	Novavax	2-part, randomized, observer-blinded, placebo-controlled, Phase 1/2 trial.	NCT04368988	NVXCoV23 73	IM	0.6ml 0.5ml	18-84	1500
United Kingdom	Novavax	Phase 3, Randomised, Observer-Blinded, Placebo-Controlled	EudraCT: 2020-004123- 16 NCT04583995	NVXCoV23 73	IM	0.5ml	18-84	15000
South Africa	Novavax	Phase 2A/B Randomized, Observer-blinded, Placebo-controlled Study	NCT04533399	NVXCoV23 73	IM	0.5ml	18-64 18-84	4400
USA Mexico	Novavax	A Phase 3, Randomized, Observer-Blinded, Placebo-Controlled Stud	NCT04611802	NVXCoV23 73	IM	0.5ml	>17	30000

6.1. **Potential benefits**

Participants in this study will have already received a dose of an approved COVID-19 vaccine through the NHS. Administration of the second dose 'boost' of COVID-19 vaccine in this study

Com-COV2 Protocol

Date & Version No: 20-July-2022 V7.1

(from 8 weeks) may be administered earlier than it would be through routine immunisation (up

to 12 weeks), which is of potential benefit.

It is hoped that the information gained from this study will contribute to the development of a

safe, effective and versatile vaccine programme against COVID-19.

6.2. **Potential risks**

6.2.1. Unapproved schedules and vaccines

The majority of participants will be receiving a heterologous boost, which is at variance with the

current UK approved schedules. Furthermore, those randomised to receive NVXCoV2373 will be

receiving a vaccine that is yet to receive MHRA emergency approval.

Although the heterologous boost schedules have not been approved, the UK 'Green Book' guide

to immunisation notes with regards to the approved COVID-19 vaccines that, 'as the vaccines are

based on the spike protein, it is likely the second dose will help to boost the response to the first

dose'. Therefore a person receiving a heterologous boost schedule, is to be considered as

immunised, and to not require additional doses of COVID-19 vaccine (Public Health England

2020), and it is anticipated that this will apply to the Novavax vaccine if it is approved. If this

does not apply to the Novavax vaccine then the advice of the DSMB will be sought. Pre-clinical

data suggests that immunising with a heterologous boost schedule could generate an immune

response that surpasses that of the homologous prime/boost schedule, although this is yet to be

determined in humans (Spencer, Gilbert et al. 2021).

6.2.2. Associated with phlebotomy

Localised bruising and discomfort can occur at the site of venepuncture. Infrequently fainting

may occur. These will not be documented as AEs if they occur. The total volume of blood drawn

over a 12 month period will be up to 164-351ml (+ up to 37-77ml per COVID-19 visit if required,

and/or up to 7ml per additional set of safety bloods) (blood volumes may vary slightly for

participants at different investigator sites due to use of different volume vacutainers, following

local Trust SOPs). This should not compromise these otherwise healthy volunteers, as these

volumes are within the limits of 470mL every 3 – 4 months for blood donations to the National

Blood Transfusion Service. Participants will be asked to refrain from blood donation for the

duration of their involvement in the trial.

Com-COV2 Protocol

©Copyright: The University of Oxford

Page 19 of 79

Participants may find the saliva collection process unsavoury as it is involves drooling and

spitting.

6.2.4. Associated with nasal fluid sampling

Localised discomfort can occur in the nostril. Infrequently, this can result in a small amount of

epistaxis, which can be controlled with pressure to the affected area.

6.2.5. Allergic reactions

Allergic reactions from mild to severe may occur in response to any constituent of a medicinal

product's preparation. Anaphylaxis is known to occur in approximately 2.5 to 4.7 per million

recipients of mRNA COVID-19 vaccines (Shimabukuro, Immunization Safety Office et al. 2021),

and more generally in around 1 in 1,000,000 doses of all vaccines, but can occur in response to

any vaccine or medication(Public Health England 2020).

6.2.6. Behaviour change

Participants might feel they can modify their COVID-19 risk behaviours on the assumption that

they are protected once vaccinated. Participants will be extensively counselled that they should

continue to follow all up to date government advice in relation to COVID-19 precautions during

the trial.

6.2.7. Specific risks from vaccines

Please refer to Section 12.8 for full details.

6.2.8. Receiving a vaccine schedule that is not found to be non-inferior

It is possible that one of the combinations of vaccine schedule used in this trial is, on analysis

found not to be non-inferior to the comparative homologous schedule. This is the reason the

trial is being performed. This will be mitigated by the possibility of further vaccination, as

advised by the oversight committees. If a study group was found to have an antibody response

that did not meet the non-inferiority criteria, they would be offered a booster dose of vaccine

as advised by the DSMB and TSC.

6.2.9. Antibody-dependent enhancement and immunopathology

Safety concerns around the use of some viral antigens as a vaccine antigen have been raised

following historical and limited reports of immunopathology and antibody dependant

enhancement (ADE) reported in vitro and post SARS-CoV challenge in mice, ferrets and non-

human primates immunised with whole SARS-CoV inactivated or full-length S protein based

Com-COV2 Protocol

Date & Version No: 20-July-2022 V7.1

vaccines, including a study using Modified Vaccinia Ankara as a vector (Weingartl, Czub et al.

2004, Tseng, Sbrana et al. 2012, Liu, Wei et al. 2019). To date, there has been one report of lung

immunopathology following MERS-CoV challenge in mice immunised with an inactivated MERS-

CoV candidate vaccine (Agrawal, Tao et al. 2016). However, in preclinical studies of ChAdOx1

immunisation and MERS-CoV challenge, no ADE was observed in hDPP4 transgenic mice,

dromedary camels or non-human primates (Munster, Wells et al. 2017, Alharbi, Qasim et al.

2019).

The possibility of ADE has also been evaluated in clinical and pre-clinical studies of the vaccines

used in this trial. Nevertheless, this risk will not have been assessed for heterologous boost

schedules. Participants will be made aware of this theoretical risk.

6.2.10. Emerging Thrombosis with Thrombocytopenia Association with vaccination

The MHRA and JCVI issued updated guidance regarding the use of ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 on 7th April

2021, following a review of extremely rare reports of cerebral venous sinus thrombosis (and

thrombosis of other major veins) with concurrent thrombocytopenia that have occurred after

vaccination in the national rollout programme. This recommends that currently, in the UK

setting, alternative vaccinations against COVID-19 should be preferentially offered to individuals

aged 29 and under.

All participants in this study will be provided with up-to-date information from regulators on this

finding via the participant information sheet. They will also be provided with other relevant

documentation from regulators and/or public health authorities related to this association and

possible risks of vaccination that is also being provided in vaccination centres. Participants who

will potentially receive the ChAdOx1 nCOV-19 will be given public health documents specific to

this vaccine. Participants will be advised to be aware of possible signs and symptoms of blood

clots and to have a low threshold to contact trial teams if experiencing these or other symptoms.

6.2.11. Unwanted media attention

Trial participants can be subjected to unwanted attention from the media. They will therefore

be provided with access to a document outlining some suggested media guidance.

Com-COV2 Protocol

©Copyright: The University of Oxford

Page **21** of **79**

7. OBJECTIVES AND OUTCOME MEASURES

Objectives	Outcome Measures	Timepoint(s)			
Primary					
To determine whether the immune response to immunisation with a heterologous boost of a COVID-19 vaccine (boosted 56-84 days post-prime) is non-inferior to that observed following immunisation with a homologous boost (boosted 56-84 days post prime), in participants seronegative to SARS CoV-2 nucleocapsid IgG at enrolment	Anti-spike immunoglobulins	Day 28			
Secondary					
To assess safety of heterologous boost COVID-19 vaccines	Serious adverse events and adverse events of special interest	Throughout the study			
	Anti-spike immunoglobulins	D0, 7, 14, 56, 112, 294			
	Neutralising antibodies against SARS-CoV-2	D0, 14, 28, 56, 112, 294			
Further characterisation of	Anti-nucleocapsid immunoglobulins	D0, 28, 112, 294			
immunogenicity of heterologous & homologous boost schedules*	Pseudo neutralising antibodies	D0, 14, 28, 56, 112, 294			
	Cellular immune responses by ELISpot	D0, 14, 28, 56, 112, 294			
	Cellular immune responses by ICS (Th1/Th2)	D0, 14			
**D7 and 14 analysis only for immunology cohort (n=150)					
Reactogenicity and safety of heterologous & homologous	Solicited local reactions	7 days after immunisation			
boost schedules of COVID-19 vaccines	Solicited systemic reactions	7 days after immunisation			

Com-COV2 Protocol

Unsolicited reactions 28 days after immunisation Up to 3 months post boost Medically attended adverse dose (i.e. 3 months from reactions enrolment) Changes from baseline in D0, 7, 28** laboratory safety measures **<u>D</u>7 safety bloods only for immunology cohort (n=150) Evaluation of immunogenicity, safety and reactogenicity of Immunogenicity, reactogenicity COVID-19 vaccines and safety endpoints as outlined Timepoints as outlined above in participants sero-positive for above SARS-CoV-2 IgG at baseline anti-nucleocapsid Anti-spike & immunoglobulins, neutralising and COVID-19 characterise To antibodies, pseudo-neutralising From enrolment, and within 1 infections experienced following cellular immune response by ICS week of a participant being administration of vaccination and ELISpot found to be SARS-CoV-2 and the immune response to positive by external testing Genome sequencing of SARS-CoV-2 those infections viruses isolated from infected participants **Exploratory** To characterise and compare the mucosal immune response to immunisation with homologous and heterologous COVID-19 IgA & IgG ELISA and exploratory D0, 7, 14, 28, 56, 112, 294 vaccines in the immunology immunological assays cohort using both nasal fluid (collected via SAM-strips) and saliva samples, To further characterise the blood antibody response in the Functional antibody assays D0, 7, 14, 28, 56, 112, 294 immunology cohort

8. TRIAL DESIGN

A single-blind, randomised, phase II UK multi-centre study to determine the reactogenicity and immunogenicity of heterologous boost COVID-19 vaccine schedules.

Com-COV2 Protocol

Date & Version No: 20-July-2022 V7.1

8.1. Setting

Multicentre study conducted through academic and NHS clinical trials sites.

8.2. Trial duration

Total duration of each participant will be 7-10 months from the enrolment. The total trial period will be approximately 1 year, 5 months

8.3. Study groups

A total of 1050 (+ up to an additional 10%) participants will be enrolled, all of whom will have had their first dose of COVID-19 vaccine in the community as part of the COVID-19 vaccine programme. Participants will be evenly divided by prime vaccine type (525 per prime vaccine group). Within these vaccine groups participants will be enrolled into either an Immunology cohort who will have more visits (n=150 in total, 75 from each vaccine prime group) or a General cohort (n=900 in total, 450 from each vaccine prime group).

Within each of these vaccine groups and cohorts participant will be randomised to receive either a homologous or heterologous boost as outlined below.

Group (from population)	Cohort	Arm	Boost (Day 56-84)	Visits	
		I2A1 (n=25)	ChAdOx1 nCOV-19		
	Immunology (N=75)	I2A3 (n=25)	COVID-19 Vaccine Moderna	Day 0, 7, 14, 28, 56, 112, 294	
Charloud in Call 40		I2A4 (n=25)	Novavax, NVXCoV2373		
ChadOx1-nCoV-19		G2A1 (n=150)	ChAdOx1 nCOV-19		
	General (N=450)	G2A3 (n=150)	COVID-19 Vaccine Moderna	Day 0, 28, 56, 112, 294	
		G2A4 (n=150)	Novavax, NVXCoV2373		
		I2B1 (n=25)	BNT162b2		
	Immunology (N=75	I2B3 (n=25)	COVID-19 Vaccine Moderna	Day 0, 7, 14, 28, 56, 112, 294	
BNT162b2		I2B4 (n=25)	Novavax, NVXCoV2373		
	General	G2B1 (n=150)	BNT162b2		
	(N=450)	G2B3 (n=150)	COVID-19 Vaccine Moderna	Day 0, 28, 56, 112, 294	

Com-COV2 Protocol

Date & Version No: 20-July-2022 V7.1

	G2B4 (n=150)	Novavax, NVXCoV2373	
--	--------------	---------------------	--

Randomisation will be stratified by the study group (prime vaccine), cohorts (immunology and general) and by study sites.

The Immunology cohort will have visits: 0, 7, 14, 28, 56, 112, 294

The General cohort will have visits: 0, 28, 56, 112, 294

The study will be single-blind, i.e. while staff involved in study delivery will be aware of what vaccine schedule the participant is receiving, the participant themselves will remain blinded to their boost vaccine. This blind will be maintained by applying a masking tape over the vaccine syringe. Laboratory staff will also be blinded to the vaccine schedule received.

Participants who acquire new infection with SARS-CoV-2 will have an additional study visit for clinical assessment, to take blood tests for immunological assessment and to take a sample for isolation of virus. They may also have nasal fluid and saliva samples taken.

Of note is that the interval between the BNT162b2 vaccines will be 56-84 days. This is consistent with this vaccine's Summary of Product Characteristics, which specifies that the interval be 'at least 21 days'.

On 10th February 2021 the WHO issued revised recommendations that the Oxford/AstraZeneca ChAdOx1-nCoV-19 vaccine be given at an 8-12 week boost interval (World Health 2021). The boost interval for all vaccine schedule combinations will be 56-84 days, this will therefore be in keeping with the WHO recommendations for the homologous ChAdOx1-nCoV-19 schedule, and will maintain blinding.

9. PARTICIPANT IDENTIFICATION

9.1. Trial participants

Adult volunteers aged at least 50 years. Comorbidities of clinical definition mild/moderate/well-controlled will be permitted. Individuals of all ethnicities will be recruited, with recruitment of those identifying as Black, Asian and Minority Ethnic particularly encouraged.

9.2. Inclusion criteria

- Participant is willing and able to give written informed consent for participation in the trial
- Male or Female, aged 50 years or above and in good health as determined by a trial clinician Participants may have well controlled or mild-moderate comorbidity

Com-COV2 Protocol

Date & Version No: 20-July-2022 V7.1

- Has received one dose of the prime/boost schedules being studied via the UK COVID-19

vaccination programme at a timing to allow boost dose given in the trial to fall between D56-

84 post-prime. Evidence of this will be gathered from medical history and/or medical records.

- Female participants of childbearing potential must be willing to ensure that they or their

partner use effective contraception from enrolment continuously until 3 months after boost

immunisation. See Section 12.14.1 for definition of child bearing potential

- In the Investigator's opinion, is able and willing to comply with all trial requirements

- Willing to allow their General Practitioner and consultant, if appropriate, to be notified of

participation in the trial

- Willing to allow investigators to discuss the volunteer's medical history with their General

Practitioner and access all medical records when relevant to study procedures

Agreement to refrain from blood donation during the course of the study

9.3. Exclusion criteria

The participant may not enter the trial if ANY of the following apply:

- Receipt of any vaccine (licensed or investigational) within 30 days before enrolment (one

week for licensed seasonal influenza vaccine or pneumococcal vaccine)

- Previous receipt of two or more COVID-19 vaccine doses

- Prior or planned receipt of an investigational or licensed vaccine or product likely to impact

on interpretation of the trial data (e.g. Adenovirus vectored vaccines other than ChAdOx1

nCoV-19)

· Administration of immunoglobulins and/or any blood products within the three months

preceding the planned administration of the vaccines

Any confirmed or suspected immunosuppressive or immunodeficient state; asplenia;

recurrent severe infections and use of immunosuppressant medication within the past 6

months, except topical steroids or short-term oral steroids (course lasting ≤14 days)

History of anaphylaxis, allergic disease or reactions likely to be exacerbated by any component

of study vaccines (e.g. hypersensitivity to the active substance or any of the SmPC/IB-listed

ingredients of any study vaccine). This includes latex and polyethylene glycol/macrogol (PEG)

Pregnancy, lactation or willingness/intention to become pregnant within 3 months post boost

vaccine

· Malignancy requiring receipt of immunosuppressive chemotherapy or radiotherapy for

treatment of solid organ cancer/haematological malignancy within the 6 months prior to

enrolment. (Presence of basal cell carcinoma of the skin, cervical carcinoma in situ, prostate

Com-COV2 Protocol

©Copyright: The University of Oxford

Page 26 of 79

Date & Version No: 20-July-2022 V7.1

cancer under observation and breast cancer on hormone therapy as secondary prophylaxis

are not excluded).

- Bleeding disorder (e.g. factor deficiency, coagulopathy or platelet disorder), or prior history

of significant bleeding or bruising following IM injections or venepuncture

- Continuous use of anticoagulants, such as coumarins and related anticoagulants (i.e. warfarin)

or novel oral anticoagulants (i.e. apixaban, rivaroxaban, dabigatran and edoxaban)

- Suspected or known current alcohol or drug dependency

- Any other significant disease, disorder or finding which may significantly increase the risk to

the volunteer because of participation in the study, affect the ability of the volunteer to

participate in the study or impair interpretation of the study data

- Severe and/or uncontrolled cardiovascular disease, respiratory disease, gastrointestinal

disease, liver disease, renal disease, rheumatological disease, endocrine disorder and

neurological illness (mild/moderate well controlled comorbidities are allowed)

- History of active or previous auto-immune neurological disorders (e.g. multiple sclerosis,

Guillain-Barre syndrome, transverse myelitis). Bell's palsy will not be an exclusion criterion

- History of laboratory confirmed COVID-19 prior to enrolment (e.g. history of SARS-CoV-2

detection by PCR or antibody to SARS-CoV-2)

- Significant renal or hepatic impairment

- Scheduled elective surgery requiring overnight admission and/or general anaesthetic during

the trial

- Participant with life expectancy of less than 6 months

- Participants who have participated in another research trial involving an investigational

product in the past 12 weeks

- Insufficient level of English language to undertake all study requirements in opinion of the

Investigators

9.3.1. Temporary exclusion criteria

If at Visit 1 Screening/Vaccination the volunteer has any of the following, they will not be enrolled

that day.

- Acute respiratory illness (moderate or severe illness with or without fever)

Fever (oral temperature greater than 37.8°C)

They may be considered for enrolment later in the trial; if they recover in sufficient time.

Com-COV2 Protocol

©Copyright: The University of Oxford

Page **27** of **79**

Date & Version No: 20-July-2022 V7.1

10. **TRIAL PROCEDURES**

See APPENDIX A: SCHEDULE OF PROCEDURES

10.1. Recruitment

10.1.1. Identification of volunteers

Volunteers will be recruited by methods that may include use of an advertisement +/-

registration form formally approved by the ethics committee(s) and distributed or posted by

means such as:

In public places, including buses and trains, with the agreement of the owner / proprietor

In newspapers or other literature for circulation

On radio via announcements

On a website or social media site operated by our group or with the agreement of the

owner or operator (including on-line recruitment through the Oxford Vaccine Group

website)

By e-mail distribution to a group or list only with the express agreement of the network

administrator or with equivalent authorisation

By email distribution to individuals who have already given consent to be contacted for

any clinical trial at the Oxford Vaccine Centre and at trial sites including COVID-19 vaccine

research

Direct mail-out: This will involve obtaining names and addresses of adults via the most

recent Electoral Roll. The contact details of individuals who have indicated that they do

not wish to receive postal mail-shots would be removed prior to the investigators being

given this information. The company providing this service is registered under the

General Data Protection Regulation 2016/679. Investigators would not be given dates of

birth or ages of individuals but the list supplied would only contain names of those aged

≥50 years (as per the inclusion criteria)

Direct mail-out using National Health Service databases: These include the National

Health Applications and Infrastructure Services (NHAIS) via a NHAIS data extract or

equivalent. Initial contact to potential participants will not be made by the study team.

Instead study invitation material will be sent out on our behalf by an external company,

CFH Docmail Ltd, in order to preserve the confidentiality of potential participants. CFH

Docmail Ltd is accredited as having exceeded standards under the NHS Digital Data

Security and Protection Toolkit (ODS ID – 8HN70)

Com-COV2 Protocol

©Copyright: The University of Oxford

Page 28 of 79

Date & Version No: 20-July-2022 V7.1

- Oxford Vaccine Centre databases and study site databases: We may contact individuals

from databases of groups within the CCVTM (including the Oxford Vaccine Centre

database) and other study sites of previous trial participants who have expressed an

interest in receiving information about all future studies for which they may be eligible.

- Using local GP practices or Trusts as Participant Identification Centres (PICs)

- The NIHR COVID-19 vaccine volunteer database

Participants who expressed interest, but were not enrolled, in the Com-COV trial (IRAS

No: 291055) can be contacted to notify them of the initiation of Com-COV2 and that they

may be eligible to participate.

10.2. Screening and eligibility assessment

10.2.1. Initial screening

Once participants express an interest in joining the trial, they will be directed to a 2 part online

screening process. The first part will assess for obvious exclusion criteria. If they pass this part

they will be asked to indicate their electronic consent to cover:

- Reporting their medical history, including COVID-19 vaccination status (part 2)

- Telephone screening visits to review their medical history (if required). Requirement to

be determined by review of responses to Part 2 of online questionnaire)

Permission to contact the participant's GP for further clarification of past medical history

and vaccine status, should this be clinically indicated

Permission to access their national immunisation record to confirm COVID-19 vaccine

status if required

Once Part 1 and consent have been completed, they may progress to Part 2. Here they will be

asked to give details of any medical comorbidities or medications. They will also be asked to

upload evidence of the first COVID-19 vaccination, such as a photo of their COVID-19 vaccination

card, or a screenshot of their vaccine record.

Participants without a past medical history or drug history that requires further review may be

invited directly to enrolment/vaccination visits.

10.2.2. Telephone screening visit(s)

Participants for whom further clarification of eligibility is required, may be invited for telephone

screening visit(s), which would then be completed by member(s) of the clinical team, based on

the assessment of the part 2 responses. This will be recorded in a screening CRF. This will reduce

Com-COV2 Protocol

©Copyright: The University of Oxford

Page 29 of 79

Date & Version No: 20-July-2022 V7.1

the amount of time participants have with the clinical team during their screening procedures,

should they progress to Visit 1.

We may also contact the subject's general practitioner with the permission of the volunteer. GPs

will be notified at the time of enrolment (vaccination) that the subject is taking part in the study.

Volunteers will be asked to contact the study team if there are significant changes to their health

status between screening and their Visit 1.

10.2.3. Screening during Visit 1

The final eligibility assessment and D0 vaccination visit will be combined into Visit 1 (V1). See

Section 10.6

10.3. Informed consent

The participant will personally sign and date the latest approved version of the Informed

Consent form. A written version and verbal explanation of the Participant Information Sheet

and Informed Consent will be presented to the participant of the participant detailing:

The exact nature of the study

What it will involve for the participant

The implications and constraints of the protocol

The known side effects and any risks involved in taking part

The sample handling protocol – participants will be informed that anonymised samples

taken during the study may be shared with study collaborators

That individual results will not be shared with participants, with the exception of their

enrolment COVID-19 antibody test. This would be done at the end of the study, if

requested by the participant

The Participant Information Sheet will be made available to the participant for an

appropriate amount of time (where possible this will be a minimum of 24 hours) prior to

consent being obtained. A video presentation of the Participant Information Sheet may

be screened to an audience, or made available for them to access it remotely. However,

participants will have the opportunity to individually question an appropriately trained

and delegated researcher before signing consent.

The following general principles will be emphasised:

Participation in the study is entirely voluntary

Refusal to participate involves no penalty or loss of medical benefits

The participant may withdraw from the study at any time

Com-COV2 Protocol

©Copyright: The University of Oxford

Page 30 of 79

Date & Version No: 20-July-2022 V7.1

 $\circ\hspace{0.4cm}$ The participant is free to ask questions at any time to allow him or her to

understand the purpose of the study and the procedures involved

That participants will not be sure whether they have received an approved COVID-

19 vaccine schedule. This may have implications for any travel or other activities

that may require individuals to be considered 'fully immunised'. Currently the

'Green Book' immunisation guidelines indicate that receipt of two 'spike protein'

based vaccines (even if different vaccines) would mean no further vaccines doses

are required, and it is anticipated that this will apply to the Novavax vaccine if it

is approved. If this does not apply to the Novavax vaccine then the advice of the DSMB

will be sought. This potential downside to study participation will be minimised by

expedited analysis of blood samples for the primary endpoint to conduct the non-

inferiority analysis.

Participants, like the general population, will not be exempt from following the

contemporaneous government COVID-19 guidance to minimise viral transmission.

Samples taken as part of the study may be sent outside of the UK and Europe to laboratories in

collaboration with the University of Oxford. These will be de-identified. Volunteers will be asked

if they consent to indefinite storage of any leftover samples for use in other ethically approved

research, this will be optional.

The participant will be allowed as much time as they wish to consider the information, and the

opportunity to question the Investigator, their GP or other independent parties to decide

whether they will participate in the study. Written informed consent will then be obtained by

means of the participant dated signature, and dated signature of the person who presented and

obtained the informed consent. The person who obtained the consent must be suitably qualified

and experienced, and have been authorised to do so by the Chief/Principal Investigator and listed

on the delegation log. A copy of the signed informed consent will be given to the participant. The

original signed form will be retained at the research study site, in the CRF.

Updated information that require participants to be re-consented will be sent to participants and

written re-consent requested at the earliest scheduled visit. If the earliest visit to occur is in the

COVID-19 Pathway (C-19P), the participant may re-consent using an electronic signature for

infection control purposes. Where appropriate, and when re-consenting in person is not possible

(e.g. participants in self-isolation), participants may be contacted over the phone and an

appropriately trained and delegated researcher will obtain re-consent. In this instance the

Com-COV2 Protocol

©Copyright: The University of Oxford

Page **31** of **79**

Date & Version No: 20-July-2022 V7.1

participant will sign the form (electronic or paper) and a copy will be signed by the researcher.

The dates of signature may be different, and a copy containing both signatures will be provided

to the participant at the next scheduled visit.

10.4. Randomisation

Computer generated randomisation list will be prepared by the study statistician. Participants

will be randomised 1:1:1 to receive a single dose of either:

The same vaccine as their prime dose (homologous schedule)

COVID-19 Moderna (heterologous schedule)

NVXCoV2373 (heterologous schedule)

Participants will be randomised using block randomisation. Random block sizes of 3 and 6 will be

used. The randomisation will be stratified by the study sites, immunology/general cohorts and

prime vaccines (ChadOx1-nCOV-19 /BNT162b2).

10.5. Blinding and code-breaking

The study will be single-blind. Staff involved in study delivery will be aware of which vaccine the

participant is receiving (arm allocation); the participant themselves will remain blinded to their

boost vaccine allocation. Vaccines will be prepared out of sight of the participant and the blind

will be maintained by applying a masking tape over the vaccine syringe. Laboratory staff will also

be blinded to the vaccine schedule received.

If the clinical condition of a participant necessitates unblinding of the participant, this will be

undertaken according to a trial specific working instruction and group allocation sent to the

attending physician. This will be done if unblinding is thought to be relevant and likely to change

clinical management.

order not to disadvantage participants in a rapidly changing landscape of rules affecting

national and international travel as well as event attendance, we will make every effort to liaise

with appropriate parties to ensure participants' vaccination status is recorded in the most

suitable manner.

As of the 11th June 2021, the Department of Health and Social Care has confirmed that for any

future domestic certification of vaccination status, clinical trial participants will not be

disadvantaged, and will offered an "immunised" status regardless of the licencing status or

vaccine schedule received. This status would be available to trial participants without any

breakdown of individual vaccines received i.e. they would not require unblinding.

Com-COV2 Protocol

©Copyright: The University of Oxford

Page 32 of 79

If, despite the availability of the above measure, there should still be the potential for

disadvantage to participants that can be mitigated by unblinding then, with the agreement of

the Trial Steering Committee, individual unblinding may be initiated to occur not sooner than 28

days post last vaccination received. This will allow reporting of adverse events within the 28-day

post immunisation reporting window to occur without participant's knowledge of which vaccines

they had received, thus protecting integrity of these data.

Should circumstances change further, and it be agreed (after discussion with the Trial Steering

Committee) that despite the above measures the disadvantage to participants (as a group, rather

than at an individual level) in remaining blinded outweighed that of benefit to the study, mass

unblinding may be initiated.

Additionally, should participants remain blinded at the point of acceptance of an offer of a (third

or greater) COVID-19 vaccine boost dose from the national immunisation program, they would

be unblinded.

Regardless of methods or timings of any unblinding, laboratory staff will remain blinded to

vaccines received.

10.6. **Visits**

The study visits and procedures will be undertaken by one of the clinical trials team. The

procedures to be included in each visit are documented in the schedule of attendances (see

APPENDIX A: SCHEDULE OF PROCEDURES). Each visit is assigned a time-point and a window

period, within which the visit will be conducted. If a participant cannot attend a visit, where

possible, this will be re-arranged to an in-person visit within the time window. A telephone visit

may be conducted instead of the in-person visit to ascertain as much relevant information as

possible if the participant is unable to attend a visit in person because of quarantine or self-

isolation restrictions and the participant will be out of window if the visit is postponed.

10.6.1. Visit 1 (D0): Final eligibility check, enrolment and vaccination visit

10.6.1.1. Informed consent

The participant will have informed consent taken as described in Section 10.3, before proceeding

to the final eligibility check component of V1. A video presentation of the aims of the study and

all tests to be carried out may be screened to an audience or accessed remotely before informed

consent is taken. Individually, each volunteer will have the opportunity to question an

appropriately trained and delegated researcher before signing the consent.

Com-COV2 Protocol

©Copyright: The University of Oxford

Page 33 of 79

Date & Version No: 20-July-2022 V7.1

10.6.1.2. Final eligibility check V1

During the final eligibility check component of Visit 1 (V1):

If written consent is obtained, the procedures indicated in the schedule of attendances will be

undertaken including:

Confirmation of medical history

Confirmation of community prime vaccine received

• Physical examination (if required)

• Height and weight

Blood tests including:

- COVID-19 immunogenicity bloods

- Baseline bloods for safety monitoring (routine haematology & biochemistry tests)

Nasal fluid sample

• Saliva sample

Observations (temperature, heart rate, respiratory rate, blood pressure and oxygen saturation)

• Urine pregnancy test in females of childbearing potential

The eligibility of the volunteer will be reviewed by a suitable member of the clinical team.

Decisions to exclude the volunteer from enrolling in the trial or to withdraw a volunteer from the

trial will be at the discretion of the Investigator. Note that the blood tests results from this visit

will not ordinarily be available at the time the decision to proceed to immunisation with these

approved vaccines is made. Instead, these blood tests will act as a baseline assessment for any

subsequent derangements of laboratory measures. Abnormal clinical findings from blood tests

at screening will be assessed by a medically qualified study member. Where available, these may

be compared to blood test results taken prior to the trial as part of the participant's normal

medical care, to ascertain if the derangement is an acute abnormality or is a chronic change.

Abnormal blood tests following screening will be assessed according to site-specific laboratory

adverse event grading tables. Any abnormal test result deemed clinically significant may be

repeated to ensure it is not a single occurrence. If an abnormal finding is deemed to be clinically

significant, the volunteer will be informed and appropriate medical care arranged with the

permission of the volunteer.

As per Section 9.3.1 "Temporary exclusion criteria": If a volunteer has an acute respiratory illness

(moderate or severe illness with/without fever) or a fever (oral temperature > 37.8°C) at Visit 1

Screening, the volunteer will not be enrolled that day, but may be considered for enrolment if

they recover in sufficient time.

Com-COV2 Protocol

©Copyright: The University of Oxford

Page 34 of 79

Date & Version No: 20-July-2022 V7.1

10.6.1.3. Vaccination at V1

Volunteers will be considered enrolled to the trial at the point of consent and successful screening. All vaccines will be administered intramuscularly according to specific SOPs. The participant will stay in the trial site for observation for at least 15 minutes, in case of immediate adverse events. Photographs of vaccination sites may be taken, if required (with the participants' written, informed consent) and will not include the participants' face. Photographs will be identified by date, trial code and subject's unique identifier. Participants will have their NHS COVID-19 vaccination record cards updated with the date of trial vaccination, but vaccine type or batch number will not be added as this would unblind participants. "COVID-19 vaccine", "Com-COV2 Trial" will be added. We will correspond with holders of the participant's medical information records (e.g. GP's) to enable updating of these records.

10.6.1.4. Diary cards

Participants will be given an oral thermometer, tape measure and diary card (electronic, but for those who are unable to use electronic diary cards, a paper version will be made available), with instructions on use. All participants will be given the emergency 24 hour telephone number to contact the on-call study physician if needed. Participants will be instructed on how to self-assess the severity of the solicited and unsolicited AEs they are entering in the diaries. There will also be space on the diary card to self-document unsolicited AEs, and whether medication was taken to relieve the symptoms. There will also be a separate e-diary to log any medically attended AEs up until 3 months post boost dose (any medical conditions for which a doctor/dentist is seen outside of routine, planned follow-up), and any serious medical illnesses or hospital visits may have occurred over the entire course of the study. Participants will be asked to report on solicited AEs for 7 days from boost dose (and longer if symptoms persist at day 7, until resolution or stabilisation of symptoms) and unsolicited AEs for 28 days. Diary cards will collect information on the timing and severity of the following solicited AEs:

Table 2 Solicited AEs collected on post vaccination diary cards

Local solicited AEs Pain, Tenderness, Redness, Warmth, Itch, Swelling, Induration		
Systemic solicited AEs	Fever, Feverishness, Chills, Joint pains, Muscle pains, Fatigue, Headache, Malaise, Nausea, Vomiting, Diarrhoea	

Post-vaccination (7 and 28 day) diary cards will be reviewed by a clinician daily, and participants may be telephoned to discuss further, should there be any clinical concerns.

Date & Version No: 20-July-2022 V7.1

Participants will also be instructed on the use of the Medically Attended Diary Card. They will be

asked to record the following healthcare encounters up until 3 months post boost dose:

GP visits that were not planned or routine

Attendances at A&E

Unplanned outpatient visits to hospital e.g. attending an "Ambulatory Care" unit

Non-routine dental visits (i.e. dental emergency)

This information will be routinely reviewed. The diary card will contain an instruction to contact

the trial team by telephone should any encounter be a hospitalisation, or if they have concerns

about their health.

Participants entering the COVID-19 pathway will also be asked to complete a diary, see Section

10.6.6 below

10.6.2. Safety review

While there will be no planned safety pause, a review of reactogenicity data will be conducted

after the initial 100 (approximately) participants have received their trial dose (approximately

two thirds of which will be in the heterologous boost groups). This will assess reactogenicity in

the first 48 hours after immunisation, with data presented by 'anonymised' group to the Chief

Investigator (who will remain blinded to the specific vaccines received by each group). Should

significant safety concerns arise at this point the DSMB will be consulted.

10.6.3. Subsequent visits

Follow-up visits will take place as per the schedule of attendances described in APPENDIX A:

SCHEDULE OF PROCEDURES. Participants will be assessed for local and systemic adverse events,

interim history, review of diary cards (paper or electronic) and blood/nasal fluid/saliva tests at

these time points as detailed in the schedule of attendances. Blood will also be taken for

immunology purposes. Observations and physical exam will be performed as and when clinically

indicated.

If participants experience adverse events (laboratory or clinical), which the investigator

(physician), CI and/or DSMB chair determine necessary for further close observation, the

participant may be admitted to an NHS hospital for observation and further medical

management under the care of the Consultant on call.

Com-COV2 Protocol

©Copyright: The University of Oxford

Page 36 of 79

Date & Version No: 20-July-2022 V7.1

10.6.4. Participants under quarantine

Given the evolving epidemiological situation both globally and in the UK, should a participant be

unable to attend any of their scheduled or unscheduled visits, a telephone consultation will be

arranged in order to obtain core study data where possible. Participants should not attend for

in-person visits if they are in their period of self-isolation/quarantine – the exception to this is

the COVID-19 Pathway.

10.6.5. Timing of study visits around national COVID-19 immunisation '3rd dose'

boosts

Participation in this study should not unreasonably delay a participant's receipt of any additional

COVID-19 vaccine boost doses offered to them through the national immunisation program. This

applies only to doses that are being offered as explicit 'third dose' boosts, in a recommended

NHS programme. The V5 (last study visit) may be completed at any point in the visit window if

it is to facilitate data collection prior to participant receipt of non-study boosts, with a goal for

this visit to be completed at the latest date possible prior to the booster dose. Should

participants not be offered non-study boosts, the V5 (last study visit) should be completed as

close to the end of the visit window, as is feasible.

10.6.6. Participants with confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection (COVID-19 pathway)

Participants will be counselled at enrolment that should they receive a positive SARS-CoV-2 test

(e.g. an antigen detection or nucleic acid amplification test, for example, via test and trace or

occupational health services) they should contact the trial team on receipt of the positive result.

Participants will be reminded of this with a weekly text/email message (participant choice),

which will commence after the first vaccine dose.

This COVID-19 pathway (C-19P) will apply to participants tested via symptomatic and

asymptomatic pathways.

Once the participant has conveyed their result to the study team, and the study team confirm an

appropriate test has been used (via verbal discussion with participant as to how testing was

obtained). Confirmatory documentation may be sought from the participant (such as a

forwarded email or a picture of a lateral flow assay result, but this is not essential). An

appointment will be arranged to review the participant at the relevant study site. At this visit

blood samples for safety (FBC, Biochemistry, CRP and others if deemed clinically relevant) and

immunology (PBMCs and serum for cellular and humoral immune responses will be taken. Nasal

fluid and saliva for mucosal immune response will be taken for those who receive this at their

Com-COV2 Protocol

©Copyright: The University of Oxford

Page 37 of 79

regular study visits, along with a nasopharyngeal swab for storage and subsequent viral isolation from all participants. Vital signs and other clinical data will be recorded. Participants will also be provided with a symptom diary, which they will fill in both solicited and unsolicited symptoms for at least 7 days and until symptom resolution (excepting persistent cough and anosmia/dysgeusia as these are recognised to be able to continue for extended periods). Additional visits on this pathway may be arranged at the clinical discretion of the investigator.

Participants will only be invited to a C-19P visit if they have access to private transport and would not require assistance to attend the visit. Participants may not attend the visit using public transport or taxis.

The window for performing this visit is within 7 days of a positive test result.

Participants should be screened for severity of disease on contacting the trial team with their positive result and referred to NHS care as appropriate.

Table 3. Remote risk stratification of COVID-19 infection

Severity of illness	Features	Advice and action
	Completing full sentences	Paracetamol for fever
	No SOB (Grade 0)	Can use NSAIDs according to NHS recommendations (advise lowest dose and shortest duration possible)
	No chest tightness (Grade 0)	Regular fluids
	Able to do ADLS (Grade 0-1)	Self-isolate as per current government guidelines
Mild	RR 12-20	Safety net re worsening symptoms: - Trial doctor for advice in hours (999 in an emergency) - 111 out of hours (non-emergent)
	No other red flags/concerning features from history	Paracetamol for fever
	Completing full sentences	Can use NSAIDs according to NHS recommendations (advise lowest dose and shortest duration possible)
	Able to do ADLs but lethargic (Grade 1-2)	Regular fluids
	Mild chest tightness (Grade 1)	Self-isolate as per current government guidelines
Moderate A	Mild SOB on exertion only (Grade 1)	Safety net re worsening symptoms: - Trial doctor for advice in hours (999 in an emergency) 111 out of hours (non-emergent)
	RR 12-20 (if can be observed)	
	Any symptoms from other	
	systems considered to be	
	moderate and not requiring medical review	

Com-COV2 Protocol

Date & Version No: 20-July-2022 V7.1

	No other red flag features from history	
	Completing full sentences	For medical review - Trial doctor to arrange medical review with a non-trial medical practitioner e.g. GP or hospital doctor (in-hours) - Trial doctor to signpost to NHS services (out-of hours)
	Able to do ADLs but lethargic	Safety net – 999 if worsening beyond current
	(Grade 1-2)	symptoms
Moderate	Mild chest tightness (Grade 1-2)	Inform senior on-call clinician
В	Mild SOB on exertion only (Grade 1)	
	RR 20-24 (if can be observed)	
	Any symptoms from other	
	systems considered to be	
	moderate and requiring medical	
	review	
	Any one of:	Urgent medical review
	Inability to complete full sentences	Advise participant to call 999
Severe	Unable to do any ADLs/get out of bed (Grade 3)	Inform senior on-call clinician
	RR >25 if can be observed	
	Any other clinical concerns for	
	severe disease	

Of note, this is not an all-encompassing guide and individual clinical judgement by reviewing clinician should always be taken into account. Should the reviewing clinician have any concerns regardless of risk stratification then they can contact the appropriate senior clinician for further advice.

10.6.7. Admission of participants to hospital with COVID-19 infection

With the participant's consent, the study team will request access to medical notes or submit a data collection form for completion by attending clinical staff on any COVID-19 episodes resulting in hospitalisation. Any data which are relevant to assessing for disease enhancement will be collected. These are likely to include, but not limited to, information on ICU admissions, clinical parameters such as oxygen saturation, respiratory rates and vital signs, need for oxygen therapy, need for ventilatory support, imaging and blood tests results, amongst others.

10.7. Sample handling

Please refer to APPENDIX D: BLOOD SAMPLING for schedule of frequency and volume of blood sampling.

10.7.1. Sample handling for trial purposes

10.7.1.1. Immunology blood tests

Immunogenicity will be assessed by a variety of immunological assays. This will include antibodies to SARS-CoV-Spike and non-Spike antigens by ELISA, ex vivo ELISpot assays for Com-COV2 Protocol

Date & Version No: 20-July-2022 V7.1

interferon gamma and flow cytometry assays, neutralising and other functional antibody assays.

Other exploratory immunological assays including cytokine analysis and other antibody assays,

DNA analysis of genetic polymorphisms potentially relevant to vaccine immunogenicity and gene

expression studies amongst others may be performed at the discretion of the Investigators.

Collaboration with other specialist laboratories in the UK, Europe and outside of Europe for

further exploratory tests may occur. This would involve the transfer of serum, plasma, PBMC

and/or other study samples to these laboratories, but these would remain anonymised. The

analyses and which laboratories carry these out will be specified in the laboratory analysis plan.

Participants will be informed that there may be leftover samples of their blood (after all testing

for this study is completed), and that such samples may be stored indefinitely for possible future

research (exploratory immunology), including genotypic testing of genetic polymorphisms

potentially relevant to vaccine immunogenicity. Participants will be able to decide if they will

permit such future use of any leftover samples. With the participants' informed consent, any

leftover cells and serum/plasma will be frozen indefinitely for future analysis of COVID-19 and

other coronaviruses related diseases or vaccine-related responses. If a participant elects not to

permit this, all of that participants' leftover samples will be discarded at the end of the trial.

Samples that are to be stored for future research will be transferred to the OVC Biobank (REC

16/SC/0141).

10.7.1.2. Nasal fluid & saliva samples

An exploratory analysis of mucosal immunity will be conducted using nasal fluid collected at each

visit in the immunology cohort (n=150), using SAM-strips (synthetic absorptive matrix) and saliva

samples. All participants who have been enrolled to groups who will have SAM-strip and saliva

sampling will also have SAM-strips and saliva taken at the C19P visit if they attend this visit.

Analysis will be conducted initially with IgA and IgG ELISAs, with further exploratory immunology

assays conducted based on results – more detail will be included in the laboratory analysis plan.

The same statements regarding collaboration, storage and use of samples as for blood in Section

10.7.1.1 apply here.

10.7.1.3. Nasopharyngeal swabs

Participants seen in the C-19P will have nasopharyngeal swabs taken (instructions on performing

sampling in CSP). These swabs will be tested for presence of the SARS-Cov-2 virus centrally. This

analysis is for research purposes, and will not be conducted in 'real-time', so will not be used to

inform the requirements for participant self-isolation etc. Swabs, and/or samples obtained from

Com-COV2 Protocol

©Copyright: The University of Oxford

Page 40 of 79

Date & Version No: 20-July-2022 V7.1

them, will be stored for potential further analysis (e.g. whole genome sequencing of identified

SARS-CoV-2).

10.7.2. Sample handling for standard of care

Urinary pregnancy testing: For female participants of child bearing potential only, urine will be

tested for beta-human chorionic gonadotrophin (β-HCG) immediately prior to vaccination. This

will be a point of care test and no sample will be stored.

10.7.2.1. Safety monitoring blood tests

These will be processed at agreed NHS Trust laboratories, and destroyed in accordance with

standard NHS processes. They will include:

- Haematology – Full Blood Count

Biochemistry – Sodium, Potassium, Urea, Creatinine, Albumin, Liver Function Tests (ALT, ALP,

Bilirubin) and if relevant C-reactive protein (CRP)

10.8. Early discontinuation/Withdrawal of participants

In accordance with the principles of the current revision of the Declaration of Helsinki and any

other applicable regulations, a participant has the right to withdraw from the study at any time

and for any reason, and is not obliged to give his or her reasons for doing so. The Investigator

may withdraw the participant at any time in the interests of the participants' health and well-

being. In addition, the participant may withdraw/be withdrawn for any of the following reasons:

- Administrative decision by the Investigator

- Ineligibility (either arising during the study or retrospectively, having been overlooked at

screening).

- Significant protocol deviation

Participant non-compliance with study requirements

- An AE, which requires discontinuation of the study involvement or results in inability to

continue to comply with study procedures

The reason for withdrawal will be recorded in the CRF. If withdrawal is due to an AE, appropriate

follow-up visits or medical care will be arranged, with the agreement of the volunteer, until the

AE has resolved, stabilised or a non-trial related causality has been assigned. The DSMB or DSMB

chair may recommend withdrawal of participants.

If the participant chooses to withdraw after receipt of a vaccine, they will not be unblinded,

unless criteria as described in 10.5 are met, as this will not change clinical action for them, as the

UK immunisation Green Book states that two doses of any approved vaccine would not require

Com-COV2 Protocol

©Copyright: The University of Oxford

Page **41** of **79**

further boost doses, even if they are administered in a heterologous schedule and it is anticipated that this will apply to the Novavax vaccine if it is approved. If this does not apply to the Novavax vaccine then the advice of the DSMB will be sought.

If a participant withdraws from the study, storage of samples will continue unless the participant specifically requests otherwise. Any data collected before their withdrawal will still be used in the analysis for safety and trial integrity; if the participant requests this could be de-identified following the end of the study.

In cases of participant withdrawal, long-term safety data collection, including some procedures such as safety bloods, may continue as appropriate if participants have received any vaccine doses through the trial, unless they decline any further follow-up.

10.9. Definition of end of trial

The end of the trial is the date of the last assay conducted on the last sample collected.

11. TRIAL INTERVENTIONS

See APPENDIX A: SCHEDULE OF PROCEDURES for details

11.1. Investigational Medical Product(s) (IMP) Description

Table 4: The marketing authorisation and IMP labelling status of the vaccines:

Vaccine	UK Marketing authorisation status	IMP labelling status
Oxford/AstraZeneca ChadOx1-nCOV-19	Approved for use under a temporary authorisation of the supply of an unlicensed vaccine; regulation 174 of the Human Medicines Regulations 2012.	Not IMP labelled, product will be used as supplied by manufacturer for national supply
Pfizer/BioNTech BNT162b2	Approved for use under a temporary authorisation of the supply of an unlicensed vaccine; regulation 174 of the Human Medicines Regulations 2012.	Not IMP labelled, product will be used as supplied by manufacturer for national supply
COVID-19 Vaccine Moderna	Approved for use under a temporary authorisation of the supply of an unlicensed vaccine; regulation 174 of the Human Medicines Regulations 2012.	Not IMP labelled, product will be used as supplied by manufacturer for national supply
Novavax SARS-CoV-2 rS/Matrix-M1 Adjuvant	No marketing authority or emergency use approval currently	IMP labelling required

11.1.1. Vaccine A – AstraZeneca COVID-19 vaccine (ChAdOx1 nCOV-19)

ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 is a recombinant replication-defective chimpanzee adenovirus expressing the SARS-CoV-2 spike (S) surface glycoprotein with a leading tissue plasminogen activator (TPA)

Com-COV2 Protocol

Date & Version No: 20-July-2022 V7.1

signal sequence. S is a type I, trimeric, transmembrane protein located at the surface of the viral

envelope, giving rise to spike shaped protrusions from the virion. The S proteins subunits are

responsible for cellular receptor ACE-2 binding via the receptor-binding domain and fusion of

virus and cell membranes, thereby mediating the entry of SARS-CoV-2 into the target cells. The

S protein has an essential role in virus entry and determines tissue and cell tropism, as well as

host range.

ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 expresses a codon-optimised coding sequence for Spike protein from the

SARS-CoV-2 genome sequence accession MN908947. ChAd is a non-enveloped virus, and the

glycoprotein antigen is not present in the vector, but is only expressed once the genetic code

within the vector enters the target cells. The vector genes are also modified to render the virus

replication incompetent, and to enhance immunogenicity (Garafalo et al, 2020). Once the vector

is in the nucleus, mRNA encoding the spike protein is produced that then enters the cytoplasm.

This then leads to translation of the target protein which act as an intracellular antigen.

11.1.1.1. Dosage, scheduling and packaging

The dose of AstraZeneca COVID-19 vaccine is 0.5ml. The vaccine should be administered

intramuscularly. The schedule will be one dose, at 10 weeks (+/- 2weeks) from community prime

COVID-19 vaccine dose. The AstraZeneca vaccine is supplied in packs of 10 vials. Each vial

contains 8 to 10 doses of vaccine, and is a colourless to slightly yellow, clear to slightly opaque

liquid. Each dose is prepared by withdrawing 0.5 mL from a vial in a sterile 1 mL or equivalent

syringe.

11.1.2. VACCINE B – Pfizer BioNTech (BNT162b2)

BNT162b2 is a lipid nanoparticle-formulated, nucleoside-modified mRNA vaccine that encodes

trimerised SARS-CoV-2 spike glycoprotein. BNT162b2 encodes the SARS-CoV-2 full-length spike,

modified by two proline mutations to lock it in the prefusion conformation and more closely

mimic the intact virus with which the elicited virus-neutralizing antibodies must interact. mRNA

vaccines use the pathogen's genetic code as the vaccine; this then exploits the host cells to

translate the code and then make the target spike protein. The protein then acts as an

intracellular antigen to stimulate the immune response. The mRNA is then degraded within days.

The vaccine RNA is formulated in lipid nanoparticles (LNPs) for more efficient delivery into cells

after intramuscular injection.

Com-COV2 Protocol

©Copyright: The University of Oxford

Page 43 of 79

11.1.2.1. Dosage, scheduling and packaging

The dose of Pfizer BioNTech COVID-19 vaccine is 30µg contained in 0.3ml of the diluted vaccine.

The schedule will be one dose, at 10 weeks (+/- 2 weeks) from community prime COVID-19

vaccine dose. Each pack of the Pfizer BioNTech vaccine contains 195 vials with 5 doses per vial

(975 doses per pack). It is supplied with 0.9% sodium chloride diluent for injection plastic

ampoules.

11.1.3. VACCINE C - COVID-19 Vaccine Moderna

COVID-19 Vaccine Moderna (mRNA-1273) encodes the S-2P antigen, consisting of the SARS-CoV-

2 glycoprotein with a transmembrane anchor and an intact S1–S2 cleavage site. S-2P is stabilized

in its prefusion conformation by two consecutive proline substitutions at amino acid positions

986 and 987, at the top of the central helix in the S2 subunit. The lipid nanoparticle capsule is

composed of four lipids, and formulated in a fixed ratio of mRNA and lipid.

11.1.3.1. Dosage, scheduling and packaging

COVID-19 Vaccine moderna (mRNA-1273) is packaged in multidose vials containing 10 doses of

0.5 mL. Vials are packaged in a carton containing a total of ten multidose vials per carton. One

dose (0.5 mL) contains 0.10 mg of mRNA (embedded in lipid nanoparticles). The schedule will

be one dose, at 10 weeks (+/- 2 weeks) from community prime COVID-19 vaccine dose.

11.1.4. VACCINE D – Novavax, NVXCoV2373

Novavax, NVXCoV2373 is a nano-particle vaccine. It is constructed from the full-length wild-type

(prototype Wuhan sequence) pre-fusion trimers of SARS-CoV2 spike glycoprotein. The native

protein has been modified with several substitutions to limit protease cleavage and enhance

thermal stability (the putative native furin cleavage site has been modified from RRAR to QQAQ

and 2 proline substitutions (positions K986P and V987P) in the HR1 domain). It has also been

optimised for expression in insect (Spodoptera frugiperda) Sf9 cells. The recombinant S-protein

genes are cloned into a baculovirus vector before being transferred into Sf9 cells. These cells

then produce the protein which is extracted and purified. It is co-formulated with a saponin-

based adjuvant, Matrix-M1™.

11.1.4.1. Dosage, scheduling and packaging

The dose of NVXCoV2373 is 5 μg recombinant spike protein with 50 μg Matrix-M1 adjuvant

(0.5ml). The schedule will be one dose, at 10 weeks (+/- 2 weeks) from community prime COVID-

19 vaccine dose. The vaccine is supplied in 10 dose vials.

Com-COV2 Protocol

©Copyright: The University of Oxford

Page **44** of **79**

Date & Version No: 20-July-2022 V7.1

Blinding of IMPs 11.2.

See Section 10.5 for details.

11.3. Storage of IMP

Vaccines will be stored in accordance with manufacturers' recommendations.

All movements of the study vaccines will be documented in accordance with existing standard

operating procedure (SOP). Vaccine accountability, storage, shipment and handling will be in

accordance with relevant SOPs and forms. To allow for participants to receive the vaccine in a

short time period, multiple clinic locations may be used. In this instance vaccines will be

transported in accordance with local SOP's and approvals as required.

11.3.1. Vaccine A – AstraZeneca COVID-19 vaccine (ChAdOx1 nCOV-19)

The AstraZeneca vaccine should be stored at +2°C to +8°C and has a shelf life of 6 months.

Further packing down (splitting of packs) of lots to aid deployment can occur at 2-8 °C within its

shelf life. Handling may occur for up to 2 hours at temperatures less than 25° C, prior to puncture.

The vaccine does not contain any preservative. After first opening the vial, it should be used

within 6 hours when stored at room temperature (up to 25° C). After this time, the vial must be

discarded.

11.3.2. Vaccine B - Pfizer BioNTech (BNT162b2)

- Frozen unopened vial

The Pfizer BioNTech vaccine should be stored at -90°C to -60°C and has shelf life of 6 months.

Unopened vials may be stored and transported at -25°C to -15°C for a single period of up to 2

weeks and can be returned to -90°C to -60°C.

- Thawed unopened vial

Once thawed, the vaccine may be stored for 1 month at 2-8°C. Within the 1-month shelf-life at

2 °C to 8 °C, up to 12 hours may be used for transportation. Prior to use, the unopened vial can

be stored for up to 2 hours at temperatures up to 30 °C. Once thawed, the vaccine should not be

re-frozen

- Handling of temperature excursions once removed from the freezer

Stability data indicate that the unopened vial is stable for up to: * 24 hours when stored at

temperatures from -3 °C to 2 °C * a total of 4 hours when stored at temperatures from 8 °C to 30

Com-COV2 Protocol

©Copyright: The University of Oxford

Page **45** of **79**

Date & Version No: 20-July-2022 V7.1

°C; this includes the 2 hours at up to 30 °C detailed above. This information is intended to guide

healthcare professionals only in case of temporary temperature excursion.

- Transfers if frozen vials stored at ultra-low temperature (<-60°C)

Closed-lid vial trays containing 195 vials removed from ultra-low temperature frozen storage (<

-60 °C) may be at temperatures up to 25 °C for up to 5 minutes.

Open-lid vial trays, or vial trays containing less than 195 vials, removed from ultra-low

temperature frozen storage (< 60°C) may be at temperatures up to 25 °C for up to 3 minutes.

After vial trays are returned to frozen storage following temperature exposure up to 25 °C, they

must remain in frozen storage for at least 2 hours before they can be removed again.

- Transfers of frozen vials stored at -25°C to -15°C

Closed-lid vial trays containing 195 vials removed from frozen storage (-25°C to -15°C) may be at

temperatures up to 25°C for up to 3 minutes.

Open-lid vial trays, or vial trays containing less than 195 vials, removed from frozen storage (-

25°C to -15°C) may be at temperatures up to 25°C for up to 1 minute.

Once a vial is removed from the vial tray, it should be thawed for use.

- Diluted medicinal product

Chemical and physical in-use stability, including during transportation, has been demonstrated

for 6 hours at 2 °C to 30 °C after dilution in sodium chloride 9 mg/mL (0.9%) solution for injection.

From a microbiological point of view, unless the method of dilution precludes the risk of

microbial contamination, the product should be used immediately. If not used immediately, in-

use storage times and conditions are the responsibility of the user.

- Special precautions for storage

Store in a freezer at -90 °C to -60 °C. Store in the original package in order to protect from light.

During storage, minimise exposure to room light, and avoid exposure to direct sunlight and

ultraviolet light. Thawed vials can be handled in room light conditions.

11.3.3. Vaccine C - COVID-19 Vaccine Moderna

The COVID-19 Vaccine Moderna can be stored for 7 months at -25°C to -15°C. The unopened

vaccine may be stored refrigerated at 2 degrees Centigrade to 8 degrees Centigrade, protected

from light, for maximum 30 days. Within this period, up to 12 hours may be used for

transportation. Once thawed the vaccine should not be re-frozen. The unopened vaccine may be

Com-COV2 Protocol

©Copyright: The University of Oxford

Page 46 of 79

Date & Version No: 20-July-2022 V7.1

stored at 8°C to 25°C up to 24 hours after removal from refrigerated conditions. Punctured vial:

Chemical and physical in-use stability has been demonstrated for 6 hours at 2°C to 25°C after

initial puncture (within the allowed use period of 30 days at 2°C to 8°C and 24 hours at 8°C to

25°C). From a microbiological point of view, the product should be used immediately. If the

vaccine is not used immediately, in-use storage times and conditions are the responsibility of the

user.

Special precautions for storage

Store frozen between -25 degrees Centigrade to -15 degrees Centigrade. Store in the original

carton to protect from light. Do not store on dry ice or below -50 degrees Centigrade.

Transportation of thawed vials in liquid state at 2°C to 8°C: If transport at -50°C to -15°C is not

feasible, available data support transportation of one or more thawed vials in liquid state for up

to 12 hours at 2°C to 8°C (within the 30 days shelf life at 2°C to 8°C). Once thawed and transported

in liquid state at 2°C to 8°C, vials should not be refrozen and should be stored at 2°C to 8°C until

use.

11.3.4. Vaccine D – Novavax, NVXCoV2373

SARS-CoV-2 rS and Matrix-M1 adjuvant should be stored at 2°C to 8°C and not frozen. When

properly stored, the product is stable for 6 months from the date of manufacture. Once a product

vial has been punctured, all preformulated vaccine with Matrix-M1 adjuvant from the punctured

vial should be administered within 6 hours. All SARS-CoV-2 rS vaccines which are diluted or mixed

in the study site clinic or investigational pharmacy should be used within 1 hour of preparation.

11.4. **Compliance with trial treatment**

All vaccinations will be administered by the research team and recorded in the CRF. The study

medication will be at no time in the possession of the participant and compliance will not,

therefore, be an issue.

11.5. Accountability of the trial treatment

Accountability of the IMPs will be conducted in accordance with the relevant SOPs.

Concomitant medication 11.6.

As set out by the exclusion criteria, volunteers may not enter the study if they have received: any

vaccine other than the licensed seasonal influenza vaccine or pneumococcal vaccine in the 30

days prior to enrolment or there is planned receipt of any other vaccine within 30 days of each

vaccination, any investigational product within 30 days prior to enrolment or if receipt is planned

during the study period, or if there is any use of immunosuppressant medication within 6 months

Com-COV2 Protocol

©Copyright: The University of Oxford

Page 47 of 79

Date & Version No: 20-July-2022 V7.1

prior to enrolment or if receipt is planned at any time during the study period (except topical

steroids and short course of low dose steroids < 14 day). Concomitant medications taken at

enrolment will be recorded, as will new medications taken within the 28 days after each

immunisation. Subsequently only new medications taken in response to a medically attended

adverse event up until 3 months post boost will be recorded.

11.7. Post-trial treatment

If any heterologous boost regimen is not found to be non-inferior participants who received this

regimen will be advised of this. Decisions regarding the need for a boost dose, the nature of the

boost dose and mode of delivery (e.g. NHS vs study site) will be made in consultation with the

DSMB and study management group.

11.8. Other treatments (non-IMPs)

Participants will be advised that they may take paracetamol prophylactically after vaccine

administration. This will be from the participants own supplies rather than supplied by the study

team

11.9. Other interventions

There are no additional investigations other than those specified in this protocol.

12. **SAFETY REPORTING**

> 12.1. Safety reporting window

Safety reporting for the trial will commence once the first participant is consented; and will end

10 months after the last participant has received the first dose of an IMP for SAEs and Adverse

Events of Special Interest (AESI)s.

For individual participants the reporting period begins when they are consented, in person at the

V1, and ends once they have completed the last study visit (V5) for SAE's and AESI's.

All adverse events (AEs) that result in a participants' withdrawal from the study will be followed

up until a satisfactory resolution occurs, or until a non-study related causality is assigned (if the

participant consents to this).

Com-COV2 Protocol

©Copyright: The University of Oxford

Page 48 of 79

12.2. Adverse Event Definitions

Adverse Event (AE) been administered, including occurrences which are not necessarily caused by or related to that product. An untoward and unintended response in a participant to an investigational medicinal product which is related to any dose administered to that participant. The phrase "response to an investigational medicinal product" means that a causal relationship between a trial medication and an AE is at least a reasonable possibility, i.e. the relationship cannot be ruled out. All cases judged by either the reporting medically qualified professional or the Sponsor as having a reasonable suspected causal relationship to the trial medication qualify as adverse reactions. Adverse Events of Special Interest (AESI) Adverse events identified as being of particular relevance to the IMP's. These will also reported as an SAE, if meeting SAE criteria (e.g. hospitalisation) A serious adverse event is any untoward medical occurrence that: Results in death Is life-threatening Requires inpatient hospitalisation or prolongation of existing hospitalisation Requires inpatient hospitalisation or prolongation of existing hospitalisation Results in persistent or significant disability/incapacity Consists of a congenital anomaly or birth defect* Other 'important medical events' may also be considered a serious adverse event when, based upon appropriate medical judgement, the event may jeopardise the participant and may require medical or surgical intervention to prevent one of the outcomes listed above. NOTE: The term "life-threatening" in the definition of "serious" refers to an event in which the participant was at risk of death at the time of the event; it does not refer to an event which hypothetically might have caused death if it were more severe. An adverse event that is both serious and, in the opinion of the reporting Investigator, believed with reasonable probability to be due to one of the trial treatments, based on the information provided.		Any untoward medical occurrence in a participant to whom a medicinal product has			
An untoward and unintended response in a participant to an investigational medicinal product which is related to any dose administered to that participant. The phrase "response to an investigational medicinal product" means that a causal relationship between a trial medication and an AE is at least a reasonable possibility, i.e. the relationship between a trial medication and an AE is at least a reasonable possibility, i.e. the relationship between a trial medication and an AE is at least a reasonable possibility, i.e. the relationship between a trial medication and an AE is at least a reasonable possibility, i.e. the relationship between a trial medication and an AE is at least a reasonable possibility, i.e. the relationship between a trial medication and an AE is at least a reasonable possibility, i.e. the relationship between a trial medication and an AE is at least a reasonable possibility, i.e. the relationship between a trial medication and an AE is at least a reasonable possibility, i.e. the relationship between a trial medication and an AE is at least a reasonable possibility, i.e. the reasonable possibility, incapacity and and in the importation and an AE is at least a reasonable possibility, incapacity. Adverse Events of Adverse Events identified as being of particular relevance to the IMP's. These will also reported as an SAE, if meeting SAE criteria (e.g. hospitalisation) A serious adverse event is any untoward medical occurrence that: - Results in death - Is life-threatening - Requires inpatient hospitalisation or prolongation of existing hospitalisation - Results in death - Is life-threatening and propriate defect* Other 'important medical events' may also be considered a serious adverse event when, based upon appropriate medical judgement, the event may jeopardise the participant and may require medical or surgical intervention to prevent one of the outcomes listed above. NOTE: The term "life-threatening" in the definition of "serious" refers to an event in which the participant was at risk	Adverse Event (AE)	been administered, including occurrences which are not necessarily caused by or			
medicinal product which is related to any dose administered to that participant. The phrase "response to an investigational medicinal product" means that a causal relationship between a trial medication and an AE is at least a reasonable possibility, i.e. the relationship cannot be ruled out. All cases judged by either the reporting medically qualified professional or the Sponsor as having a reasonable suspected causal relationship to the trial medication qualify as adverse reactions. Adverse Events of Special Interest (AESI) Adverse events identified as being of particular relevance to the IMP's. These will also reported as an SAE, if meeting SAE criteria (e.g., hospitalisation) A serious adverse event is any untoward medical occurrence that: Results in death Is life-threatening Requires inpatient hospitalisation or prolongation of existing hospitalisation Results in persistent or significant disability/incapacity Consists of a congenital anomaly or birth defect* Other 'important medical events' may also be considered a serious adverse event when, based upon appropriate medical judgement, the event may jeopardise the participant and may require medical or surgical intervention to prevent one of the outcomes listed above. NOTE: The term "life-threatening" in the definition of "serious" refers to an event in which the participant was at risk of death at the time of the event; it does not refer to an event which hypothetically might have caused death if it were more severe. An adverse event that is both serious and, in the opinion of the reporting Investigator, believed with reasonable probability to be due to one of the trial treatments, based on the information provided. Suspected Unexpected A serious adverse reaction, the nature and severity of which is not consistent with		related to that product.			
The phrase "response to an investigational medicinal product" means that a causal relationship between a trial medication and an AE is at least a reasonable possibility, i.e. the relationship cannot be ruled out. All cases judged by either the reporting medically qualified professional or the Sponsor as having a reasonable suspected causal relationship to the trial medication qualify as adverse reactions. Adverse Events of Special Interest (AESI) A serious adverse events identified as being of particular relevance to the IMP's. These will also reported as an SAE, if meeting SAE criteria (e.g. hospitalisation) A serious adverse event is any untoward medical occurrence that: Results in death Is life-threatening Requires inpatient hospitalisation or prolongation of existing hospitalisation Results in persistent or significant disability/incapacity Consists of a congenital anomaly or birth defect* Other 'important medical events' may also be considered a serious adverse event when, based upon appropriate medical judgement, the event may jeopardise the participant and may require medical or surgical intervention to prevent one of the outcomes listed above. NOTE: The term "life-threatening" in the definition of "serious" refers to an event in which the participant was at risk of death at the time of the event; it does not refer to an event which hypothetically might have caused death if it were more severe. An adverse event that is both serious and, in the opinion of the reporting Investigator, believed with reasonable probability to be due to one of the trial treatments, based on the information provided. Suspected Unexpected A serious adverse reaction, the nature and severity of which is not consistent with		An untoward and unintended response in a participant to an investigational			
relationship between a trial medication and an AE is at least a reasonable possibility, i.e. the relationship cannot be ruled out. All cases judged by either the reporting medically qualified professional or the Sponsor as having a reasonable suspected causal relationship to the trial medication qualify as adverse reactions. Adverse Events of Special Interest (AESI) A serious adverse events identified as being of particular relevance to the IMP's. These will also reported as an SAE, if meeting SAE criteria (e.g. hospitalisation) A serious adverse event is any untoward medical occurrence that: - Results in death - Is life-threatening - Requires inpatient hospitalisation or prolongation of existing hospitalisation - Results in persistent or significant disability/incapacity - Consists of a congenital anomaly or birth defect* Other 'important medical events' may also be considered a serious adverse event when, based upon appropriate medical judgement, the event may jeopardise the participant and may require medical or surgical intervention to prevent one of the outcomes listed above. NOTE: The term "life-threatening" in the definition of "serious" refers to an event in which the participant was at risk of death at the time of the event; it does not refer to an event which hypothetically might have caused death if it were more severe. An adverse event that is both serious and, in the opinion of the reporting Investigator, believed with reasonable probability to be due to one of the trial treatments, based on the information provided. Suspected Unexpected A serious adverse reaction, the nature and severity of which is not consistent with		medicinal product which is related to any dose administered to that participant.			
i.e. the relationship cannot be ruled out. All cases judged by either the reporting medically qualified professional or the Sponsor as having a reasonable suspected causal relationship to the trial medication qualify as adverse reactions. Adverse Events of Special Interest (AESI) Adverse events identified as being of particular relevance to the IMP's. These will also reported as an SAE, if meeting SAE criteria (e.g., hospitalisation) A serious adverse event is any untoward medical occurrence that: - Results in death - Is life-threatening - Requires inpatient hospitalisation or prolongation of existing hospitalisation - Results in persistent or significant disability/incapacity - Consists of a congenital anomaly or birth defect* Other 'important medical events' may also be considered a serious adverse event when, based upon appropriate medical judgement, the event may jeopardise the participant and may require medical or surgical intervention to prevent one of the outcomes listed above. NOTE: The term "life-threatening" in the definition of "serious" refers to an event in which the participant was at risk of death at the time of the event; it does not refer to an event which hypothetically might have caused death if it were more severe. An adverse event that is both serious and, in the opinion of the reporting Investigator, believed with reasonable probability to be due to one of the trial treatments, based on the information provided. Suspected Unexpected A serious adverse reaction, the nature and severity of which is not consistent with		The phrase "response to an investigational medicinal product" means that a causal			
All cases judged by either the reporting medically qualified professional or the Sponsor as having a reasonable suspected causal relationship to the trial medication qualify as adverse reactions. Adverse Events of Adverse events identified as being of particular relevance to the IMP's. These will also reported as an SAE, if meeting SAE criteria (e.g. hospitalisation) A serious adverse event is any untoward medical occurrence that: Results in death Is life-threatening Requires inpatient hospitalisation or prolongation of existing hospitalisation Results in persistent or significant disability/incapacity Consists of a congenital anomaly or birth defect* Other 'important medical events' may also be considered a serious adverse event when, based upon appropriate medical judgement, the event may jeopardise the participant and may require medical or surgical intervention to prevent one of the outcomes listed above. NOTE: The term "life-threatening" in the definition of "serious" refers to an event in which the participant was at risk of death at the time of the event; it does not refer to an event which hypothetically might have caused death if it were more severe. Serious Adverse Reaction (SAR) An adverse event that is both serious and, in the opinion of the reporting investigator, believed with reasonable probability to be due to one of the trial treatments, based on the information provided.	Adverse Reaction (AR)	relationship between a trial medication and an AE is at least a reasonable possibility,			
Sponsor as having a reasonable suspected causal relationship to the trial medication qualify as adverse reactions. Adverse Events of Adverse events identified as being of particular relevance to the IMP's. These will also reported as an SAE, if meeting SAE criteria (e.g. hospitalisation) A serious adverse event is any untoward medical occurrence that: Results in death Is life-threatening Requires inpatient hospitalisation or prolongation of existing hospitalisation Results in persistent or significant disability/incapacity Consists of a congenital anomaly or birth defect* Other 'important medical events' may also be considered a serious adverse event when, based upon appropriate medical judgement, the event may jeopardise the participant and may require medical or surgical intervention to prevent one of the outcomes listed above. NOTE: The term "life-threatening" in the definition of "serious" refers to an event in which the participant was at risk of death at the time of the event; it does not refer to an event which hypothetically might have caused death if it were more severe. Serious Adverse Reaction (SAR) An adverse event that is both serious and, in the opinion of the reporting Investigator, believed with reasonable probability to be due to one of the trial treatments, based on the information provided.		i.e. the relationship cannot be ruled out.			
Adverse Events of Special Interest (AESI) Adverse events identified as being of particular relevance to the IMP's. These will also reported as an SAE, if meeting SAE criteria (e.g. hospitalisation) A serious adverse event is any untoward medical occurrence that: Results in death Is life-threatening Requires inpatient hospitalisation or prolongation of existing hospitalisation Results in persistent or significant disability/incapacity Consists of a congenital anomaly or birth defect* Other 'important medical events' may also be considered a serious adverse event when, based upon appropriate medical judgement, the event may jeopardise the participant and may require medical or surgical intervention to prevent one of the outcomes listed above. NOTE: The term "life-threatening" in the definition of "serious" refers to an event in which the participant was at risk of death at the time of the event; it does not refer to an event which hypothetically might have caused death if it were more severe. An adverse event that is both serious and, in the opinion of the reporting Investigator, believed with reasonable probability to be due to one of the trial treatments, based on the information provided. Suspected Unexpected A serious adverse reaction, the nature and severity of which is not consistent with		All cases judged by either the reporting medically qualified professional or the			
Adverse Events of Adverse events identified as being of particular relevance to the IMP's. These will also reported as an SAE, if meeting SAE criteria (e.g. hospitalisation) A serious adverse event is any untoward medical occurrence that: - Results in death - Is life-threatening - Requires inpatient hospitalisation or prolongation of existing hospitalisation - Results in persistent or significant disability/incapacity - Consists of a congenital anomaly or birth defect* Other 'important medical events' may also be considered a serious adverse event when, based upon appropriate medical judgement, the event may jeopardise the participant and may require medical or surgical intervention to prevent one of the outcomes listed above. NOTE: The term "life-threatening" in the definition of "serious" refers to an event in which the participant was at risk of death at the time of the event; it does not refer to an event which hypothetically might have caused death if it were more severe. Serious Adverse Reaction (SAR) An adverse event that is both serious and, in the opinion of the reporting Investigator, believed with reasonable probability to be due to one of the trial treatments, based on the information provided. Suspected Unexpected A serious adverse reaction, the nature and severity of which is not consistent with		Sponsor as having a reasonable suspected causal relationship to the trial medication			
A serious adverse event is any untoward medical occurrence that: Results in death Is life-threatening Requires inpatient hospitalisation or prolongation of existing hospitalisation Results in persistent or significant disability/incapacity Consists of a congenital anomaly or birth defect* Other 'important medical events' may also be considered a serious adverse event when, based upon appropriate medical judgement, the event may jeopardise the participant and may require medical or surgical intervention to prevent one of the outcomes listed above. NOTE: The term "life-threatening" in the definition of "serious" refers to an event in which the participant was at risk of death at the time of the event; it does not refer to an event which hypothetically might have caused death if it were more severe. An adverse event that is both serious and, in the opinion of the reporting Investigator, believed with reasonable probability to be due to one of the trial treatments, based on the information provided. Suspected Unexpected A serious adverse reaction, the nature and severity of which is not consistent with		qualify as adverse reactions.			
A serious adverse event is any untoward medical occurrence that: Results in death Is life-threatening Requires inpatient hospitalisation or prolongation of existing hospitalisation Results in persistent or significant disability/incapacity Consists of a congenital anomaly or birth defect* Other 'important medical events' may also be considered a serious adverse event when, based upon appropriate medical judgement, the event may jeopardise the participant and may require medical or surgical intervention to prevent one of the outcomes listed above. NOTE: The term "life-threatening" in the definition of "serious" refers to an event in which the participant was at risk of death at the time of the event; it does not refer to an event which hypothetically might have caused death if it were more severe. An adverse event that is both serious and, in the opinion of the reporting Investigator, believed with reasonable probability to be due to one of the trial treatments, based on the information provided. Suspected Unexpected A serious adverse reaction, the nature and severity of which is not consistent with	Adverse Events of	Adverse events identified as being of particular relevance to the IMP's. These will			
Serious Adverse Event (SAE) Consists of a congenital anomaly or birth defect* Other 'important medical events' may also be considered a serious adverse event when, based upon appropriate medical judgement, the event may jeopardise the participant and may require medical or surgical intervention to prevent one of the outcomes listed above. NOTE: The term "life-threatening" in the definition of "serious" refers to an event in which the participant was at risk of death at the time of the event; it does not refer to an event which hypothetically might have caused death if it were more severe. Serious Adverse Reaction (SAR) An adverse event that is both serious and, in the opinion of the reporting Investigator, believed with reasonable probability to be due to one of the trial treatments, based on the information provided. Suspected Unexpected A serious adverse reaction, the nature and severity of which is not consistent with	Special Interest (AESI)	also reported as an SAE, if meeting SAE criteria (e.g. hospitalisation)			
Serious Adverse Event (SAE) - Is life-threatening - Requires inpatient hospitalisation or prolongation of existing hospitalisation - Results in persistent or significant disability/incapacity - Consists of a congenital anomaly or birth defect* Other 'important medical events' may also be considered a serious adverse event when, based upon appropriate medical judgement, the event may jeopardise the participant and may require medical or surgical intervention to prevent one of the outcomes listed above. NOTE: The term "life-threatening" in the definition of "serious" refers to an event in which the participant was at risk of death at the time of the event; it does not refer to an event which hypothetically might have caused death if it were more severe. Serious Adverse Reaction (SAR) An adverse event that is both serious and, in the opinion of the reporting Investigator, believed with reasonable probability to be due to one of the trial treatments, based on the information provided. A serious adverse reaction, the nature and severity of which is not consistent with		A serious adverse event is any untoward medical occurrence that:			
- Requires inpatient hospitalisation or prolongation of existing hospitalisation - Results in persistent or significant disability/incapacity - Consists of a congenital anomaly or birth defect* Other 'important medical events' may also be considered a serious adverse event when, based upon appropriate medical judgement, the event may jeopardise the participant and may require medical or surgical intervention to prevent one of the outcomes listed above. NOTE: The term "life-threatening" in the definition of "serious" refers to an event in which the participant was at risk of death at the time of the event; it does not refer to an event which hypothetically might have caused death if it were more severe. Serious Adverse Reaction (SAR) An adverse event that is both serious and, in the opinion of the reporting Investigator, believed with reasonable probability to be due to one of the trial treatments, based on the information provided. A serious adverse reaction, the nature and severity of which is not consistent with		- Results in death			
Serious Adverse Event (SAE) - Results in persistent or significant disability/incapacity - Consists of a congenital anomaly or birth defect* Other 'important medical events' may also be considered a serious adverse event when, based upon appropriate medical judgement, the event may jeopardise the participant and may require medical or surgical intervention to prevent one of the outcomes listed above. NOTE: The term "life-threatening" in the definition of "serious" refers to an event in which the participant was at risk of death at the time of the event; it does not refer to an event which hypothetically might have caused death if it were more severe. Serious Adverse Reaction (SAR) An adverse event that is both serious and, in the opinion of the reporting Investigator, believed with reasonable probability to be due to one of the trial treatments, based on the information provided. Suspected Unexpected A serious adverse reaction, the nature and severity of which is not consistent with		- Is life-threatening			
Serious Adverse Event (SAE) - Consists of a congenital anomaly or birth defect* Other 'important medical events' may also be considered a serious adverse event when, based upon appropriate medical judgement, the event may jeopardise the participant and may require medical or surgical intervention to prevent one of the outcomes listed above. NOTE: The term "life-threatening" in the definition of "serious" refers to an event in which the participant was at risk of death at the time of the event; it does not refer to an event which hypothetically might have caused death if it were more severe. Serious Adverse Reaction (SAR) An adverse event that is both serious and, in the opinion of the reporting Investigator, believed with reasonable probability to be due to one of the trial treatments, based on the information provided. Suspected Unexpected A serious adverse reaction, the nature and severity of which is not consistent with		- Requires inpatient hospitalisation or prolongation of existing hospitalisation			
Other 'important medical events' may also be considered a serious adverse event when, based upon appropriate medical judgement, the event may jeopardise the participant and may require medical or surgical intervention to prevent one of the outcomes listed above. NOTE: The term "life-threatening" in the definition of "serious" refers to an event in which the participant was at risk of death at the time of the event; it does not refer to an event which hypothetically might have caused death if it were more severe. Serious Adverse Reaction (SAR) An adverse event that is both serious and, in the opinion of the reporting Investigator, believed with reasonable probability to be due to one of the trial treatments, based on the information provided. Suspected Unexpected A serious adverse reaction, the nature and severity of which is not consistent with		- Results in persistent or significant disability/incapacity			
when, based upon appropriate medical judgement, the event may jeopardise the participant and may require medical or surgical intervention to prevent one of the outcomes listed above. NOTE: The term "life-threatening" in the definition of "serious" refers to an event in which the participant was at risk of death at the time of the event; it does not refer to an event which hypothetically might have caused death if it were more severe. Serious Adverse Reaction (SAR) An adverse event that is both serious and, in the opinion of the reporting Investigator, believed with reasonable probability to be due to one of the trial treatments, based on the information provided. Suspected Unexpected A serious adverse reaction, the nature and severity of which is not consistent with	Conious Advance Frant	- Consists of a congenital anomaly or birth defect*			
when, based upon appropriate medical judgement, the event may jeopardise the participant and may require medical or surgical intervention to prevent one of the outcomes listed above. NOTE: The term "life-threatening" in the definition of "serious" refers to an event in which the participant was at risk of death at the time of the event; it does not refer to an event which hypothetically might have caused death if it were more severe. Serious Adverse Reaction (SAR) An adverse event that is both serious and, in the opinion of the reporting Investigator, believed with reasonable probability to be due to one of the trial treatments, based on the information provided. Suspected Unexpected A serious adverse reaction, the nature and severity of which is not consistent with		Other 'important medical events' may also be considered a serious adverse event			
outcomes listed above. NOTE: The term "life-threatening" in the definition of "serious" refers to an event in which the participant was at risk of death at the time of the event; it does not refer to an event which hypothetically might have caused death if it were more severe. Serious Adverse Reaction (SAR) An adverse event that is both serious and, in the opinion of the reporting Investigator, believed with reasonable probability to be due to one of the trial treatments, based on the information provided. Suspected Unexpected A serious adverse reaction, the nature and severity of which is not consistent with	(SAE)	when, based upon appropriate medical judgement, the event may jeopardise the			
NOTE: The term "life-threatening" in the definition of "serious" refers to an event in which the participant was at risk of death at the time of the event; it does not refer to an event which hypothetically might have caused death if it were more severe. Serious Adverse Reaction (SAR) An adverse event that is both serious and, in the opinion of the reporting Investigator, believed with reasonable probability to be due to one of the trial treatments, based on the information provided. Suspected Unexpected A serious adverse reaction, the nature and severity of which is not consistent with		participant and may require medical or surgical intervention to prevent one of the			
which the participant was at risk of death at the time of the event; it does not refer to an event which hypothetically might have caused death if it were more severe. Serious Adverse Reaction (SAR) An adverse event that is both serious and, in the opinion of the reporting Investigator, believed with reasonable probability to be due to one of the trial treatments, based on the information provided. Suspected Unexpected A serious adverse reaction, the nature and severity of which is not consistent with		outcomes listed above.			
to an event which hypothetically might have caused death if it were more severe. Serious Adverse Reaction (SAR) An adverse event that is both serious and, in the opinion of the reporting Investigator, believed with reasonable probability to be due to one of the trial treatments, based on the information provided. Suspected Unexpected A serious adverse reaction, the nature and severity of which is not consistent with		NOTE: The term "life-threatening" in the definition of "serious" refers to an event in			
Serious Adverse Reaction (SAR) An adverse event that is both serious and, in the opinion of the reporting Investigator, believed with reasonable probability to be due to one of the trial treatments, based on the information provided. Suspected Unexpected A serious adverse reaction, the nature and severity of which is not consistent with		which the participant was at risk of death at the time of the event; it does not refer			
Serious Adverse Reaction (SAR) Investigator, believed with reasonable probability to be due to one of the trial treatments, based on the information provided. Suspected Unexpected A serious adverse reaction, the nature and severity of which is not consistent with		to an event which hypothetically might have caused death if it were more severe.			
Reaction (SAR) Investigator, believed with reasonable probability to be due to one of the trial treatments, based on the information provided. Suspected Unexpected A serious adverse reaction, the nature and severity of which is not consistent with	Serious Adverse Investigator, believed with reasonable probability to be due to one of				
treatments, based on the information provided. Suspected Unexpected A serious adverse reaction, the nature and severity of which is not consistent with					
Corious Advorso the Deference Cofety Information for	Suspected Unexpected	A serious adverse reaction, the nature and severity of which is not consistent with			
Serious Adverse the Reference Salety Information for	Serious Adverse	the Reference Safety Information for			
Reaction (SUSAR) the medicinal product in question set out:	Reaction (SUSAR)	the medicinal product in question set out:			

Com-COV2 Protocol

Date & Version No: 20-July-2022 V7.1

- In the case of a product with a marketing authorisation, in the approved summary of

product characteristics (SmPC) for that product

In the case of any other investigational medicinal product, in the approved investigator's

brochure (IB) relating to the trial in question

NB: to avoid confusion or misunderstanding of the difference between the terms "serious" and

"severe", the following note of clarification is provided: "Severe" is often used to describe

intensity of a specific event, which may be of relatively minor medical significance. "Seriousness"

is the regulatory definition supplied above.

12.3. Assessment results outside of normal parameters as AEs and SAEs

12.3.1. Clinical

Abnormal clinical findings from medical history or examination will be assessed as to their clinical

significance throughout the trial. If an abnormal finding is deemed to be clinically significant, the

participant will be informed and appropriate medical care arranged with the permission of the

participant as per Section 12.6.

12.3.2. Laboratory

Abnormal clinical findings from safety blood tests will be assessed by a medically qualified study

member. Laboratory AEs will be assessed using specific toxicity grading scales adapted from the

FDA Toxicity Grading Scale for Healthy Adult and Adolescent Volunteers Enrolled in Preventive

Vaccine Clinical Trials (APPENDIX C: Toxicity grading scale for Laboratory AEs).

Any abnormal test result deemed clinically significant may be repeated to ensure it is not a single

occurrence, if deemed appropriate to do so in the medical opinion of the investigator.

If a repeated test remains clinically significant, the participant will be informed and appropriate

medical care arranged as appropriate and with the permission of the volunteer.

12.4. Assessment of severity

The severity of clinical and laboratory adverse events will be assessed according to scales based

on FDA toxicity grading scales for healthy adult volunteers enrolled in preventive vaccine clinical

trials, listed in the Clinical Study Plan and in Table 5Table 6, Table 7 below.

Table 5: Severity grading for local adverse events

Com-COV2 Protocol

©Copyright: The University of Oxford

Page **50** of **79**

Adverse Event	Grade	Intensity	
	1	Pain that is easily tolerated	
Date of twiceties atte	2	Pain that interferes with daily activity	
Pain at injection site	3	Pain that prevents daily activity	
	4	A&E visit or hospitalization	
	1	Mild discomfort to touch	
Tandamasa	2	Discomfort with movement	
Tenderness	3	Significant discomfort at rest	
	4	A&E visit or hospitalization	
	1	2.5 - 5 cm	
Fundhama at iniantian ata	2	5.1 - 10 cm	
Erythema at injection site*	3	>10 cm	
	4	Necrosis or exfoliative dermatitis	
	1	2.5 – 5 cm and does not interfere with activity	
Induration/Swelling at injection	2	5.1 - 10 cm or interferes with activity	
site	3	>10 cm or prevents daily activity	
	4	Necrosis	

*erythema ≤2.5cm is an expected consequence of skin puncture and will therefore not be considered an adverse event

Table 6: Severity grading criteria for physical observations.

Vital Signs	Grade 1 (mild)	Grade 2 (moderate)	Grade 3 (severe)	Grade 4 Potentially Life threatening
Fever (Oral - °C)	38.0 - 38.4	38.5 – 38.9	39.0 - 40	> 40
Tachycardia (bpm)*	101 - 115	116 – 130	>130	A&E visit or hospitalisation for arrhythmia
Bradycardia (bpm)**	50 – 54	45 – 49	<45	A&E visit or hospitalisation for arrhythmia

Date & Version No: 20-July-2022 V7.1

Systolic hypertension (mmHg)	141 - 150	151 – 155	≥155	A&E visit or hospitalization for malignant hypertension
Diastolic hypertension (mmHg)	91 - 95	96 – 100	>100	A&E visit or hospitalization for malignant hypertension
Systolic hypotension (mmHg)***	85 - 89	80 – 84	<80	A&E visit or hospitalization for hypotensive shock
Respiratory Rate (breaths per minute)	17 - 20	21-25	>25	Intubation

^{*}Taken after ≥10 minutes at rest **When resting heart rate is between 60 – 100 beats per minute. Use clinical judgement when characterising bradycardia among some healthy subject populations, for example, conditioned athletes. ***Only if symptomatic (e.g. dizzy/ light-headed)

Table 7: Severity grading for local and systemic AEs

GRADE 0	None
GRADE 1	Mild: Transient or mild discomfort (< 48 hours); No interference with activity; No medical intervention/therapy required
GRADE 2	Moderate: Mild to moderate limitation in activity – some assistance may be needed; no or minimal medical intervention/therapy required
GRADE 3	Severe: Marked limitation in activity, some assistance usually required; medical intervention/therapy required.
GRADE 4	Potentially Life-threatening: Requires assessment in A&E or hospitalisation

12.5. Assessment of causality

For every AE, an assessment of the relationship of the event to the administration of the vaccine will be undertaken by the CI-delegated clinician. An interpretation of the causal relationship of the intervention to the AE in question will be made, based on the type of event; the relationship of the event to the time of vaccine administration; and the known biology of the vaccine therapy. Alternative causes of the AE, such as the natural history of pre-existing medical conditions, concomitant therapy, other risk factors and the temporal relationship of the event to vaccination will be considered and investigated. Causality assessment will take place during planned safety reviews, interim analyses (including if the study is paused by the DSMB due to safety concerns) and at the final safety analysis, except for SAEs, which should be assigned by the reporting investigator, immediately, as described in SOP OVC005 Safety Reporting for CTIMPs. Causality assessment will be recorded on the eCRF.

Com-COV2 Protocol

Table 8: Guidelines for assessing the relationship of vaccine administration to an AE.

0	No relationship	No temporal relationship to study product and Alternate aetiology (clinical state, environmental or other interventions); and Does not follow known pattern of response to study product
1	Unlikely	Unlikely temporal relationship to study product and Alternate aetiology likely (clinical state, environmental or other interventions) and Does not follow known typical or plausible pattern of response to study product.
2	Possible	Reasonable temporal relationship to study product; or Event not readily produced by clinical state, environmental or other interventions; or Similar pattern of response to that seen with other vaccines
3	Probable	Reasonable temporal relationship to study product; and Event not readily produced by clinical state, environment, or other interventions or Known pattern of response seen with other vaccines
4	Definite	Reasonable temporal relationship to study product; and Event not readily produced by clinical state, environment, or other interventions; and Known pattern of response seen with other vaccines

12.6. Procedures for reporting Adverse Events

12.6.1. Solicited AEs

Participants will be asked to record local and systemic AE's for 7 days (and longer if symptoms persist at day seven, until resolution or stabilisation) following vaccination in the electronic diary (solicited AEs).

12.6.2. Unsolicited AEs

All local and systemic AEs occurring in the 28 days following each vaccination observed by the Investigator or reported by the participant, whether or not attributed to study medication, will be recorded in electronic diaries or study database. All AEs that result in a participants' withdrawal from the study will be followed up until a satisfactory resolution occurs, or until a non-study related causality is assigned (if the participant consents to this) as per Section 10.8.

Com-COV2 Protocol

SAEs and AESIs will be actively solicited at each study visit throughout the entire trial period.

12.6.3. Medically Attended AEs

A medically attended AE, is defined as any adverse event for which the participant seeks medical

attention either at hospital or from primary care. This explicitly excludes seeking medical

attention solely for a SARS-CoV2 test. Participants will be asked to record any medically attended

AEs on their diary cards. Medically attended AEs occurring up to 3 months post boost, will be

directly solicited and reviewed at each study visit.

12.7. Reporting procedures for Serious Adverse Events

In order to comply with current regulations on SAE reporting to regulatory authorities, the event

will be documented accurately and notification deadlines respected. SAEs will be reported to

members of the study team immediately the Investigators become aware of their occurrence, as

described in the clinical study plan. Copies of all reports will be forwarded for review to the Chief

Investigator (as the Sponsor's representative) within 24 hours of the Investigator being aware of

the suspected SAE. The DSMB will be notified of SAEs that are deemed possibly, probably or

definitely related to study interventions; the chair of DSMB will be notified immediately (within

24 hours) of the sponsor being aware of their occurrence. SAE/AESIs will not normally be

reported immediately to the ethical committee(s) unless there is a clinically important increase

in occurrence rate, an unexpected outcome, or a new event that is likely to affect safety of trial

participants, at the discretion of the Chief Investigator and/or DSMB. In addition to the expedited

reporting above, the Investigator shall include all SAE/AESIs in the annual Development Safety

Update Report (DSUR) report provided for COM-COV and COM-COV2.

Grade 4 laboratory AEs should be reported as SAEs and under the category of outcome of an

important medical event.

Cases falling under the Hy's Law should be reported as SAEs. A Hy's Law Case is defined by FDA

Guidance for Industry "Drug-Induced Liver Injury: Premarketing Clinical Evaluation" (2009). Any

study participant with an increase in Aspartate Aminotransferase (AST) or Alanine

Aminotransferase (ALT) ≥ 3x Upper Limit of Normal (ULN) together with Total Bilirubin ≥2xULN,

where no other reason can be found to explain the combination of these abnormal results,

e.g., elevated serum alkaline phosphatase (ALP) indicating cholestasis, viral hepatitis A, B or C,

another drug capable of causing the observed injury, amongst others.

In participants who have received the ChAdOx1-nCoV-19 vaccine in this study, SAE's will be

reported to AstraZeneca according to the conditions and timelines outlined in the

Com-COV2 Protocol

©Copyright: The University of Oxford

Page **54** of **79**

contemporaneous version of the 'Pharmacovigilance Agreement by and between AstraZeneca

UK Limited and Oxford University Innovation Limited for ChAdOx1 nCoV-19/AZD1222'.

In participants who have received the Novavax vaccine in this study, SAE's will be reported to

Novavax according to the conditions and timelines outlined in the contemporaneous version of

the Clinical Trial Agreement between Novavax and the University of Oxford University for

conduct of this study.

12.7.1. Events exempt from immediate reporting as SAEs

Hospitalisation for a pre-existing condition, including elective procedures planned prior to study

entry, which has not worsened, does not constitute a serious adverse event. A&E attendances

should not routinely be reported as SAEs unless they meet the SAE definition described above.

12.8. Expectedness

12.8.1. SAEs

12.8.1.1. AstraZeneca COVID-19 vaccine (ChAdOx1 nCOV-19)

If an SAE is considered as being an SAR to ChAdOx1 nCOV-19, section 4.8 of the Vaxzevria

Summary of Product Characteristics will be used as the reference safety information to

determine expectedness.

12.8.1.2. Pfizer BioNTech (BNT162b2)

If an SAE is considered as being an SAR to BNT162b2, section 4.8 of the BNT162b2 Summary of

Product Characteristics will be used as the reference safety information to determine

expectedness.

12.8.1.3. COVID-19 Vaccine Moderna

If an SAE is considered as being an SAR to Moderna, section 4.8 of the Spikevax Summary of

Product Characteristics will be used as the reference safety information to determine

expectedness.

12.8.1.4. Novavax, NVXCoV2373

No SAEs expected

12.8.2. Foreseeable Adverse Reactions

The foreseeable ARs following vaccination are as follows:

12.8.2.1. AstraZeneca COVID-19 vaccine (ChAdOx1 nCOV-19)

Please see section 4.8 of the Vaxzevria Summary of Product Characteristics

Com-COV2 Protocol

12.8.2.2. Pfizer BioNTech (BNT162b2)

Please section 4.8 of the BNT162b2 Summary of Product Characteristics

12.8.2.3. COVID-19 Vaccine Moderna

Please see section 4.8 of the Spikevax Summary of Product Characteristics

12.8.2.4. Novavax, NVXCoV2373

(From the IB report of data from clinical trials)

- Reactogenicity is generally mild, and vaccinations were well tolerated.
- Following first vaccination, local reactogenicity is more frequent for the SARSCoV-
- 2 rS/Matrix-M1 adjuvant regimens than the unadjuvanted or placebo regimens.
- Tenderness and pain were the most frequent local AEs.
- Systemic reactogenicity were individually less frequent but were observed with greater frequency in the SARS-CoV-2 rS/Matrix-M1 adjuvant groups.
- Headache, fatigue, and myalgia were the most frequent systemic AEs.
- Following second vaccination, SARS-CoV-2 rS/Matrix-M1 adjuvant induced greater local and systemic reactogenicity, but the majority of reported symptoms remained at grade ≤ 1.
- Mean duration of reactogenicity events was ≤ 2 days without appreciable change in duration with second vaccination.
- Severe reactogenicity was infrequent (2 events after Dose 1 and 8 events after Dose 2),
 occurring more often with second vaccination and for systemic events, with placebo subjects citing similar frequencies as those receiving SARS-CoV-2rS.
- No subjects sought medical intervention or refused second vaccination because of reactogenicity.

12.9. Adverse Events of Special Interest

Table 9: AESIs

Immunologic	Anaphylaxis	
Neurological	Isolated anosmia/ageusia*	Meningoencephalitis
	Guillain-Barre Syndrome	Peripheral facial nerve palsy

Com-COV2 Protocol

Date & Version No: 20-July-2022 V7.1

	Acute disseminated encephalomyelitis	Generalised convulsion
	(ADEM)	Myelitis
	Aseptic meningitis	
	Thrombosis**	Coagulation disorder (includes
He ametalo sical	Stroke	coagulopathy, thrombosis,
Haematological	Thrombocytopaenia***	thromboembolism,
	Eosinophilia****	internal/external bleed and stroke)
	Acute cardiovascular injury	
Cardiac	(includes myocarditis, pericarditis,	
	arrhythmias, heart failure,	
	infarction)	
Dermatological	Chilblain-like lesions	Erythema multiforme
Dermatorogradi	Single organ cutaneous vasculitis	Alopecia
Gastrointestinal	Acute liver injury †† †	Appendicitis
Respiratory	ARDS††	
Renal	Acute kidney injury	
Other	COVID-19 disease†	SARS-CoV2 positivity on a validated
Other	COAID-T3 disease (test

^{*}In the absence of COVID-19

**** This will be used as a marker of skewed Th2 responses and will be routinely monitored in participants attending the COVID-19 Pathway and follow-up visits. Only G2 and above.

† In particular, any occurrence of suspected vaccine associated enhanced disease (VAED) as defined by most recent Brighton Collaboration Case Definition (REF)

†† In the absence of an infective aetiology (including COVID-19)

†† † As defined in Hy's Law (see Cases falling under the Hy's Law should be reported as SAEs. A Hy's Law Case is defined by FDA Guidance for Industry "Drug-Induced Liver Injury: Premarketing Clinical Evaluation" (2009). Any study participant with an increase in Aspartate Aminotransferase (AST) or Alanine Aminotransferase (ALT) ≥ 3x Upper Limit of Normal (ULN) together with Total Bilirubin ≥2xULN, where no other reason can be found to explain the combination of these abnormal results, e.g., elevated serum alkaline phosphatase (ALP) indicating cholestasis, viral hepatitis A, B or C, another drug capable of causing the observed injury, amongst others.)

AESIS should be collected and recorded in the AE reporting form in REDCap throughout the duration of this study. These should also be reported as SAEs if they fulfil the definition criteria for SAEs. All AESI's not already reported as SAEs should be included in the reports to the DSMB.

Com-COV2 Protocol

^{**} Excluding superficial thrombophlebitis (including line-associated)

^{***} G3 or above

12.9.1. Disease enhancement following vaccination

Severe COVID-19 disease will be defined as hospitalisation, with further grading of severity

according to the WHO ordinal scale (June 2020) (WHO Working Group 2020). Cases of COVID-

19 disease will be examined for the possibility of vaccine associated enhanced disease (VAED).

This will be evaluated on the basis of the most recent recommendations of the Brighton

Collaboration (Brighton Collaboration 2019). Detailed clinical parameters will be collected from

medical records and aligned with agreed definitions, as they emerge. Samples will be collected

for evaluation of immunological evidence of VAED. Investigations will be defined by the

laboratory analysis plan.

12.10. **SUSAR** reporting

All SUSARs will be reported by the sponsor delegate to the relevant Competent Authority and to

the REC and other parties as applicable. For fatal and life-threatening SUSARS, this will be done

no later than 7 calendar days after the Sponsor or delegate is first aware of the reaction. Any

additional relevant information will be reported within 8 calendar days of the initial report. All

other SUSARs will be reported within 15 calendar days.

Principal Investigators will be informed of all SUSARs for the relevant IMP for all studies with the

same Sponsor, whether or not the event occurred in the current trial.

12.11. **Development Safety Update Reports**

A Development Safety Update Report (DSUR) will be prepared annually, reporting on this study

and 'Comparing COVID-19 Vaccine Schedule Combinations (Com-COV) (Ethics Ref: 21/SC/0022,

IRAS Project ID: 291055, within 60 days of the anniversary of the MHRA approval for the 'first'

COMCOV study. The DSURs will be submitted to the Competent Authority, Ethics Committee,

HRA (where required), Host NHS Trust and Sponsor.

As per the trial's Pharmacovigilance Plan, AZ and Novavax will be responsible respectively for

generating DSUR reports for Com-COV studies. Sponsor will be responsible for generating DSUR

reports for all other vaccines.

12.12. Interim reviews

The safety profile will be assessed on an on-going basis by the Investigators. The CI and relevant

Investigators (as per the trial delegation log) will also review safety issues and SAEs as they arise.

A review of reactogenicity data will occur after the first 100 (approximately) participants have

been boosted, as per section 10.6.2

Com-COV2 Protocol

©Copyright: The University of Oxford

Page **58** of **79**

The DSMB will evaluate safety data every 4-8 weeks and/or as required and will review safety data accumulated when the study is fully recruited. The DSMB may also be consulted should

safety concerns arise at any point.

12.13. Safety holding rules

There will be no formal pausing rules given the extensive safety database for all vaccines used in

this study, however reactogenicity data will be reviewed after the first 100 (approximately)

participants have received a boost dose.

The study can be paused upon advice of the DSMB, Chief Investigator, Study Sponsor, regulatory

authority, Ethical Committee(s), for any single event or combination of multiple events which, in

their professional opinion, jeopardise the safety of the participants or the reliability of the data.

12.14. **Contraception and pregnancy**

12.14.1. Contraception

Female participants of childbearing potential are required to use an effective form of

contraception from enrolment continuously until three months after boost immunisation. A

woman of childbearing potential is defined as a pre-menopausal female who is capable of

becoming pregnant. Menopause can be diagnosed in a woman aged over 50 after one year of

amenorrhoea (this applies only if the woman is not using hormonal contraception).

Acceptable forms of contraception for volunteers of female sex include:

- Established use of oral, injected or implanted hormonal methods of contraception

- Placement of an intrauterine device (IUD) or intrauterine system (IUS)

Total hysterectomy

- Bilateral Tubal Occlusion

- Barrier methods of contraception (condom or occlusive cap with spermicide)

- Male sterilisation, if the vasectomised partner is the sole partner for the subject

- True abstinence, when this is in line with the preferred and usual lifestyle of the subject

(Periodic abstinence and withdrawal are not acceptable methods of contraception)

12.14.2. **Pregnancy**

Should a participant become pregnant during the trial, no further study IMP will be administered.

They will be followed up for clinical safety assessment with their ongoing consent and in addition

will be followed until pregnancy outcome is determined. We would not routinely perform

venepuncture in a pregnant participant unless there is clinical need.

Com-COV2 Protocol

©Copyright: The University of Oxford

Page **59** of **79**

Date & Version No: 20-July-2022 V7.1

13. STATISTICS

13.1. Sample size

The primary analysis of this study will be a non-inferiority comparison between schedules using

a homologous versus heterologous boost within each group of approved COVID-19 vaccines, e.g.,

Group ChAdOx1 nCOV-19/ Novavax, NVXCoV2373 will be compared with group ChAdOx1 nCOV-

19 / ChAdOx1 nCOV-19 and group BNT162b2/Novavax, NVXCoV2373 will be compared with

group BNT162b2/ BNT162b2, separately. We will combine the immunology cohorts and the

general cohorts in the primary analysis.

The current available data from the ongoing ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 trial suggests the GMC of anti-

spike IgG measured by standardised ELISA is around 859 EU/ml at D28 post boost dose (4 weeks

after boost at D56 -D84) among participants aged 40-55 years old (n=47) with a standard

deviation of 0.4. As the study population is a relatively older population and their first vaccine

was received in non-trial settings, we decide to inflate the variation by 30%.

The sample calculation is based on the following assumptions:

1. The non-inferiority margin is 0.63 fold-difference between the GMC in the heterologous

boost arm and that in the homologous boost arm or -0.2 absolute difference of GMC on log

scale (base 10).

2. The standard deviation of GMC on log scale (base 10) is $0.4 \times = 0.456$.

3. The true difference of GMC on log scale (base 10) is 0.

Based on the above assumptions, the study will need to recruit 131 participants who are

seronegative at baseline in each arm to achieve 90% of power at one-sided 1.25% significance

level. We assume ~25% of study participants will be excluded from the primary analysis due to

seropositivity at baseline or loss of follow-up. Therefore, the sample size in each arm will be

expanded to 175. This means that if the study has two vaccines the desired total sample size will

be 1050 for six arms. The final sample size is set at 1050 plus up to an additional 10% to allow for

flexibility given rapid recruitment across multiple sites. If we decide to add groups as new

vaccines are made available for use by the Department of Health and Social Care, the sample

sizes will be adapted accordingly. The immunology cohort will used for exploratory analyses to

generate hypothesis, and thus no formal sample size calculation was carried out for this cohort.

The sample size of 25 per arm was therefore chosen based on practical constraints. This means

we will have around 20 seronegative participants in each arm for analysis.

Com-COV2 Protocol

©Copyright: The University of Oxford

Page **60** of **79**

Date & Version No: 20-July-2022 V7.1

Of note, should a correlate of protection against SARS-CoV-2 infection become apparent during the study then the sample size calculations will be re-visited to determine the power to demonstrate non-inferiority based on a margin of 10% between the above study arms, and potentially revised on this basis. Based on the sample size anticipated for two vaccines in the study, we summarised the study power for different proportion of protection at one-sided significant level 0.05 (with no adjustment for multiple testing).

Proportion of protection	Study power
0.85	73%
0.9	85%
0.95	98%

13.2. Description of statistical methods

The primary endpoint is anti-spike IgG measured by standardised ELISA at Day 28 post boost. The geometric mean concentrations (GMC) of anti-spike IgG will be compared between heterologous boost arms and homologous boost arms under the hypothesis:

Ho: GMC heterologous / GMC homologous \leq 0.63 or log_{10} GMC heterologous - log_{10} GMC homologous \leq -0.2;

H1: GMC heterologous / GMC homologous > 0.63 or log_{10} GMC heterologous - log_{10} GMC homologous > -0.2.

The anti-spike IgG titre will be transformed using logarithmic transformations (base 10) to render a normal distribution. We will test the above hypothesis using the multiple regression on log_{10} GMC adjusting for randomisation design variables, and the pre-specified prognostic factors, if any. The adjusted mean difference of log_{10} GMC will be presented with the one-sided 98.75% confidence interval (CI). We will claim heterologous boost arm is non-inferior to homologous boost arm if the lower CI lies above -0.2.

The primary analysis will be conducted on a per-protocol basis among participants who are seronegative at baseline and whose primary endpoint at D28 post boost is available, as the intent to treat analysis is no longer producing a conservative estimation in non-inferiority trials. The modified intent to treat analysis will also be conducted as a sensitivity analysis. The primary analysis will be carried out when the primary endpoint of D28 post boost anti-spike IgG data become available.

A fully detailed statistical analysis plan will be prepared and will be signed off by the Chief Investigator prior to conducting any data analyses.

13.3. Missing data

The level and pattern of the missing data in the baseline variables will be reported. The potential

causes of any missing data will be investigated and documented as far as possible. Any missing

data will be dealt with, if needed, using methods appropriate to the conjectured missing

mechanism and level of missing.

13.4. Interim analyses

In light of the MHRA and JCVI updating their guidance regarding the use of ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 in

the UK(Joint Committee on and Immunisation 2021, Medicines and Healthcare products

Regulatory Agency 2021, Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency 2021), along

with the change of guidance in other countries worldwide, there is an increased urgency to

release the reactogenicity data in heterologous schedules. The study team published the early

reactogenicity data from Com-COV on 12th May 2021, and decided to conduct a similar interim

analysis on the reactogenicity data in Com-COV2, in consultation with the Trial Steering

Committee, to extend the understanding of heterologous schedules using Moderna and Novavax

as a boost vaccine. The analysis will be carried out once the data is cleaned and the Study Analysis

Plan is signed off. There will be no stopping rule for this interim analysis and the analysis will not

affect the continuation of the trial.

14. DATA MANAGEMENT

The Chief Investigator will be responsible for all data that accrues from the study.

14.1. Access to Data & Data Protection

Direct access will be granted to authorised representatives from the Sponsor, host institution

and the regulatory authorities to permit trial-related monitoring, audits and inspections. The

study protocol, documentation, data and all other information generated will be held in strict

confidence. No information concerning the study or the data will be released to any

unauthorised third party, without prior written approval of the sponsor.

14.2. Data Recording

All study data including participant diary will be recorded directly into an EDC system (REDCap)

or onto a paper source document for later entry into EDC if direct entry is not available. This

includes safety, laboratory and outcome data. Any additional information that needs recording,

but is not relevant for the eCRF (e.g signed consent forms) will be recorded on separate paper

Com-COV2 Protocol

©Copyright: The University of Oxford

Page **62** of **79**

Date & Version No: 20-July-2022 V7.1

source documents. All documents will be stored safely and securely in confidential conditions.

The EDC online data is stored on University of Oxford servers.

All participant reported adverse event data (both solicited & unsolicited) will be entered onto

electronic diary cards (e-diaries) for a maximum of 28 days following administration of the IMP.

The eDiary provides a full audit trial of edits and will be reviewed at time-points as indicated in

the schedule of events. Any adverse event continuing beyond the period of the diary will be

copied into the eCRF as required for safety review.

The participants will be identified by a unique trial specific number and code in any database.

The name and any other identifying detail will NOT be included in any trial data electronic file,

with the exception of the electronic diaries, for which consent will be obtained to store the

participant email address for quality control purposes. Only site research staff and sponsor data

managers have access to view the email address.

The EDC system (CRF data) uses a relational database (MySQL/ PostgreSQL) via a secure web

interface with data checks applied during data entry to ensure data quality. The database

includes a complete suite of features which are compliant with GCP, EU and UK regulations and

Sponsor security policies, including a full audit trail, user-based privileges, and integration with

the institutional LDAP server. The MySQL and PostgreSQL database and the webserver will both

be housed on secure servers maintained by the University of Oxford IT personnel. The servers

are in a physically secure location in Europe. Backups will be stored in accordance with the IT

department schedule of daily, weekly, and monthly retained for one month, three months, and

six months, respectively. The IT servers provide a stable, secure, well-maintained, and high

capacity data storage environment. REDCap is a widely-used, powerful, reliable, well-supported

system. Access to the study's database will be restricted to members of the study team by

username and password.

14.3. Record keeping

The Investigators will maintain appropriate medical and research records for this trial, in

compliance with GCP and regulatory and institutional requirements for the protection of

confidentiality of volunteers. The Chief Investigator, co-Investigators and clinical research nurses

will have access to records. The Investigators will permit authorised representatives of the

Sponsor(s) and Host institution, as well as ethical and regulatory agencies to examine (and when

required by applicable law, to copy) clinical records for the purposes of quality assurance

reviews, audits and evaluation of the study safety and progress.

Com-COV2 Protocol

©Copyright: The University of Oxford

Page **63** of **79**

Date & Version No: 20-July-2022 V7.1

Identifiable information such as contact details will be stored for a minimum of 5 years from the

end of the study. This includes storage of consent forms. Storage of these data will be reviewed

every 5 years and files will be confidentially destroyed if storage is no longer required.

Considerations at the time of this review will include the value of retaining this information for

participant safety (e.g. to inform participants of unexpected safety signals emerging from post-

licensing surveillance), as a resource for the participants (e.g. if they wish to check which vaccines

they have received in the study) and any regulatory requirements. Financial information will be

stored for 7 years. De-identified research data maybe be stored indefinitely. If volunteers

consent to be contacted for future research, a record of this consent will be recorded, retained

and stored securely and separately from the research data. If volunteers consent to have their

samples stored and used in future research, information about their consent form will be

retained and stored securely as per Biobanking procedures and SOP.

14.4. Source data and Case Report Forms (CRFs)

All protocol-required information will be collected in CRFs designed by the Investigator. All

source documents will be filed in the participant file. Source documents are original documents,

data, and records from which the participant CRF data are obtained. For this study, these will

include, but are not limited to, volunteer consent form, blood results, GP response letters,

laboratory records, diaries, medical records and correspondence. In the majority of cases, CRF

entries will be considered source data as the CRF is the site of the original recording (i.e. there is

no other written or electronic record of data). In this study this will include, but is not limited to

medical history, medication records, vital signs, physical examination records, urine

assessments, safety blood results, adverse event data and details of vaccinations. All source data

and participant files will be stored securely.

To prevent withdrawal of a participant due to relocation, if there is a nearby participating site

and with the consent of the participant, copies of relevant participant research records (such as

ICF, paper source documents) will be transferred to the local site using secure email addresses

such as nhs.net or by password protected sheets. The electronic research data stored on REDCap

will also be transferred to the new site. The original records will be retained by the recruiting

site.

Com-COV2 Protocol

©Copyright: The University of Oxford

Page **64** of **79**

Date & Version No: 20-July-2022 V7.1

14.5. Data Quality

Data collection tools will undergo appropriate validation to ensure that data are collected

accurately and completely. Datasets provided for analysis will be subject to quality control

processes to ensure analysed data is a true reflection of the source data.

Trial data will be managed in compliance with local data management SOPs. If additional, study

specific processes are required, an approved Data Management Plan will be implemented

14.6. Data Sharing

For participants who are also registered on NHS Digital's 'Sign up to be contacted for coronavirus

vaccine studies' service, we will share the minimum amount of information necessary with NHS

Digital in order to allow them to update their database so that participants are not contacted

about further trials, as participants are permitted only to be in one vaccine study at a time.

Personally identifiable information will be shared with Public Health England regarding SARS-

CoV2 PCR test results depending on the most up to date legal requirement to report on Notifiable

Diseases at the time.

15. Quality Assurance Procedures

15.1. Risk Assessment

The trial will be conducted in accordance with the current approved protocol, GCP, relevant

regulations and standard operating procedures. A risk assessment and monitoring plan will be

prepared before the study opens and will be reviewed as necessary over the course of the trial

to reflect significant changes to the protocol or outcomes of monitoring activities.

15.2. Monitoring

Monitoring will be performed according to Good Clinical Practice (GCP) guidelines by an external

monitor. Following written SOPs, the monitors will verify that the clinical trial is conducted and

data are generated, documented and reported in compliance with the protocol, GCP and the

applicable regulatory requirements. The investigator sites will provide direct access to all trial

related source data/documents and reports for the purpose of monitoring and auditing by the

Sponsor or the Host institution and inspection by local and regulatory authorities

Com-COV2 Protocol

©Copyright: The University of Oxford

Page **65** of **79**

Date & Version No: 20-July-2022 V7.1

15.3. Trial committees

15.3.1. Trial Steering Committee

A Trial Steering Committee will be formed to oversee the study, and advise the Study

Management Committee on key issues of study conduct, including, but not limited to, study

pauses due to safety concerns on the advice of the DSMB.

15.3.2. Safety Monitoring Committee

A Data Safety Monitoring Board (DSMB) will be convened. The DSMB will evaluate frequency of

events, safety and efficacy data as specified in the DSMB charter. The DSMB will make

recommendations concerning the conduct, continuation or modification of the study for safety

reasons to the Trial Steering Committee.

The DSMB will review SAEs or AESIs deemed possibly, probably or definitively related to study

interventions. The DSMB will be notified within 24 hours of the Investigators' being aware of

their occurrence. The DSMB can recommend placing the study on hold if deemed necessary

following a study intervention-related SAE.

15.3.3. Study Management Committee

Consists of the site Investigators and the Laboratory lead for Public Health England.

16. PROTOCOL DEVIATIONS

A trial related deviation is a departure from the ethically approved trial protocol or other trial

document or process (e.g. consent process or IMP administration) or from Good Clinical Practice

(GCP) or any applicable regulatory requirements. Deviations from the protocol will be

documented in a protocol deviation form according to SOP OVC027 and filed in the trial master

file.

These will be managed as per SOP OVC027.

17. SERIOUS BREACHES

The Medicines for Human Use (Clinical Trials) Regulations contain a requirement for the

notification of "serious breaches" to the MHRA within 7 days of the Sponsor becoming aware of

the breach.

A serious breach is defined as "A breach of GCP or the trial protocol which is likely to affect to a

significant degree –

(a) the safety or physical or mental integrity of the subjects of the trial; or

Com-COV2 Protocol

Date & Version No: 20-July-2022 V7.1

, ,

(b) the scientific value of the trial".

In the event that a serious breach is suspected the Sponsor must be contacted within 1 working

day. In collaboration with the CI the serious breach will be reviewed by the Sponsor and, if

appropriate, the Sponsor will report it to the REC committee, Regulatory authority and the

relevant NHS host organisation within seven calendar days.

18. ETHICAL AND REGULATORY CONSIDERATIONS

18.1. Declaration of Helsinki

The Investigator will ensure that this trial is conducted in accordance with the principles of the

Declaration of Helsinki.

18.2. Guidelines for Good Clinical Practice

The Investigator will ensure that this trial is conducted in accordance with relevant regulations

and with Good Clinical Practice.

18.3. Approvals

Following Sponsor approval the protocol, informed consent form, participant information sheet

and any proposed advertising material will be submitted to an appropriate Research Ethics

Committee (REC), HRA (where required), regulatory authorities (MHRA in the UK), and host

institution(s) for written approval. No amendments to this protocol will be made without

consultation with, and agreement of, the Sponsor.

The Investigator is responsible for ensuring that changes to an approved trial, during the period

for which regulatory and ethical committee(s) approval has already been given, are not initiated

without regulatory and ethical committee(s)' review and approval except to eliminate apparent

immediate hazards to the subject (i.e. as an Urgent Safety Measure).

18.4. Other Ethical Considerations

Study team members are not eligible for participation in the study. Family members of the study

team are not barred from inclusion in the trial.

Participants eligible for routine SARS-CoV-2 immunisation as per national guidelines will not be

excluded from participation in the trial; but will be counselled specifically on the risks of receiving

an unapproved vaccine and/or schedule. In particular, the risks of reduced efficacy and

unforeseen safety concerns will be discussed.

Com-COV2 Protocol

©Copyright: The University of Oxford

Page **67** of **79**

18.5. Reporting

The CI shall submit once a year throughout the clinical trial, or on request, an Annual Progress

Report to the REC, HRA (where required), host organisation, funder (where required) and

Sponsor. In addition, an End of Trial notification and final report will be submitted to the MHRA,

the REC, host organisation and Sponsor.

18.6. Transparency in Research

Prior to the recruitment of the first participant, the trial will have been registered on a publicly

accessible database. Results will be uploaded to the European Clinical Trial (EudraCT) Database

within 12 months of the end of trial declaration by the CI or their delegate. Where the trial has

been registered on multiple public platforms, the trial information will be kept up to date during

the trial, and the CI or their delegate will upload results to all those public registries within 12

months of the end of the trial declaration.

18.7. Participant confidentiality

The study will comply with the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) and Data Protection

Act 2018, which require data to be de-identified as soon as it is practical to do so. The processing

of personal data of participants will be minimised by making use of a unique participant study

number only on all study documents and any electronic database(s), with the exception of

informed consent forms, participant ID log and electronic diaries. All documents will be stored

securely and only accessible by study staff and authorised personnel. The study staff will

safeguard the privacy of participants' personal data. A separate confidential file containing

identifiable information will be stored in a secured location in accordance with the current data

protection legislation. Photographs of vaccination sites if required (with the participants' written,

informed consent), will not include the participants' face and will be identified by the date, trial

code and subject's unique identifier. Once developed, photographs will be stored as confidential

records, as above. This material may be shown to other professional staff, used for educational

purposes, or included in a scientific publication.

18.8. Expenses and Benefits

Volunteers will be compensated for their time, the inconvenience of having blood tests and

procedures, and their travel expenses. The total amount compensated will depend on the exact

number of visits, and whether any repeat or additional visits are necessary. For all trial visits

compensation will be calculated according to the following:

Com-COV2 Protocol

©Copyright: The University of Oxford

Page **68** of **79**

Date & Version No: 20-July-2022 V7.1

- Travel expenses: £15 per visit

- Inconvenience of blood tests: £10 per blood donation

- Time required for visit: £20 per visit

19. FINANCE AND INSURANCE

> 19.1. **Funding**

The study is funded by the UK Government through the National Institute for Health Research

(NIHR) as well as through the Collaboration for Epidemic Preparedness Innovations (CEPI)

19.2. Insurance

The University has a specialist insurance policy in place which would operate in the event of any

participant suffering harm as a result of their involvement in the research (Newline Underwriting

Management Ltd, at Lloyd's of London). NHS indemnity operates in respect of the clinical

treatment that is provided.

19.3. **Contractual arrangements**

Appropriate contractual arrangements will be put in place with all third parties.

20. **PUBLICATION POLICY**

The Investigators will be involved in reviewing drafts of the manuscripts, abstracts, press releases

and any other publications arising from the study. Data from the study may also be used as part

of a thesis for a PhD or MD.

DEVELOPMENT OF A NEW PRODUCT / PROCESS OR THE GENERATION OF INTELLECTUAL 21.

PROPERTY

Ownership of IP generated by employees of the University vests in the University. The University

will ensure appropriate arrangements are in place as regards any new IP arising from the trial.

22. **ARCHIVING**

Study data may be stored electronically on a secure server, and paper notes will be kept in a key-

locked filing cabinet at the site. All essential documents will be retained for a minimum of 5 years

after the study has finished with 5 yearly reviews. The need to store study data for longer in

relation to licensing of the vaccine will be subject to ongoing review. For effective vaccines that

may be licensed, we may store research data securely at the site at least 15 years after the end

of the study, subject to adjustments in clinical trials regulations. Where relevant participants'

Com-COV2 Protocol

©Copyright: The University of Oxford

Page **69** of **79**

Date & Version No: 20-July-2022 V7.1

bank details will be stored for 7 years in line with the site financial policy. De-identified research data maybe be stored indefinitely, but with 5 yearly review.

General archiving procedures will be conducted in compliance to SOP OVC020 Archiving.

23. REFERENCES

Agrawal, S., X. Tao, A. Algaissi, T. Garron, K. Narayanan, B. H. Peng, R. B. Couch and C. T. Tseng (2016). "Immunization with inactivated Middle East Respiratory Syndrome coronavirus vaccine leads to lung immunopathology on challenge with live virus." <u>Human vaccines & immunotherapeutics</u> **12**(9).

Alharbi, K., I. Qasim, A. Almasoud, H. A. Aljami, M. W. Alenazi, A. Alhafufi, O. S. Aldibasi, A. M. Hashem, S. Kasem, R. Albrahim, M. Aldubaib, A. Almansour, N. J. Temperton, A. Kupke, S. Becker, A. Abu-Obaidah, A. Alkarar, I. K. Yoon, E. Azhar, T. Lambe, F. Bayoumi, A. Aldowerij, O. H. Ibrahim, S. C. Gilbert and H. H. Balkhy (2019). "Humoral Immunogenicity and Efficacy of a Single Dose of ChAdOx1 MERS Vaccine Candidate in Dromedary Camels." <u>Scientific reports</u> **9**(1).

Beigel, J. H., T. F. Patterson, R. Paredes, D. A. Sweeney, W. R. Short, G. Touloumi, D. C. Lye, N. Ohmagari, M. D. Oh, G. M. Ruiz-Palacios, T. Benfield, G. Fätkenheuer, M. G. Kortepeter, R. L. Atmar, C. B. Creech, J. Lundgren, A. G. Babiker, S. Pett, J. D. Neaton, T. H. Burgess, T. Bonnett, M. Green, M. Makowski, A. Osinusi, S. Nayak, H. C. Lane, K. M. Tomashek, L. E. Dodd, A. K. Mehta, B. S. Zingman, A. C. Kalil, E. Hohmann, H. Y. Chu, A. Luetkemeyer, S. Kline, D. Lopez de Castilla, R. W. Finberg, K. Dierberg, V. Tapson and Hsieh (2020). "Remdesivir for the Treatment of Covid-19 - Final Report." The New England journal of medicine 383(19).

Brighton Collaboration (2019). "SPEAC - Safety Platform for Emergency vACcines."

Joint Committee on, V. and J. Immunisation. (2021). "JCVI advises on COVID-19 vaccine for people aged under 40." from https://www.gov.uk/government/news/jcvi-advises-on-covid-19-vaccine-for-people-aged-under-40.

Li (2016). "Structure, Function, and Evolution of Coronavirus Spike Proteins." <u>Annual review of virology</u> **3**(1).

Liu, L., Q. Wei, Q. Lin, J. Fang, H. Wang, H. Kwok, H. Tang, K. Nishiura, J. Peng, Z. Tan, T. Wu, K. W. Cheung, K. H. Chan, X. Alvarez, C. Qin, A. Lackner, S. Perlman, K. Y. Yuen and Z. Chen (2019). "Anti-spike IgG causes severe acute lung injury by skewing macrophage responses during acute SARS-CoV infection." JCI insight **4**(4).

Lu, R., X. Zhao, J. Li and P. Niu (2020). "Genomic characterisation and epidemiology of 2019 novel coronavirus: implications for virus origins and receptor binding - The Lancet."

Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency, M. (2021). "MHRA issues new advice, concluding a possible link between COVID-19 Vaccine AstraZeneca and extremely rare, unlikely to occur blood clots."

Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency, M. (2021). "MHRA response to JCVI advice on COVID-19 Vaccine AstraZeneca for people aged under 40." from

https://www.gov.uk/government/news/mhra-response-to-jcvi-advice-on-covid-19-vaccine-astrazeneca-for-people-aged-under-40.

Munster, V., D. Wells, T. Lambe, D. Wright, R. J. Fischer, T. Bushmaker, G. Saturday, N. van Doremalen, S. C. Gilbert, E. de Wit and G. M. Warimwe (2017). "Protective efficacy of a novel simian adenovirus vaccine against lethal MERS-CoV challenge in a transgenic human DPP4 mouse model." NPJ vaccines 2.

Public Health England (2020). "COVID-19: The Green Book, Chapter 14a."

Com-COV2 Protocol

Date & Version No: 20-July-2022 V7.1

Public Health England (2020). "Vaccine safety and adverse events following immunisation: The Green Book, Chapter 8."

RECOVERY Collaborative Group, C. Fegan, L. C. Chappell, S. N. Faust, T. Jaki, K. Jeffery, A. Montgomery, K. Rowan, E. Juszczak, J. K. Baillie, R. Haynes, M. J. Landray, P. Horby, W. S. Lim, J. R. Emberson, M. Mafham, J. L. Bell, L. Linsell, N. Staplin, C. Brightling, A. Ustianowski, E. Elmahi, B. Prudon, C. Green, T. Felton, D. Chadwick and Rege (2021). "Dexamethasone in Hospitalized Patients with Covid-19." <a href="https://doi.org/10.1001/journal-10.1001/j

Shimabukuro, T., D. o. H. Q. P. N. C. f. E. Immunization Safety Office, C. f. D. C. Zoonotic Infectious Diseases, A. G. Prevention, C. Matthew, N. C. f. I. Division of Bacterial Diseases, C. f. D. C. Respiratory Diseases, A. G. Prevention, R. S. John, D. o. H. Q. P. N. C. f. E. Immunization Safety Office, C. f. D. C. Zoonotic Infectious Diseases and A. G. Prevention (2021). "Reports of Anaphylaxis After Receipt of mRNA COVID-19 Vaccines in the US—December 14, 2020-January 18, 2021." JAMA.

Spencer, A., C. Gilbert, J. S. Robin, A. J. S. Teresa Lambe, F. M. Paul, B.-R. Sandra, U. Marta, D. B. Cameron, H. Kai, S. Karnyart, W. Daniel, R. S. Hannah, G. Ciaran, T. Adam, R. A. Elizabeth and Sarah (2021). "Heterologous vaccination regimens with self-amplifying RNA and Adenoviral COVID vaccines induce superior immune responses than single dose vaccine regimens in mice."

Tseng, C., E. Sbrana, N. Iwata-Yoshikawa, P. C. Newman, T. Garron, R. L. Atmar, C. J. Peters and Couch (2012). "Immunization with SARS coronavirus vaccines leads to pulmonary immunopathology on challenge with the SARS virus." PloS one **7**(4).

U. K. Department of Health and Social Care (2020). "Regulatory approval of Pfizer/BioNTech vaccine for COVID-19."

UK Department of Health and Social Care. (2020). "Coronavirus (COVID-19): guidance and support." from https://www.gov.uk/coronavirus.

Weingartl, H., M. Czub, S. Czub, J. Neufeld, P. Marszal, J. Gren, G. Smith, S. Jones, R. Proulx, Y. Deschambault, E. Grudeski, A. Andonov, R. He, Y. Li, J. Copps, A. Grolla, D. Dick, J. Berry, S. Ganske, L. Manning and J. Cao (2004). "Immunization with modified vaccinia virus Ankara-based recombinant vaccine against severe acute respiratory syndrome is associated with enhanced hepatitis in ferrets." Journal of virology **78**(22).

WHO Working Group (2020). "A minimal common outcome measure set for COVID-19 clinical research." The Lancet. Infectious diseases **20**(8).

World Health, O. (2021). The Oxford/AstraZeneca COVID-19 vaccine: what you need to know.

Zhou, P., Mq, Y. Chen, X. R. Shen, X. Wang, X. S. Zheng, K. Zhao, Q. J. Chen, F. Deng, L. L. Liu, B. Yan, F. X. Zhan, Y. Y. Wang, G. F. Xiao, Z. L. Shi, Z. P., X. L. Yang, X. G. Wang, B. Hu, L. Zhang, W. Zhang, H. R. Si, Y. Zhu, B. Li, C. L. Huang, H. D. Chen, J. Chen, Y. Luo, H. Guo, R. D. Jiang and Liu (2020). "A pneumonia outbreak associated with a new coronavirus of probable bat origin." <u>Nature</u> **579**(7798).

Zhu, N., G. F. Gao, W. Tan, D. Zhang, W. Wang, X. Li, B. Yang, J. Song, X. Zhao, B. Huang, W. Shi, R. Lu, P. Niu, F. Zhan, X. Ma, D. Wang, W. Xu and Wu (2020). "A Novel Coronavirus from Patients with Pneumonia in China, 2019." The New England journal of medicine **382**(8).

Com-COV2 Protocol

©Copyright: The University of Oxford

24. APPENDIX A: SCHEDULE OF PROCEDURES

General cohort boosted at 56-84 days

	Screening	V1	V2	V3	V4	V5	(VPP) Only if enter C19P
Study timeline		D0	D28	D56	D112	D294	(D0-D294)
Study window		Within 56-84 days post-prime	Day 25–32	Day 53–60	Day 105-119	Day 196-309	Within 7 days of positive test
Informed consent	X*	х					
Safety bloods		Х	Х				X
Medical history	Х						
Interim medical history		Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	X
Physical examination (as required)		(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	X
Urine test (Pregnancy) (if required)		Х					
COVID-19 vaccination		Х					
COVID-19 immunogenicity bloods		Х	Х	х	х	Х	×
SARS-Cov-2 viral swab							X
Diary card review			X	Х			X
SAE/AESI/Medically attended AE check			Х	Х	Х	Х	Х

^{*}Online Consent. Restricted to taking a limited medical history online, contacting the GP for further medical record if required and telephone screening visit(s)

Immunology cohort boosted at 56-84 days

Com-COV2 Protocol

	Screening	V1	V1A	V1B	V2	V3	V4	V5	(VPP) Only if enter C19P
Study timeline		D0	D7	D14	D28	D56	D112	D294	(D0-D294)
Post-prime timeline		D56-84	D63-91	D70-98	D84-112	D112-140	D170-196	D350-378	
Study window			Day 5-9	Days 12–16	Day 25–32	Day 53–60	Day 105–119	Day 196-309	Within 7 days of positive test
Informed consent	X*	X							
Safety bloods		X	Х		X				X
Medical history	Х								
Interim medical history		X	Х	Х	X	Х	Х	Х	X
Physical examination (as required)		(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	Х
Urine test (pregnancy) (if required)		(X)							
COVID-19 vaccination		X							
COVID-19 immunogenicity bloods		X	Х	X	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
SAM-strip		Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	X
Saliva		Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
SARS-CoV-2 viral swab									X
Diary card review			Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	X
SAE/AESI/Medically attended AE check			Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	х

^{*}Online Consent. Restricted to taking a limited medical history online, contacting the GP for further medical record if required and telephone screening visit(s)

Date & Version No: 20-July-2022 V7.1

25. APPENDIX B: AMENDMENT HISTORY

Amendment No.	Protocol Version No.	Date issued	Author(s) of changes	Details of Changes made
1 (REC comments)	1.1	31/03/2021	R. Shaw	1. Section 10.2.2: 120 day criteria between screening and V1 removed
				2. Appendix A: Schedule Of Procedure: 120 day criteria between screening and V1 removed as per section 10.2.2
				3. Appendix D Blood Sampling: Lab names removed from blood tables
2 (SA1)	2.0	12/04/2021	E. Plested	Removal of statistician M.Greenland – two senior statisticians will remain listed on the protocol.
				6.2.10 Potential Risks - updated with emerging Thrombosis with Thrombocytopenia Association with vaccination
				Typographical error - Clarification of sample requirements in appendix D
3 (NSA4)	2.1	23/04/2021	R.Shaw	Correction of specific blood volumes in Appendix D – General cohort table. Total volume of blood amount remains the same
4 (SA3)	3.0	19/05/2021	X.Liu, R.Shaw	 Section 13.4: Addition of interim analysis. Section 13: Refinement with correction of typographical errors in the statistical plan. Removal of anti-nucleocapsid IgG from D56 visit as there is currently low incidence of COVID infection in the community (Tables in: Synopsis, section 7. Objectives and Outcome measures, Appendix D)

Com-COV2 Protocol

	T			4 6 11 40 - 11111
8 (SA4)	4.0	15/06/2021	R.Shaw, A.Stuart, N.Singh	 Section 10.5: Addition of individual and mass unblinding options Section 10.8 – clarification of wording on unblinding in line with changes in 10.5
9 (SA5)	5.0	29/07/2021	A.Stuart, R.Shaw	4. Synopsis – change of individual trial participant follow up period to 7-10 months
				8.2 Trial duration - change of individual trial participant follow up period to 7-10 months
				10.5 – additional wording to clarify that participants who were still blinded would be unblinded if accepting a third+ boost from the national immunization programme
				Addition of section 10.6.5 – Explanation of trial management of participant visits should third dose boosts be offered through the national immunisation program
				Appendix A – changes to visit window for V5
				Updating of AZ storage 11.3.1 sections as well as Safety information (section 12) and DSUR section 12.11 in light of update from Investigator's brochure to Summary of Product Characteristics equivalent documentation for regulation 174 licensed medicinal product
10 (SA6)	6.0	08/09/2021	R.Shaw	Sections 11.3.2, 11.3.3, 11.3.4 and updated as per updated Pfizer SmPC (11/08/2021) AstraZeneca SmpC (19-Jul-2021) and Moderna SmPCs (20/08/2021) and Novavax IB (06/08/2021)
				Sections 12.8 – adverse events for all study vaccines updated as per updated SmPCs/IBs

				Section 12.11 updated to clarify DSUR reporting
11(SA6)	6.1	22/09/2021	I.Vichos	In response to MHRA comments regarding the RSI: Section 12.8.1 has been updated to refer to the AZ, PfIzer and Moderna SmPCs Section 12.8.2: For ARs to the AZ, Pfizer and Moderna vaccines refer to their SmPCs.
12 (SA8)	7.1	20/07/2022	E.Morey	Chief investigator details updated to Professor Maheshi Ramasamy in: Section 1: Key trial Contacts and Section 2: Conflict of interest declaration.

26. APPENDIX C: Toxicity grading scale for Laboratory AEs

		Units	Lab range	Grade 1	Grade 2	Grade 3	Grade 4
Haematology							
Haemoglobin Absolute	Male	g/l	130-170	115-125	100-114	85-99	<85
Haemoglobin Absolute	Female	g/l	120-150	105-113	90-104	80-89	<80
Haemoglobin change from baseline			n/a	10-15	16-20	21-50	>50
White Blood Cells	Elevated	x 10 ⁹ /L	11.00	11.50-15.00	15.01-20.00	20.01-25.00	>25.00
White Blood Cells	Low	x 10 ⁹ /L	4.00	2.50-3.50	1.50-2.49	1.00-1.49	<1.00
Platelets	Low	x 10 ⁹ /L	150-400	125-140	100-124	25-99	<25
Neutrophils	Low	x 10 ⁹ /L	2.00-7.00	1.50-1.99	1.00-1.49	0.50-0.99	<0.50
Lymphocytes	Low	x 10 ⁹ /L	1.00-4.00	0.75-0.99	0.50-0.74	0.25-0.49	<0.25
Eosinophils	Elevated	x 10 ⁹ /L	0.02-0.50	0.65-1.50	1.51-5.00	>5.00	Hypereosinophilia
Biochemistry							
Sodium	Elevated	mmol/L	145	146-147	148-149	150-155	>155
Sodium	Low	mmol/L	135	132-134	130-131	125-129	<125
Potassium	Elevated	mmol/L	5.0	5.1-5.2	5.3-5.4	5.5-6.5	>6.5
Potassium	Low	mmol/L	3.5	3.2-3.3	3.1	2.5-3.0	<2.5
Urea	Elevated	mmol/L	2.5-7.4	8.2-9.3	9.4-11.0	>11.0	Requires dialysis
Creatinine	Elevated	μmol/L	49-104	1.1-1.5xULN 114-156	>1.5-3.0xULN 157-312	>3.0xULN >312	Requires dialysis
Bilirubin	Elevated Normal LFTs	μmol/L	0-21	1.1-1.5xULN 23-32	>1.5-2xULN 33-42	>2-3xULN 43-63	>3xULN >63
Bilirubin	Elevated Abnormal LFTs	μmol/L	0-21	1.1-1.25xULN 23-26	>1.25-1.5xULN 27-32	>1,5-1.75xULN 33-37	>1.75xULN >37
ALT	Elevated	IU/L	10-45	1.1-2.5xULN 49-112	>2.5-5xULN 113-225	>5-10xULN 226-450	>10xULN >450
ALP (Alkaline phosphatase)	Elevated	IU/L	30-130	1.1-2xULN 143-260	>2-3xULN 261-390	>3-10xULN 391-1300	>10xULN >1300
Albumin	Low	g/L	32-50	28-31	25-27	<25	-
CRP	Elevated	mg/L	0-10	11-30	31-100	101-200	>200

Normal ranges may vary between sites and gradings may be adapted between sites

Com-COV2 Protocol

27. APPENDIX D: BLOOD SAMPLING

General Cohort at sites collecting Lithium Heparin samples

	V1	V2	V3	V4	V5	(VPP)
Study timeline	D0	D28	D56	D112	D294	Only if enter C19P (D0-D294)
Study timeline	1 x FBC (up to 2ml)	1 x FBC (up to 2ml)	D30	D112	D234	1 x FBC (up to 2ml)
Safety bloods	1 x Biochem (up to 5ml)	1 x Biochem (up to 5ml)				1 x Biochem (up to 5ml)
COVID-19 vaccination	x	2 x 5 iee i e iii (ap te 5 iii)				TX Sloonelli (up to Silli)
Primary endpoint		Anti-spike IgG				
Secondary endpoints	Anti-spike IgG Neutralising Abs Anti-nuclesocapsid IgG Pseudo-neut Abs **ELIspot	Neutralising Abs Anti-nuclesocapsid IgG Pseudo-neut Abs **ELIspot	Anti-spike IgG Neutralising Abs Pseudo-neut Abs **ELIspot	Anti-spike IgG Neutralising Abs Anti-nuclesocapsid IgG Pseudo-neut Abs **ELIspot	Anti-spike IgG Neutralising Abs Anti-nuclesocapsid IgG Pseudo-neut Abs **ELIspot	Anti-spike IgG Neutralising Abs Anti-nuclesocapsid IgG Pseudo-neut Abs **ELIspot
No of tubes & colours	Up to 30ml Red **Up to 20ml Green (LiHep)* 1 x FBC (up to 2ml) 1 x Biochem (up to 5ml)	Up to 30ml Red **Up to 20ml Green (LiHep)* 1 x FBC (up to 2ml) 1 x Biochem (up to 5ml)	Up to 30ml Red **Up to 20ml Green (LiHep)*	Up to 30ml Red **Up to 20ml Green (LiHep)*	Up to 30ml Red **Up to 20ml Green (LiHep)*	Up to 30ml Red **Up to 20ml Green (LiHep)* 1 x FBC (up to 2ml) 1 x Biochem (up to 5ml)
Total volume per visit	Up to 57ml	Up to 57ml	Up to 50ml	Up to 50ml	Up to 50ml	Up to 57ml
Total volume by end of study		, a repeat of safety bloods m or. This will result in up to ar	Up to 264ml	+ Up to 57ml per C-19 pathway attended		

^{*}LiHep tube or equivalent

Com-COV2 Protocol

General Cohort at sites **NOT** collecting Lithium Heparin samples

	V1	V2	V3	V4	V5	(VPP) Only if enter C19P
Study timeline	D0	D28	D56	D112	D294	(D0-D294)
Safety bloods	1 x FBC (up to 2ml)	1 x FBC (up to 2ml)				1 x FBC (up to 2ml)
Salety bloods	1 x Biochem (up to 5ml)	1 x Biochem (up to 5ml)				1 x Biochem (up to 5ml)
COVID-19 vaccination	x					
Primary endpoint		Anti-spike IgG				
	Anti-spike IgG		Anti-spike IgG	Anti-spike IgG	Anti-spike IgG	Anti-spike IgG
Secondary	Neutralising Abs	Neutralising Abs	Neutralising Abs	Neutralising Abs	Neutralising Abs	Neutralising Abs
endpoints	Anti-nuclesocapsid IgG	Anti-nuclesocapsid IgG	Pseudo-neut Abs	Anti-nuclesocapsid IgG	Anti-nuclesocapsid IgG	Anti-nuclesocapsid IgG
	Pseudo-neut Abs	Pseudo-neut Abs		Pseudo-neut Abs	Pseudo-neut Abs	Pseudo-neut Abs
	Up to 30ml Red	Up to 30ml Red	Up to 30ml Red	Up to 30ml Red	Up to 30ml Red	Up to 30ml Red
No of tubes &						
colours	1 x FBC (up to 2ml)	1 x FBC (up to 2ml)				1 x FBC (up to 2ml)
	1 x Biochem (up to 5ml)	1 x Biochem (up to 5ml)				1 x Biochem (up to 5ml)
Total volume per visit	Up to 37ml	Up to 37ml	Up to 30ml	Up to 30ml	Up to 30ml	Up to 37ml
Total volume by end of study		y, a repeat of safety bloods tor. This will result in up to		Up to 164ml	+ Up to 37ml per C-19 pathway attended	

^{*}LiHep tube or equivalent

Com-COV2 Protocol

Immunology Cohort

	V1	V1A	V1B	V2	V3	V4	V5	(VPP) Only if C19P
Study timeline	D0	D7	D14	D28	D56	D112	D294	(D0-D294)
Safety bloods	X	X		X				X
COVID-19 vaccination	х							
Primary endpoint				Anti-spike IgG				
Secondary endpoints	Anti-spike IgG Neutralising Ab Anti-N IgG Pseudo-neut Ab	Anti-spike IgG	Serum	Neutralising Ab Anti-N IgG Pseudo-neut Ab	Anti-spike IgG Neutralising Ab Pseudo-neut Ab ELISpot	Anti-spike IgG Neutralising Ab Anti-N IgG Pseudo-neut Ab	Anti-spike IgG Neutralising Ab Anti-N IgG Pseudo-neut Ab	Anti-spike IgG Neutralising Ab Anti-N IgG Pseudo-neut Ab
	ELISpot ICS		ELISpot ICS	ELISpot		ELISpot	ELISpot	ELISpot ICS
	Up to 20ml Red	Up to 20ml Red	Up to 20ml Red	Up to 20ml Red	Up to 20ml Red	Up to 20ml Red	Up to 20ml Red	Up to 20ml Red
No of tubes & colours	Up to 50ml Green (LiHep)*		Up to 50ml Green (LiHep)*	Up to 30ml Green (LiHep)*	Up to 30ml Green (LiHep)*	Up to 30ml Green (LiHep)*	Up to 30ml Green (LiHep)*	Up to 50ml Green (LiHep)*
	1xFBC (up to 2ml) 1xBiochem (up to 5ml)	1xFBC (up to 2ml) 1xBiochem (up to 5ml)		1xFBC (up to 2ml) 1xBiochem (up to 5ml)				1xFBC (up to 2ml) 1xBiochem (up to 5ml)
Total volume per visit	Up to 77ml	Up to 27ml	Up to 70ml	Up to 57ml	Up to 50ml	Up to 50ml	Up to 50ml	Up to 77ml
Total volume (study end)	At any point in the	study, a repeat of sa This will res		recommended at th a 7ml per repeat blo		of the investigator.	Up to 381ml	+ Up to 77ml per C-19P attended

^{*}LiHep tube or equivalent

Com-COV2 Protocol